Grain size distribution of road-deposited sediment and its contribution to heavy metal pollution in urban runoff in Beijing, China
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A B S T R A C T

Pollutant washoff from road-deposited sediment (RDS) is an increasing problem associated with the rapid urbanization of China that results in urban non-point source pollution. Here, we analyzed the RDS grain size distribution and its potential impact on heavy metal pollution in urban runoff from impervious surfaces of urban villages, colleges and residences, and main traffic roads in the Haidian District, Beijing, China. RDS with smaller grain size had a higher metal concentration. Specifically, particles with the smallest grain size (<44 μm) had the highest metal concentration in most areas (unit: mg/kg): Cd 0.28–1.31, Cr 57.9–154, Cu 68.1–142, Ni 25.8–78.0, Pb 73.1–222 and Zn 264–664. Particles with smaller grain size (<250 μm) contributed more than 80% of the total metal loads in RDS washoff, while suspended solids with a grain size <44 μm in runoff water accounted for greater than 70% of the metal mass in the total suspended solids (TSS). The heavy metal content in the TSS was 2.21–6.52% of that in the RDS. These findings will facilitate our understanding of the importance of RDS grain size distribution in heavy metal pollution caused by urban storm runoff.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Due to China’s rapid urban population growth and industrialization, urban runoff with contaminated road-deposited sediment (RDS) has become an increasingly serious problem [1]. RDS is an important environmental medium due to its atmospheric resuspension and because it is present in storm runoff [2]. RDS is the sink and source of metals and other contaminants on impervious surfaces in urban environments [3], and it often contains elevated concentrations of toxic metals [4]. During rainfall, RDS is transported into the receiving waters, where it has a marked effect on water quality and aquatic biota [5]. Urban storm runoff has been deemed one of the leading sources of water quality impairment for rivers, lakes and estuaries [6]. It is also well known that urban storm runoff is much more difficult to control due the random nature of rainfall and uncertainty of the pollution source [7].

The grain size distribution of RDS is a particularly important factor because it determines the mobility of the particles and their associated pollutant concentrations [8–10]. The behavior of RDS in runoff depends on its grain size distribution [11,12]. Furthermore, smaller particles have lower densities [1], greater surface area per volume unit and higher organic matter contents [13,14]. For most pollutants, higher concentrations are found on smaller RDS [15–17]. Street surfaces are both sources of urban runoff pollutants and pathways for the transport of pollutants [18]. Street sweepers play an important role in removing litter and debris, but are relatively ineffective at removing smaller particles [19]. Indeed, many studies have shown that the efficiency of conventional street sweeping decreases with grain size [20,21]. Hence, smaller particles remain after street sweeping and are incorporated into stormwater runoff [22]. The amount, particulate size and particulate mobility of RDS are critical to assessment of the role of RDS in heavy metal pollution in urban runoff. Most previous studies have focused on the pollutant distribution in different size RDS and the concentration or transport of pollutants in runoff water separately [7,23–26]. Few studies have investigated the grain size distribution in RDS and suspended solids in stormwater runoff simultaneously [8,27]. A previous study demonstrated the importance of grain size for modeling sediment-associated transport from urban road surfaces [2]. The results of these previous studies have indicated that there is clearly a need to link the amount and grain size distribution of RDS with suspended solids in stormwater runoff so that we can better understand how RDS affects heavy metal pollution through urban runoff.

Like most big cities, Beijing has experienced a rapid expansion of impervious surface due to the migration of people from rural to urban areas in the last decade. In this study, we measured the amount, particulate size, and particulate mobility of RDS and heavy metal concentrations in runoff water from impervious surfaces of different land uses in the Haidian District of Beijing. Specifically, the following aspects were addressed in this paper: (1) What is the critical grain size associated with heavy metal pollutants in RDS? (2)
How do the amount and grain size of RDS affect suspended solids and heavy metal concentrations in urban runoff? (3) What is the role of urban land use types in RDS and what is their contribution to heavy metal pollution in urban runoff?

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site description

Haidian, which is one of eight districts in the urban area of Beijing, China, is located in the northwest portion of the city. The mean annual temperature of Haidian is 14.0 °C and the average annual rainfall is 570 mm. The district has an area of 430 km², a population of 2.81 million and contains more than 80 higher education and 213 research institutions. In addition, most farm villages in the region are rapidly being converted into urban villages. Overall, colleges and residences, urban villages and main traffic roads are the three typical impervious land use types in Haidian District. The important factors affecting RDS amount and contaminant concentration of RDS in our study areas include impervious surface condition, street sweeping manner and frequency, and traffic intensity. Colleges and residences have relatively smooth and less damaged impervious surface, regularly daily hand-sweeping or mechanical sweeping, lower traffic intensity; urban villages have heavily damaged impervious surface, rarely street sweeping, and medium level of traffic intensity; main traffic roads have less damaged impervious surface, regularly daily mechanical sweeping, and higher traffic intensity. The streets in the region are hand-swept daily with straw brooms in the colleges and residences, with the exception of Tsinghua University, where the streets are swept with a mechanical sweeper. The roads in the urban villages are rarely swept, while mechanical sweepers are used to clean the main traffic roads. In our study area, runoff water finally enters the Qinghe River through the city rainfall drainage pipe system.

2.2. Sample collection and grain size fractionating

2.2.1. RDS sample collection and grain size fraction

We collected RDS samples using a domestic vacuum cleaner during June 9–10, 2009. The RDS sampling sites were distributed among the following land use types: three in urban village roads, four in residential and college avenues, and three on main traffic roads (Fig. 1). We had three duplicate sampling points at each sampling site. The sites on main traffic roads and college avenues were paved with asphalt, while the other sites were paved with concrete. Sampling area was from the central line to the curb of the road and the size of area was measured by the ruler. We measured total RDS mass weight at each sampling area. We took about 0.8–1.5 kg of RDS sample at each sampling point. Polyester sieves were used for grain size fractionation.

Samples were sorted into grain size fractions of <44, 44–62, 62–105, 105–149, 149–250, 250–450, 450–1000 and 1000–2000 μm. Heavy metals were analyzed for each grain size fraction. In the field of sedimentology, grain size <63 μm, 63–125 μm, 125–250 μm, 250–500 μm, 500–1000 μm, and 1000–2000 μm is typically referred to as silt and clay, very fine sand, fine sand, medium sand, coarse sand, and very coarse sand, respectively [2].

2.2.2. Runoff water sample collection

Runoff water samples were collected from three different land use impervious surfaces: Qianbajia (urban village), Xiangbaiqiao (main traffic road), and Jingshiyuan (residential and college avenues). In our study area, runoff water finally enters the Qinghe River through the city rainfall drainage pipe system. The samples were taken during two rainfall events, 27 mm rainfall for 1.8 h on June 16, 2009, and 44 mm rainfall for 2.9 h on June 18, 2009. We collected runoff water samples manually at each site at 5-min intervals during the first 15 min of the rainfall event; after that the samples were collected at 10-min intervals until there was no more runoff. We collected 12 and 19 water samples at each site during their two rain events on June 16 and 18, 2009, respectively. The runoff volume was measured using calibrated polythene barrels with a volume of 20 L for higher volumes and 5 L barrels for lower volumes during rainfall events. In addition, water samples were collected using plastic bottles and then analyzed in the laboratory within 5 days of the sampling date.

2.2.3. Estimation of heavy metal loads in RDS

To determine the contribution of particles with different grain sizes to the overall contamination of the RDS, we computed the pollutant load percentage for individual RDS samples. We considered...
the heavy metal concentration of each grain size fraction and their mass weight proportions in the calculation. The GSFLoad (load on a grain size fraction) was computed using the following equation [2,7]:

\[
\text{GSFLoad} = \frac{C_i \times GSi}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} C_i \times GSi}
\]

where \(C_i\) is the heavy metal concentration in the RDS particle with a grain size fraction \(i\); \(GSi\) is the mass weight percentage of the size fraction \(i\); and \(m\) is the number of grain size fractions.

2.2.4. Estimation of heavy metal loads in runoff

We applied the event mean concentration (EMC) to estimate heavy metal loads in runoff. EMC is the flow-weighted average of the constituent concentrations [28]:

\[
\text{EMC} = \frac{\int_0^1 C(t) \times Q(t) \, dt}{\int_0^1 Q(t) \, dt}
\]

where \(\text{EMC}\) is event mean concentration (mg/L); \(C(t)\) is the heavy metal concentration (mg/L) in runoff water at each sampling time; \(Q(t)\) is runoff flow rate at each sampling time (m³/min).

2.3. Analytical methods

We analyzed six heavy metal elements (Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn) for all RDS and runoff samples using the standard methods [29]. RDS samples were digested with HF–HClO₄ [30]. GBW07401(GSS-1) and GBW07402(GSS-2) are the certified reference materials (CRMs) for soil certified by General Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quarantine of the People’s Republic of China and they are used as the CRMs for digestion of RDS.

Runoff water samples were filtered through pre-weighted 0.45 μm Millipore filter paper and then adjusted to pH ≤ 2 by the addition of nitric acid. Solid particles remaining in the filter paper were then dried and re-weighed to quantify the total suspended solids (TSS). Next, we applied concentrated HNO₃ to digest the unfiltered runoff water samples, after which the solutions were used to measure the total metals. The particulate metal concentrations were determined as the difference between the total metal in the unfiltered runoff water and the metal concentration in the filtrate. We measured blanks for each batch of samples using the same procedure that was used for filtrate samples.

All solutions were stored at 4°C prior to analysis. The concentrations of Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn were determined using a Perkin-Elmer Elan 6000 ICP-OES. The concentrations of Cd were determined using an Agilent 7500a ICP-MS (Agilent Technologies, USA). The grain size distribution of suspended solids in runoff water was measured using a Mastersize 2000 laser particle size analyzer (Malvern Instruments, Worcestershire, UK). For heavy metal analysis, recoveries varied but all fell within the range of 76–95%. The precision was nearly 8% with a confidence level of 95%.

3. Results

3.1. RDS grain size distribution

The cumulative particle volume distribution curves of the RDS grain size from three land use types were similar (Fig. 2). There was a slight difference in the cumulative percentage of the grain size, with main traffic roads > college and resident avenues > urban village roads. The median diameter of RDS \(d_{50}\) in the three land use types ranged from 100 to 200 μm. Our results showed that the RDS particles from all three land use types were predominantly fine particles (<250 μm, 60–75%). A histogram of the mean mass percentages versus the grain size fraction is shown in Fig. 2b. RDS particles with grain sizes of 62–105 μm were the most abundant among the eight size fractions, accounting for 27 ± 5% and 38 ± 21% of the RDS from college and residential areas and main traffic roads, respectively. The three highest mass fractions of RDS particles collected from urban village areas were as follows: 62–105 μm, 21 ± 3%; 149–250 μm, 22 ± 8%; 250–450 μm, 23 ± 5%. Urban village areas had the highest RDS mass values per unit area among all grain size fractions (Fig. 2c). These findings can be attributed to the infrequency of the street sweeping and the low removal efficiency of the street sweepers in these urban village areas. Our on-site investigation indicated that these urban village areas were seldom swept. We also found that the road-surface condition influenced the efficiency of sweeping because the street surfaces in urban village areas were broken and rough, resulting in increased storage of finer materials.
3.2. Heavy metal concentrations of RDS

We measured six metals (Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn) in each grain size fraction (Fig. 3) and found the highest metal concentrations in the <44 μm fraction, with the exception of Cd and Cu in the main traffic roads and Cr in the college and residential areas, for which the highest concentrations were observed in the 44–62 μm fraction. Generally, smaller grain size fractions had higher heavy metal concentration. The heavy metal concentration was lowest in the 250–2000 μm fraction at all sites, with the exception of Pb, which was highest in the 450–1000 μm fraction on the main traffic roads. Zinc was the most abundant element in the various size fractions at all areas. Our results indicate the importance of removing fine particles from RDS during street sweeping.

We applied the lowest screening effect levels (LEL) and severe effect screening levels (SEL) [31] to assess the heavy metal pollution in RDS (Fig. 3). If the LEL is exceeded, the metal may have a moderate impact on biota health, while if the SEL is exceeded, the metal may severely impact biota health [32]. Our results showed that the Cu and Pb in each size fraction and Cr, Ni and Zn in RDS with a diameter <250 μm exceeded the LEL. The percent exceedance of SEL for Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn was about 18%, 1%, 10%, 50% for all size fraction of RDS, respectively.

3.3. Heavy metal loads of RDS by different land use types

It is helpful to estimate the heavy metal loads of RDS with different grain size ranges to assess the impact of storm water pollution and to improve the existing street cleaning methods. Our results revealed high variations in the heavy metal loads among impervious surfaces of different urban land uses (Fig. 4). The metal load for each fraction in urban village areas was approximately three to thirteen times higher than in college and residential areas, and 5–14 times higher than in main traffic roads areas. We also estimated the metal loads and the contribution of each grain size fraction using GSFLoad (Table 1). The order of the mean GSFLoad values for all metals combined was 62–105 μm (34 ± 12%) > 149–250 μm (21 ± 9%) > 105–149 μm (11 ± 4%) > 250–450 μm (11 ± 5%) > 44–62 μm (8 ± 6%) > 450–1000 μm (6 ± 4%) > 0–44 μm (4 ± 3%) > 1000–2000 μm (2 ± 2%). Heavy metals in RDS were mainly stored in the <250 μm fraction (80%). The street sweeper efficiency was characterized by a decreasing trend with decreasing grain size, which implies that it is difficult to remove 80% of the
metal load by conventional street sweepers because it is stored in the <250 \mu{}m fraction [33,34].

3.4. Total suspended solids and heavy metal contents in urban runoff water

Surface runoff is the major pathway of heavy metals to receiving water in urban areas. Our results showed that heavy metal pollutants in runoff water were primarily in particulate form. Specifically, the particulate heavy metals in the runoff water accounted for 54.5%, 44.3%, 59.0%, 63.3%, and 82.0% of the total Cr, total Cu, total Ni, total Pb and total Zn, respectively, during the two rainfall events. These findings imply that RDS made a great contribution to particulate pollutants in urban runoff.

The TSS content in runoff water was proportional to the mass RDS per unit area in the three land use types. The particulate heavy metal load by conventional street sweepers because it is stored in the <250 \mu{}m fraction [33,34].

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain size fraction (\mu{}m)</th>
<th>Metal loading percentages of different grain size fractions/GSF\text{total} (%)</th>
<th>Grain size partitioning in runoff water (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>Cr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;44</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44–62</td>
<td>8.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>6.5 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62–105</td>
<td>33 ± 23</td>
<td>31 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105–149</td>
<td>15 ± 1.2</td>
<td>20 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149–250</td>
<td>20 ± 15</td>
<td>25 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250–450</td>
<td>11 ± 6.7</td>
<td>11 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450–1000</td>
<td>8.3 ± 3.6</td>
<td>4.9 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values expressed as mean ± standard deviation.
Table 2
Event mean concentration (EMC) of total suspended sediment (TSS) and total heavy metal contents in runoff water during two rainfall events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of rain event</th>
<th>Land use types</th>
<th>Metal forms</th>
<th>Cd (μg/L)</th>
<th>Cr (mg/L)</th>
<th>Cu (mg/L)</th>
<th>Ni (mg/L)</th>
<th>Pb (mg/L)</th>
<th>Zn (mg/L)</th>
<th>TSS (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/16/2009</td>
<td>Urban villages</td>
<td>Dissolved</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>N/A*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>1085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College and residential</td>
<td>Dissolved</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Main traffic roads</td>
<td>Dissolved</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/18/2009</td>
<td>Urban villages</td>
<td>Dissolved</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College and residential</td>
<td>Dissolved</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Main traffic roads</td>
<td>Dissolved</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Not available.

Table 3
Washoff load percentages of total suspended solids and heavy metals in runoff water accounting for RDS and their associated heavy metals from impervious surfaces of different land use types during two rainfall events (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of rain event</th>
<th>Land use types</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>TSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/16/2009</td>
<td>Urban village</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College and residential</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Main traffic road</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/18/2009</td>
<td>Urban village</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College and residential</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Main traffic road</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

circles <44 μm were the primary component of runoff, contributing to more than 70% of the TSS (Table 1). These findings suggest that particles <44 μm comprised the critical size fraction that contributed to heavy metal pollution caused by urban runoff. However, the variation in TSS among different land use types was not proportional to the variation in total metal contents (Table 2). For example, the TSS in runoff water from urban villages was greatest among the three land use types, but the concentrations of most heavy metals were not necessarily the highest values. TSS and particulate heavy metals in runoff water accounted for 16.8%, 2.6%, 2.2%, 6.5%, 5.0%, and 5.1% of the RDS, total Cr, total Cu, total Ni, total Pb and total Zn, respectively (Table 3).

4. Discussion

The heavy metal contents in RDS play an important role in urban runoff pollution. However, the contents of heavy metals in RDS have been found to have high variation among different particle grain sizes and different cities worldwide (Table 4). The RDS grain size distribution also has a significant effect on mediating transport and chemical interactions [26]. An important index of contamination

Table 4
Comparison of heavy metal contents in RDS among Beijing and other cities worldwide (unit of heavy metal contents: mg/kg, and unit of grain size GS: μm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>GS</th>
<th>Ref.*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>&lt;2000</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviles (N. Spain)</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>4892</td>
<td>&lt;147</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>0.96–4.91</td>
<td>19–312</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>245–6190</td>
<td>100–539</td>
<td>&lt;600</td>
<td></td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>&lt;125</td>
<td></td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bursa, Turkey</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td></td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2583</td>
<td>1811</td>
<td>&lt;963</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gela, Sicily, Italy</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>14–43</td>
<td>15–355</td>
<td>14–30</td>
<td>7–54</td>
<td>42–373</td>
<td>500–250</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>250–149</td>
<td>This article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>62–44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>&lt;44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* References.

a N/A: not available.
for RDS is the mass load of a heavy metal in a given grain size fraction [7]. Sutherland reported that particles with a grain size <63 μm were the single most important mass component, with 38% Pb of the total sediment being stored in this fraction [2]. In our study, we found that the 62–105 μm fraction had the greatest influence on the overall contamination of the RDS. Our results also indicate the importance of removing fine particles from RDS during street sweeping.

RDS makes a great contribution to the runoff pollutant loads [41–43], and the size of the RDS is a critical factor affecting the mobility of pollutants and the efficiency of their removal. The behavior of RDS in runoff depends on its grain size distribution [11,44]. Storm runoff selectively transports fine particles from road surfaces, and these particles tend to contain higher trace element concentrations [2,13]. Roger et al. reported that 77.8% of the suspended solids in runoff consisted of particles with diameters lower than 50 μm [13]. Kim and Sansalone reported that suspended solids with a grain size <75 μm accounted for 25–80% of the total suspended solids in runoff [26]. In the present study, we found that suspended solids with a grain size <44 μm in runoff water accounted for more than 70% of the particles in suspended solids in Beijing, while other sized fractions contributed less than 30%.

It is important to understand RDS transport and fate to enable development of appropriate strategies for management of urban non-point source pollution. Previously, it was reported that a large proportion of the heavy metals were associated with coarser particles that had lower mobility [45]. In this study, the two events sampled are close together in time, and the lower fluxes (TSS – mg/L) on June 18 (Table 2) are reflective of a depleted road-surface storage component. The results of the present study suggest that the suspended solids in runoff water accounted for 17% of the RDS, while the particulate heavy metals load in the runoff water accounted for less than 7%.

5. Conclusions

Our results implied that the RDS grain size distribution was the key factor involved in determining the particle mobility and its associated heavy metal load. RDS with a smaller grain size had higher metal contents, whereas particles with smaller grain size (<250 μm) were critical to carrying heavy metals in RDS, which contributed more than 80% of the total metal loads found in the RDS washoff.

The metals in runoff water are primarily in particulate form. We found that storm runoff selectively transported RDS with different grain sizes. Specifically, suspended solids with a grain size of <44 μm accounted for more than 70% of the particles in runoff water, while particles of other grain sizes contributed less than 30%. The TSS in the runoff water accounted for about 20% of RDS. The heavy metal content in the TSS was 2.2–6.5% of that of the RDS washoff.

Impervious surface types of different urban land uses played an important role in accumulating the RDS and metal contents in RDS, which affected the TSS and metal concentration in the runoff water. Of the three land use types in our study, urban villages had the highest amount of RDS per unit area and the highest TSS content in runoff water, but the lowest metal contents in RDS. The concentrations of metals in runoff water, which were determined based on the mass of the suspended solid in runoff water and their associated metal contents in RDS, were at similar levels among the three land use types.
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