1. Introduction

The agglomeration of finely ground iron ore concentrates into magnetite pellets is a complex surface chemical process involving a mixture of various components such as magnetite, bentonite, water-glass, olivine, as well as ions dissolved in the process water. In addition it may be necessary to use a collector for reversed flotation. It is well known that the speciation of silicate species in aqueous solution depends on SiO2:Na2O ratio, pH, temperature, and aging [16–20]. These parameters therefore play a significant role in its application in modifying reagents in flotation. It is well known that the speciation of silicate species in aqueous solution depends on SiO2:Na2O ratio, pH, temperature, and aging [16–20]. These parameters therefore play a significant role in its application in surface modification processes. Here pH was adjusted to intermediate value (8.5), close to the pH of the process water at LKAB. Poly-
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**A B S T R A C T**

Attenuated Total Reflection (ATR) IR spectroscopy was utilized to monitor adsorption of sodium oleate and sodium silicate onto synthetic magnetite at pH = 8.5, both individually and in a competitive manner. Oleate was adsorbed within a concentration range of 0.01–0.5 mM. It was observed that adsorption of oleate increased linearly with increasing concentration of oleate in solution up to a concentration of 0.1 mM. The infrared spectrum of oleate showed a broad single band at 1535 cm⁻¹ assigned to the asymmetric stretching vibration of carboxylate, implying chemisorption of oleate to the magnetite surface. The kinetics of oleate adsorption followed a pseudo first-order reaction with an apparent rate constant of k₁ = 0.030 ± 0.002 min⁻¹. Competitive adsorption of silicate and oleate was performed either by adding silicate solution to a magnetite film initially equilibrated with 0.1 mM oleate or adding oleate solution to magnetite treated with silicate solutions in the concentration range 0.1–5 mM. It was shown that silicate, within reasonable time, had only minor effect on the amount of oleate already adsorbed on magnetite. On the other hand, oleate did not efficiently compete with silicate if the latter substance was already adsorbed on the iron oxide.
merization of silicate is known to be more extensive at intermediate pH values than at higher pH [20].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials and reagents

Magnetite was synthesized as previously described [3,20,21]. The small magnetite particles implied a high surface area (97.7 m²/g), which is advantageous for spectroscopic measurements and these particles were used as a model system for magnetite from the iron ore.

Sodium oleate (99%) was obtained from Sigma. Fresh solutions of oleate were prepared by dissolving sodium oleate in water. Water-glass reagent with a modulus (SiO₂/Na₂O ratio) of 3.3 was used as the silicate solution source. The water-glass solutions were diluted to a desirable concentration just before the experiment. Analytical grade of NaOH and HCl were used for pH adjustment. Milli-Q water (Millipore Corp.) was used in all stages for preparation of sodium oleate and water-glass solutions and adsorption measurements.

2.2. ATR-FTIR measurements

2.2.1. Instrumentation and experimental set up

The internal reflection element (IRE) was thoroughly rinsed with ethanol and Milli-Q water before deposition of a magnetite layer. The magnetite particles were deposited over the ZnS-IRE (50 mm × 20 mm × 2 mm) by evenly spreading a few drops of an as-synthesized magnetite suspension over the crystal and drying it in a vacuum desiccator. A single sided rectangularly shaped flow through cell, made of stainless steel, was used. The cell was connected to a 250 ml reservoir containing 150 ml solution via Viton tubings and the solutions were circulated through the cell at a rate of 5 ml/min (for further details, see Ref. [3]). All experiments were performed at room temperature and the reservoir was protected from air by a flow of argon. The pH value was probed during the experiment using a glass electrode. The IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker IFS 66v/S equipped with a DTGS detector and typically 350 co-added scans were recorded at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. Data acquisition as well as data processing and analysis were carried out by means of the OPUS software.

2.2.2. Adsorption measurements

2.2.2.1. Oleate adsorption. Milli-Q water at pH = 8.5 was continuously circulated through the cell for 30 min before the background spectrum was collected. Afterwards, a known volume of the freshly prepared sodium oleate solution was added to the reaction vessel to acquire the desired spectra. The sample spectra were recorded using the repeated measurements facility until no significant increase in intensity of the C–H stretching frequency was observed. This was assumed to represent the adsorption maximum at the given concentration. The same procedure was repeated for oleate concentrations between 0.01 mM and 0.5 mM. Although the reported critical micellization concentration (CMC) of aqueous sodium oleate solutions varies a lot in the literature (0.7–4.8 mM), [22,23] the concentration range used should be below the CMC value.

A new magnetite film was deposited on the IRE for each kinetic experiment and after the background spectrum had been recorded. The background solution (Milli-Q water at pH = 8.5) was then replaced by oleate solution (further details can be found in Ref. [3]). Experiments were also performed using aqueous oleate solutions in the concentration range 0.05–0.5 mM and a bare ZnS crystal (without deposited magnetite) to make sure the observed intensity was not due to oleate adsorbed onto the bare ZnS-IRE or from oleate in aqueous solution. Only negligible IR absorption, due to oleate, was detected in these experiments.

2.2.2.2. Adsorption of water-glass on oleate modified magnetite. The effect of water-glass on oleate adsorption at the magnetite surface was performed as follows:

An aqueous solution of 0.1 mM oleate was equilibrated with the magnetite surface and spectra were recorded until adsorption equilibrium (ca. 80 min), in the same way as described in Section 2.2.2.1. Then, a given amount of water-glass was added to obtain a silicate concentration of 0.01 mM and subsequently spectra of adsorbed silicate were monitored with time. The experiment was continued by increasing the concentration of silicate to 0.06 mM, 0.2 mM, 1 mM, and 5 mM. Spectra were recorded 75 min after each addition. The pH of the aqueous solutions (Milli-Q water) was 8.5.

2.2.2.3. Adsorption of oleate on silicate modified magnetite. A 0.1 mM aqueous silicate solution was prepared (pH = 8.5). This silicate solution was circulated for 100 min in contact with the magnetite surface while the spectra were collected in the same way as described under Section 2.2.2.1. Subsequently, sodium oleate was added to this solution to obtain a 0.1 mM solution with respect to oleate. This procedure was repeated with initial silicate concentrations of 0.4 mM, 1 mM, and 5 mM before adding sodium oleate (0.1 mM). The latter sequence of silicate/oleate addition is natural since silicate work both as a depressor and as a dispersing agent.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Adsorption of oleate onto magnetite

Fig. 1 shows IR spectra of oleate adsorbed on magnetite at different concentrations and Fig. 2 depicts the plot of integrated absorbance in C–H stretching region (2800–3000 cm⁻¹) versus equilibrium oleate concentration in solution. As evident from Figs. 1 and 2, the adsorption increased nearly proportional to oleate
concentration in solution at low concentrations but the slope of the curve decreased at higher concentration although not reaching an equilibrium plateau value within the concentration range studied. No kink was observed in the absorbance versus concentration isotherm (Fig. 2). However, this does not exclude the formation of a second layer of physisorbed oleate simultaneously as the monolayer is formed.

It should be noticed that the observed intensity is due to oleate adsorbed on magnetite since the adsorption onto the ZnS crystal is negligible (Section 2.2.2.1) and so is absorption due to oleate in solution. A similar trend in oleate adsorption onto hematite was observed by Ofor [24], who studied oleate concentrations up to 1.07 mM. Likewise, Shibata and Fuerstenau [25] found increased oleate adsorption with increasing concentration up to 10\(^{-4}\) M.

The band assignments of oleate and oleic acid are well established in the literature [26]. There are four main regions in the IR spectrum of oleate which are commonly considered to determine and characterize the nature of adsorbed oleate at mineral surfaces:

Region I comprises C–H stretching including symmetric and asymmetric stretching of CH\(_2\) and CH\(_3\) entities between 2800 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3000 cm\(^{-1}\) and the vinylic C–H band above 3000 cm\(^{-1}\). The bands in this region (either the integrated absorbance over the whole CH range or only the CH\(_2\) asymmetric stretching intensity) are frequently used for quantitative measurements of adsorbed organic substances [11,27–29]. The frequency position of the asymmetric CH\(_2\) stretch has also been used as an indicator of the conformation of the alkyl chains e.g., [30–33].

Regions II, III and IV comprise the carboxylic function, where the carbonyl vibration appears around 1710 cm\(^{-1}\) (II), the carboxylate asymmetric (III), and the symmetric (IV) stretching frequencies are located at about 1550 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1420 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. Within the latter region (region IV) also bands due to the symmetric and asymmetric bending of methylene and methyl groups appear. The frequency distance between the two carboxylic vibrations has been proposed as an aid to distinguish between the structure of the surface complexes formed between organic acids and metal oxides [34,35].

The CH\(_2\) and CH\(_3\) stretching bands are the most intense (Fig. 1) at all concentrations studied. A closer look at the frequency position of the asymmetric and symmetric CH\(_2\) stretching bands at different concentrations demonstrates a shift towards lower frequency with increasing concentration up to 0.1 mM (~2 cm\(^{-1}\)) but becomes nearly constant at higher concentrations (Fig. 3). This behavior indicates a decrease in the number of gauche conformers up to 0.1 mM, where after the alkyl chain packing becomes almost constant. The transfer of the alkyl chain from an aqueous environment at low concentration to a hydrocarbon environment at the mineral surface could be responsible for the frequency shift. Both an increased packing of the alkyl chains during monolayer formation and the formation of a second physisorbed layer of oleate molecules are therefore in accordance with this change in frequency.

The single broad feature at 1535 cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 1) was assigned to the asymmetric carboxylate stretching vibration, whilst the weak band at 1711 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to the carbonyl vibration of oleic acid. The latter band became more prominent in the spectra at higher (>0.2 mM) oleate concentration, indicating formation of carboxylic groups. An asymmetric carboxylate stretching at about 1535 cm\(^{-1}\) has been used as a proof of chemisorbed oleate on calcium minerals [36]. The configuration of carboxylate bonded to magnetite is determined using the frequency difference between symmetric and asymmetric COO\(^{-}\) stretching vibrations (\(\Delta\nu\)) [34,35,37]. The observed \(\Delta\nu = 110\) cm\(^{-1}\) in this work (1425–1535 cm\(^{-1}\)) is less than 135 cm\(^{-1}\) obtained for non-adsorbed oleate in accordance with a bidentate mononuclear configuration. In our previous work on oleate adsorbed on magnetite using DRIFT spectroscopy [21], it was concluded that the configuration of adsorbed oleate at the magnetite surface is predominantly a chelate with a small contribution from bidentate bridging, which is in accordance with the present result.

The fact that significant adsorption occurred at alkaline pH (pH = 8.5 in this case) which is higher than the PZC of magnetite (~6.5) clearly indicates chemisorption, although PZC varies somewhat in the literature with pK\(_{a1}\) ~ 5 and pK\(_{a2}\) ~ 8 [38]. The majority of sodium oleate in solution is in the form of O\(^{1-}\) at the pH and concentrations used here although other species such as O\(^{2-}\), HO\(^{1-}\) and HO\(^{2-}\) may also coexist but in non-detectable concentration. The magnetite surface is negatively charged at this pH implying that the surface sites represent a distribution of ([≡FeO\(^{1-}\)]) and neutral entities ([≡FeOH]). Oleate is therefore assumed to replace surface hydroxyl groups. The reaction might be expressed as follows:

\[
[≡Fe(II, III) – OH\(^{-}\)] + O\(^{1-}\) ⇌ [≡Fe(II, III) – O\(^{-}\)] + OH\(^{-}\) (O\(^{-}\) = oleate).
\]

(1)

Thus, the charge of the magnetite surface at pH 8.5 and the infrared band assignments both indicate that oleate forms a chemisorbed layer at the magnetite surface with possible contribution from physisorbed oleate. Physisorption should be more probable at oleate concentrations approaching the CMC value.

3.1.1. Kinetics of oleate adsorption onto magnetite

The kinetics was studied by in situ monitoring the adsorption of oleate onto the magnetite surface with time. The integrated absorbance over the C–H stretching range was assumed to be proportional to the amount of oleate adsorbed. Fig. 4 shows the increase in adsorption with time. Assuming pseudo-first-order kinetics, the following equation can be derived:

\[
\frac{dA}{dt} = kC_0 - A
\]
confirmed to be first-order with absorbances at equilibrium and at time
Fredriksson et al. [11] showed that the adsorption of heptyl xanthate on fluorite as pseudo-first-order kinetics. Free and Miller [14] used this kinetic model to fit their data on oleate adsorption onto fluorite, determining step [40].

\[ \ln\left(\frac{A_m}{(A_m - A_t)}\right) = k_1 t, \]  

where \( k_1 = k_2 C_s \) is the pseudo-first order rate constant, and \( C_s \) is the concentration of oleate in the bulk, \( t \) is time, \( A_m \) and \( A_t \) are absorbances at equilibrium and at time \( t \), respectively [9,39].

According to Eq. (2), a plot of \( \ln\left(\frac{A_m}{(A_m - A_t)}\right) \) versus time might confirm first-order behavior and from the gradient of the straight line, \( k_1 \) can be determined. As shown in Fig. 5, the reaction was confirmed to be first-order with \( R^2 = 0.9961 \), which demonstrates a good correlation with first-order kinetics. An apparent rate constant of \( k_1 = 0.030 \pm 0.002 \text{ min}^{-1} \) was obtained, which was constant within experimental error for all concentrations studied (0.01 mM, 0.02 mM, 0.05 mM, and 0.1 mM).

It has been shown that adsorption of a number of collectors on mineral surfaces follows (pseudo)first-order kinetics with respect to availability of surface sites. Drelich et al. [13] modeled the adsorption of 10-undecenoic acid and 10-undecyonic acid on fluorsparite as pseudo-first-order kinetics. Free and Miller [14] used this kinetic model to fit their data on oleate adsorption onto fluorsparite. Fredriksson et al. [11] showed that the adsorption of heptyl xanthate on a layer of zinc sulfide also followed pseudo-first-order behavior. A similar model was used by Chiem et al. [10] for adsorption of polyacrylamide on a talc surface. Ofor studied adsorption of silicate on a layer of zinc sulfide and reported that the reaction was first order with respect to oleate concentration in bulk solution and considering the high activation energy, the chemical process was the rate determining step [40].

The good correlation between experimental data for different concentrations and pseudo-first-order kinetics presented here indicates that the adsorption process at the surface controls the rate of adsorption. In this process the oleate molecules replace surface hydroxyl groups and also associated water molecules, so hydrogen bonding interaction should play an important role in the initial step. However, van der Waals interactions between hydrocarbon chains may also affect the reaction rate since already adsorbed oleate molecules should facilitate the adsorption of the next molecule close to the first one thereby possibly building islands of oleate at the surface, islands that grows with time and eventually forms a monolayer. The rate of collector adsorption is of course important in selective flotation. Since the separation of apatite from magnetite seems to work well in practice, the rate of collector adsorption onto apatite should be much larger. However, in the real process it might be more efficient to add the collector step by step since this would reduce high local concentrations of the collector in the flotation pulp. A high local concentration might imply that more of the collector is first adsorbed on magnetite and redistribution of the collector from magnetite surfaces to apatite is probably a comparatively slow process. This will be the subject of further investigations.

3.2. Adsorption of silicate onto magnetite

Fig. 6 shows spectra from the adsorption of sodium silicate onto magnetite from 0.1 mM, 0.4 mM, 1 mM, and 5 mM silicate solutions at pH = 8.5. As evident from these infrared spectra, the absorption bands typical for adsorbed silicate species shift to higher frequency with increasing concentration of silicate in solution. This shift caused by higher concentration was recently ascribed to the formation of condensation products between silicate species at the mineral surface [20]. In this context it may be noticed that the adsorption of silicate did not reach an equilibrium plateau value during the time used for equilibration with magnetite (100 min). Silicate was shown to be chemisorbed at magnetite since adsorption was evident at high pH values (pH = 10.8) where both the adsorbent and the adsorbate are negatively charged [20,41]. The rate of adsorption varies with pH and concentration as shown in Ref. [41].

The pH and concentration of silicate in addition to SiO2/Na2O ratio (modulus of sodium silicate), temperature, and aging are the main factors determining the properties of silicate species in solution. The distribution diagram of silicate anions in solution as a function of concentration and pH is available [20,42,43]. According to equilibrium calculations at various pH, the monomers SiO2(OH)2−, SiO2(OH)3−, and Si(OH)4 are dominant at concentrations below 2 mM. At pH < 9, the monomer Si(OH)4 is the major specie in solution. At concentrations higher than 2 mM and moderate pH (7 < pH < 9), however, amorphous silica will start to precipitate.
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**Fig. 4.** Kinetics of oleate adsorption on magnetite shown as a plot of integrated absorbance in the 2800–3000 cm\(^{-1}\) region versus time. The bulk concentration of oleate was 0.1 mM.
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**Fig. 5.** A plot of \( \ln\left(\frac{A_m}{(A_m - A_t)}\right) \) versus time showing that the rate of adsorption of oleate onto magnetite followed a pseudo-first-order reaction. The straight-line is a linear least square fit of the experimental points.
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**Fig. 6.** Infrared spectra of silicate adsorbed on magnetite from aqueous solution. The insert shows the bulk silicate concentrations in mM. Spectra were recorded after equilibration for 100 min.
In previous contributions we studied the silicate adsorption on magnetite at different pH and concentrations [20,41]. It turned out that the highest and strongest adsorption took place at moderate pH and high silicate concentrations where more oligomerized/polymerized silicates form at the surface. According to the literature [20,41,44], the formation of such species has a stronger affinity for the iron oxide surface due to contribution from many Fe–O–Si segments to the strength of adsorption.

Upon adsorption of silicate onto magnetite from a 0.1 mM solution, a prominent IR band appeared at ~950 cm\(^{-1}\). This band was assigned to Fe\(_2\)O–Si stretching in inner-sphere bidentate monomeric surface complexes, \((\text{FeO})_2\text{Si(OH)}_2\) or \((\text{FeO})_2\text{Si(OH)}\text{O}^\text{-}\) [20]. Increasing the silicate concentration in aqueous solution from 0.4 mM to 5 mM implied that this band was shifted to higher wave numbers. At 0.4 mM, a new band appeared above 1000 cm\(^{-1}\), a band that grew in intensity with time. At 1 mM the strongest band was located at ~1020 cm\(^{-1}\) with two distinct shoulders at ~955 cm\(^{-1}\) and ~1120 cm\(^{-1}\). The band at ~955 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to bidentate monomeric surface species, whilst the bands at higher frequency viz. 1020 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1120 cm\(^{-1}\) were assigned to oligomeric and/or polymeric surface species. At 5 mM, the latter band was strongly enhanced and shifted to still higher frequency. The latter infrared absorption was suggested to be related to a three dimensional silica framework such as amorphous silica particles.

Fig. 7 shows the development of the spectral feature upon adsorbing silicate onto magnetite from 0.4 mM silicate solution. The growth in intensity of the band above ~1000 cm\(^{-1}\) is clear and eventually this band became the strongest one in this spectral region. A similar trend occurred at higher concentration viz., 1 mM and 5 mM, and was described as oligomerization or polymerization of silicate at the surface with time [41].

3.3. Competitive adsorption between oleate and silicate for magnetite

In the reversed flotation ofapatite from magnetite, silicate is used as a dispersing agent i.e. to hinder agglomeration. The collector is preferentially adsorbed by apatite, but a small fraction is also adsorbed by magnetite. Since silicate and collector will modify the magnetite surfaces differently, the former making the surface hydrophilic whereas the latter makes it hydrophobic, it is interesting to know whether one of the species already adsorbed can be substituted for the other one. This is interesting because it is known in practice that in the agglomeration of magnetite it is advantageous to have hydrophilic magnetite surfaces. In a process such as pelletizing, the rate of substitution is also very important.

To obtain information about such competitive adsorption, the influence of already adsorbed oleate on silicate adsorption was studied in order to evaluate the possibility of substitution of oleate for silicate anions. Subsequently, the substitution of already adsorbed silicate for oleate was investigated.

3.3.1. Substitution of oleate for silicate

Fig. 8 shows the effect of addition of water-glass to magnetite initially equilibrated with an aqueous solution of 0.1 mM oleate. It is clear from Fig. 8a that the intensity of the methylene stretching vibrations only decreases a little upon increase of the silicate concentration, implying that silicate can not easily replace oleate at the magnetite surface. This result also supports the conclusion that oleate is chemisorbed at the magnetite surface, which was suggested in a previous section. Notice that the aqueous solution is all the time 0.1 mM with respect to oleate in these experiments.

The peak intensity due to silicate adsorption also increased with increasing silicate concentration (Fig. 8b), which shows that an increased amount of silicate was adsorbed although magnetite had been made more hydrophobic by oleate. However, the band shape and peak positions are slightly different as compared with spectra recorded for the same time and concentration of silicate in the bulk, but adsorbed onto non-modified magnetite. This is reasonable since the environment of the adsorbed silicate species is different. For 1 mM and 5 mM silicate solutions, the peak position for the Si\(_2\)O stretch at ~1020 cm\(^{-1}\) and ~1040 cm\(^{-1}\) from adsorbed species at the non-modified magnetite surface, is detected at about 6 cm\(^{-1}\) to lower frequency at the modified surface, although a high frequency shift should be expected because of the hydrophobic environment. However, simultaneously the intensity of the shoulder at ~1120 cm\(^{-1}\) is strongly diminished relative to the intensity of this shoulder for a non-modified surface. This difference in band shape and intensity of silicate absorption indicates less extensive or slower rate of polymerization of silicate when the surface of magnetite was treated with oleate. In addition, the results indicate that Si(OH)\(_4\) units (pH = 8.5) have reached adsorption sites at the magnetite surface although it was first modified by equilibration with a 0.1 mM oleate solution.
3.3.2. Substitution of silicate for oleate

Sodium silicate was first allowed to adsorb onto magnetite from various concentrations of aqueous silicate solutions for 100 min. For each of the so prepared magnetite–silicate solutions, the solution was made 0.1 mM with respect to sodium oleate and this solution was continuously circulated through the flow cell monitoring the infrared spectra of oleate in situ. Fig. 9 shows the integrated absorbance of oleate in the C–H stretching region versus the bulk silicate concentration used to modify the magnetite surface. Clearly, the amount of adsorbed oleate decreased with increasing amount of silicate on the magnetite surface, especially for magnetite prepared using silicate concentrations higher than 0.4 mM. As mentioned earlier, the pH of all solutions investigated was 8.5. The results show that oleate can adsorb on the silicate modified magnetite surface, but the extent of oleate adsorption is considerably lower above the bulk concentration where silicate species at the magnetite surface is supposed to form dimers or possibly polymers. The dimerization and polymerization of silicate at the magnetite surface seems to strengthen the attraction between silicate and iron oxide although increased surface coverage and thickness of the adsorbed silica layer may also be important.

It has been shown by others that pH and silica concentration are two important factors affecting the efficiency of water-glass as a depressant in selective separation of apatite from iron oxide [24,25]. However, at lower sodium silicate concentrations than 0.4 mM, the adsorbed silicate species tended to work mainly as a dispersing agent [46]. Already at this low concentration, the adsorbed silicate species tend to take part in condensation reactions at the magnetite surface (Fig. 7). Increasing the silicate concentration in the bulk from 0.1 mM to 0.4 mM strongly reduced the amount of adsorbed oleate (Fig. 9), whilst the intensity from the silicate species seems therefore to be more efficient in order to avoid adsorption of oleate although adsorption was strongly prohibited already for magnetite treated with 0.1 mM silicate solution.

4. Conclusions

Competition between sodium oleate and sodium silicate for a silicate/oleate modified magnetite surface was studied at pH = 8.5 using in situ ATR-FTIR spectroscopy. This technique allowed the detection of the adsorbate from low concentrations in Milli-Q water as solvent. Adsorption of oleate and silicate from aqueous solution was first studied separately. When oleate was adsorbed on magnetite, a broad infrared band was observed at 1535 cm⁻¹ due to the asymmetric stretching of carboxylate, although the surface of magnetite is negatively charged at this pH (PZC ~ 6.5). This indicated preferentially chemisorption of oleate onto the magnetite surface. The adsorption was shown to increase linearly with oleate concentration in the bulk up to 0.1 mM, with a contribution of physisorbed oleate at higher concentrations. The rate of oleate adsorption on magnetite showed a good correlation with pseudo-first-order kinetics at all concentrations studied with an apparent rate constant of k₁ = 0.030 ± 0.002 min⁻¹.

The IR spectra of silicate adsorbed on magnetite showed a continuous shift to higher frequency with increasing concentration of silicate in the bulk. At a silicate concentration of 0.4 mM, a new band appeared above ~1000 cm⁻¹, a band that grew in intensity and shifted to higher frequency with time. The shift toward higher frequency was assigned to oligomerization of silicate at the magnetite surface, which might affect the depressive properties of silicate. It was shown that silicate in solution could not easily replace oleate already adsorbed at the surface although silicate was clearly adsorbed, but the polymerization/oligomerization of these silicate units were less extensive on magnetite initially treated with oleate as compared to an untreated magnetite surface. It was also shown that magnetite modified by silicate, even at low concentration, effectively reduced the adsorption of oleate from aqueous solution. Already at a silicate concentration of 0.4 mM, the amount of adsorbed sodium oleate was reduced to 10% of the amount adsorbed on a non-modified magnetite surface.
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