Control of Cell Proliferation, Organ Growth, and DNA Damage Response Operate Independently of Dephosphorylation of the Arabidopsis Cdk1 Homolog CDKA;1
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INTRODUCTION

Entry into mitosis is universally controlled by cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs). A key regulatory event in metazoans and fission yeast is CDK activation by the removal of inhibitory phosphate groups in the ATP binding pocket catalyzed by Cdc25 phosphatases. In contrast with other multicellular organisms, we show here that in the flowering plant Arabidopsis thaliana, cell cycle control does not depend on sudden changes in the phosphorylation pattern of the PSTAIRE-containing Cdk1 homolog CDKA;1. Consistently, we found that neither mutants in a previously identified Cdc25 candidate gene nor plants in which it is overexpressed display cell cycle defects. Inhibitory phosphorylation of CDKs is also the key event in metazoans to arrest cell cycle progression upon DNA damage. However, we show here that the DNA damage checkpoint in Arabidopsis can also operate independently of the phosphorylation of CDKA;1. These observations reveal a surprising degree of divergence in the circuitry of highly conserved core cell cycle regulators in multicellular organisms. Based on biomathematical simulations, we propose a plant-specific model of how progression through the cell cycle could be wired in Arabidopsis.
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organisms having a distinguishable G2 phase (Novak et al., 2001; O’Farrell, 2001).

The inhibitory phosphorylation of the P-loop is catalyzed by Wee1-type and/or Myt1/Mik1-type kinases. The trigger is then the activation of CDKs by Cdc25, a dual-specificity phosphatase that liberates the CDKs from this inhibitory phosphorylation. Both Wee1 and Cdc25 are linked with CDKs in a positive feedback loop (i.e., active CDKs inhibit Wee1 but activate Cdc25).

As in multicellular organisms, inhibitory phosphorylation also directs the cell cycle in fission yeast (reviewed in Atherton-Fessler et al., 1993). By contrast, in budding yeast, M phase follows immediately after S phase (lacking a G2 phase), and, consistent with the general dogma, phosphorylation control is not used in this organism for entry into mitosis (Amon et al., 1992; Sorger and Murray, 1992; Boorer et al., 1993). Nonetheless, inhibitory phosphorylation of Cdc28p is still important in *S. cerevisiae* but as a cell morphogenesis checkpoint.

In *Arabidopsis*, the mitotic cell cycle follows the common scheme of G1, S, G2, and M phases (Inze and Veylder, 2006; Gutierrez, 2008). In particular, the G2 phase is pronounced because cells often exit the cell cycle in this gap phase in plants, indicating an elaborated G2/M checkpoint (Galbraith et al., 1991). The cell cycle machinery is largely conserved in *Arabidopsis*, including the Cdk1 homolog CDKA;1, which contains as the sole CDK in *Arabidopsis* the canonical PSTAIRE amino acid motif required for cyclin binding in Cdk1 or Cdc2/Cdc28p. CDKA;1 is also up to now the only CDK from *Arabidopsis* that could complement yeast *cdc2/cdc28*, while CDKB1;1 (formerly known as Cdc2bAt) was reported to fail so (Ferreira et al., 1991; Hirayama et al., 1991; Imajuku et al., 1992). Mammalian, yeast, and plant PSTAIRE kinases share the key regulatory sites in the T- and P-loops (Dissmeyer et al., 2007; Harashima et al., 2007; see Supplemental Figure 1 online). As shown in other organisms, phosphorylation of the T-loop of the Arabidopsis CDKA;1 is required for proper function of this enzyme (Dissmeyer et al., 2007; Harashima et al., 2007). However, phosphorylation of the T-loop is not thought to be regulatory since no indication of a change in phosphorylation state during cell cycle progression was found in *S. cerevisiae* Cdc28p (Hadwiger and Reed, 1988).

Previously, CDKA;1 from *Arabidopsis* and CDKA from tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) have been found to be phosphorylated at a Tyr residue in vitro, presumably at the P-loop (Shimotohno et al., 2006; Gonzalez et al., 2007). In addition, although no protein with similarities to Myt1/Mik1 type has been isolated in plants, a Wee1 homolog has been identified in different plant species (Sun et al., 1999; Sorrell et al., 2002; Gonzalez et al., 2004), and in vitro experiments, WEE1 could phosphorylate CDK and block its activity (Sun et al., 1999; Shimotohno et al., 2006; Gonzalez et al., 2007). RNA interference–mediated downregulation of WEE1 expression in tomato resulted in reduced plant growth and a smaller fruit size (Gonzalez et al., 2007). By contrast, wee1 mutants in *Arabidopsis* displayed no growth defects but were susceptible to genotoxic stress consistent with a function of WEE1 at a DNA damage checkpoint in metazoans (De Schutter et al., 2007).

Furthermore, the expression of the *S. pombe* Cdc25+ phosphatase stimulates cell proliferation of a tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) cell culture (BY-2) (Orchard et al., 2005), and a potential candidate gene for a CDC25-like phosphatase in *Arabidopsis* was presented (Landrieu et al., 2004).

These findings have suggested that CDKA;1 regulation is conserved between plants and metazoans. However, we show here using dephospho- and phosphomimetic mutants of CDKA;1, as well as mutants and overexpression lines of a putative CDC25 ortholog, that regulatory phosphorylation patterns are strikingly different between *Arabidopsis* and animals or yeasts. These differences are incorporated here into a biomathematical simulation of the plant cell cycle.

**RESULTS**

**The CDC25 Candidate Gene At5g03455 Is Not a Key Cell Cycle Regulator in *Arabidopsis***

In metazoans, Cdc25 is one of the most important enzymes in cell cycle control. Exploiting its gatekeeper function for entry into mitosis, Cdc25 also serves as an integrating unit receiving regulatory input from developmental (e.g., growth control) and environmental (e.g., stress sensing) signal transduction cascades. In particular, the large N terminus of Cdc25 has been found to mediate signaling, for instance by harboring phosphorylation sites for the upstream kinases Chk1 and Chk2 (Boutros et al., 2006). However, the presumed CDC25 candidate (encoded by At5g03455) in *Arabidopsis* completely lacks a comparable N-terminal regulatory region.

To test whether the CDC25 candidate is important for plant cell cycle control, we isolated a T-DNA insertion mutant that represents a null allele (see Supplemental Figures 2A and 2B online). Unexpectedly, homozygous cdc25 mutants grew indistinguishably from wild-type plants and, regarding ploidy level, had a similar DNA profile (see Supplemental Figures 2C and 2D online). When quantifying the number of abaxial epidermal cells in leaves, no significant difference was observed between the mutant and control plants (see Supplemental Table 1 online). Conversely, CDC25 overexpression lines (CDC25-OE) also resembled wild-type plants without any indication of overproliferation or increased cell cycle rates (see Supplemental Figures 2C and 2D and Supplemental Table 1 online). The DNA ploidy distribution pattern was also indistinguishable between the different genotypes (see Supplemental Figures 2C and 2D and Supplemental Table 1 online).

Finally, we tested both cdc25 and CDC25-OE for altered behavior on auxin- and cytokinin-containing media because Cdc25 had been proposed to be induced by cytokinin (Suchomelova et al., 2004), but no altered hormone response was observed (see Supplemental Figure 2D online). These results demonstrate that either CDC25 is not involved in cell cycle regulation or, in contrast with yeast and animals, CDC25 is not a rate-limiting component in *Arabidopsis*. Consistent with a function unrelated to the cell cycle, it has recently been found that At5g03455 possesses besides a phosphatase also an arsenate reductase activity (therefore, it was proposed to be named ARSENATE REDUCTASE 2/ArsC-RELATED) and appears to be involved in arsenate metabolism (Bleeke et al., 2006; Ellis et al., 2006). When testing for arsenate reduction, we found that CDC25-OE...
lines displayed a twofold higher reductase activity in comparison with wild-type plants, while in the extracts from cdc25 mutant plants, almost no detectable activity levels could be measured, consistent with previously obtained results and supporting the functionality of the here analyzed overexpression lines (see Supplemental Figure 2 online).

**Phosphomimicry Version of CDKA;1 Reveals a Conserved Enzymatic Mechanism of PSTAIRE Kinases**

Although At5g03455 was the main candidate for an *Arabidopsis* CDC25 homolog, a large class of CDC25-related phosphatases exists that could take over specific subtasks and/or act redundantly in cell cycle control. To complement the above and previously presented data on cdc25 mutants, we analyzed dephospho- and phosphomimicry versions of CDKA;1 in planta as the most direct and unambiguous way of studying the importance of CDK phosphorylation.

We substituted both conserved phosphorylation sites Thr-14 and Tyr-15 in CDKA;1 with negatively charged Asp and Glu, respectively (in short DE; see Supplemental Figures 1 and 3C online). Yellow fluorescent protein fusions of DE were stable, displayed the wild-type subcellular localization pattern, and interacted with core cell cycle regulators, such as CYCLIN D3;1, ICK1/KRP1 (for INHIBITOR/INTERACTOR OF CKDs 1/KIP-RELATED-PROTEIN 1), and CKS1 (CDK-SUBUNIT 1), as did wild-type CDKA;1 fusions (see Supplemental Figures 4A and 4B online), illustrating that the introduced mutations did not affect the general behavior of CDKA;1.

Homozygous cdka;1 mutants that are lethal under greenhouse conditions (Nowack et al., 2006; Iwakawa et al., 2006) can be completely rescued by expressing the CDKA;1 cDNA under the control of a 2-kb fragment of the presumptive CDKA;1 promoter region (Nowack et al., 2006). After transformation of the DE variant driven by the CDKA;1 promoter into cdka;1 heterozygous mutant plants, homozygous cdka;1 mutant plants could be recovered in the T2 generation that expressed the DE variant.

DE plants were severely altered with strongly reduced cell proliferation and growth and only partial rescue of the cdka;1 mutants (Figures 1A and 1B). The primary phenotype of heterozygous cdka;1 mutants was a failure to progress through the second mitotic division during male gametophyte development.

![Figure 1.](image-url)

**Figure 1.** Rosette Morphology of CDKA;1 Variants and Their Combination with pas2/pep and wee1.

(A) Strongly reduced growth of 3-week-old DE and wee1-DE plants in comparison to the wild-type (Columbia-0 [Col-0]) and VF plants of the same age.

(B) Characteristic callus-like overproliferation phenotype of 3-week-old pas2/pep seedlings grown on agar plates. In the triple mutant pas2/pep-DE, the pas2/pep phenotype is not restored.

(C) Close-ups of pas2/pep and pas2/pep-DE from (B).

Bars = 1 cm in (A) and (B) and 1 mm in (D).
resulting in two-celled pollen containing only one sperm-like cell, in contrast with the normal-looking wild-type pollen with three cells, including two sperms (Iwakawa et al., 2006; Nowack et al., 2006). Testing the extent of a rescue of the gametophytic defects in DE plants was not possible since these were completely sterile (data not shown), consistent with previously identified weak cdka;1 mutant alleles and an observed requirement of CDKA;1 during meiosis (Dissmeyer et al., 2007). Therefore, we analyzed pollen of plants that were homozygous for the DE construct and heterozygous for cdka;1 (Figure 2C). In contrast with heterozygous or homozygous cdka;1 mutants in which a nonmutated CDKA;1 cDNA is driven from the CDKA;1 promoter, DE expressing homozygous cdka;1 mutants showed between 10 and 30% single sperm pollen (Figure 2D).

Reduced activity of the DE variant was also observed when analyzing other plant growth parameters: Roots showed reduced length and a smaller root meristem (see Supplemental Figures 5A, 5C, and 5E online). Root growth rates were less than half those of wild-type plants as determined by kinematic measurements (Figures 3A, 3C, and 3E). Similarly, leaf size was dramatically reduced due to a strong decrease in cell number (Figures 4A to 4C). Consistent with previous observation in plants with reduced CDK activity, we found that the few remaining leaf cells were larger than in the wild type (Figures 4B and 4C).

Figure 2. Analysis of Pollen Phenotypes.

(A) to (C) DAPI staining of pollen grains. Bars = 10 μm.

(A) Wild-type pollen at anthesis, consisting of three cells: two sperm cells, with two bright and condensed nuclei, embedded in one giant vegetative cell with a large and diffuse nucleus.

(B) Heterozygous cdka;1 mutants with approximately half of the pollen containing only a single sperm cell-like cell.

(C) In heterozygous cdka;1 mutants harboring two copies of the DE construct, both wild-type and mutant pollen (asterisks) can be found, indicating the reduced activity of DE.

(D) Quantification of pollen phenotypes. See Methods for genotype descriptions. The number of pollen scored for each genotype is shown at the top of each graph; DE and wee1-DE are heterozygous for cdka;1. #1, 2, and 3 are individual T3 lines from independent transformation events.
Figure 3. Analysis of Root Growth.

(A) and (B) Wild-type (Col-0), wee1, VF, and DE plants were germinated and grown for 10 d (A) on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (A) and on MS medium containing 1 mM HU (B). The DE plants were compiled from a segregating population because homozygous DE plants are sterile. Bar in (A) = 1 cm.

(C) and (D) Kinematic analysis of the root growth rate of seedlings grown on MS plates without and with HU for 10 d after germination (abscissa, 1 = growth from day 1 to day 2, etc.). Error bars represent SD of the following data (0 mM HU: replicates/individuals per replicate/1 mM HU: replicates/individuals per replicate): Col-0 (5/49/4/36), wee1 (5/51/3/31), VF #1 (3/30/3/28), VF #2 (2/1/12/2/14), VF #3 (3/25/3/24), DE #1 (4/34/4/25), DE #2 (2/3/2/20), DE #3 (4/17/3/18), wee1-VF #1 (2/1/12/2/23), wee1-VF #2 (3/33/3/32), wee1-VF #3 (3/34/3/29), wee1-DE #1 (2/12/3/2), wee1-DE #2 (3/16/3/12), and wee1-DE #4 (5/35/5/27). Root length was measured from the root tip until the root-hypocotyl border. The mean of the root lengths of each individual experiment was determined and again averaged for the replicates.

(E) Ratio of the mean growth rates on 1 mM HU/0 mM HU of the intervals 4 to 7. The values of the individual sublines #1, #2, and #3 were averaged. Quantification of the final root length after 10 d is shown in Supplemental Figures 3A and 3B online.
However, cell size was also restricted in DE plants as seen by a reduction in the size of trichomes (leaf hairs), which are some of the largest cells in Arabidopsis (Figure 4B).

Trichome growth depends on endoreplication, and many cells of Arabidopsis wild-type plants undergo cellular polyploidization reaching DNA content levels of up to 32C. Analyses of 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)-stained leaves revealed that indeed the nuclear sizes in trichomes as well as most other leaf cells are strongly reduced in DE plants (Figures 4C and 5B). Quantification by flow cytometry showed that, in DE plants, endoreplication is severely compromised and most of the cells are found in G1 phase with a DNA content of 2C, even at late stages of their development (Figures 5A and 5B).

It is noteworthy that a reduction in cell proliferation and growth was not observed in a wild-type background or in heterozygous cdka;1 mutants carrying one or two alleles of the DE construct. This indicated that DE does not function as a dominant negative and that the effect of the CDKA;1-DE construct can be overridden by the presence of one wild-type CDKA;1 allele.

Consistent with the above mutant description, we found dramatically reduced CDKA;1 activity in kinase assays of DE plants (Figure 6C). Recently, Tyr-15 phosphorylation of Cdk2 has been shown to result in reduced substrate binding of the kinase (Welburn et al., 2007). Indeed, the interaction of DE with a bona fide CKD substrate (i.e., CDC6) was reduced when compared with that of the wild-type CDKA;1 (Figures 7A and 7B).

Taken together, these findings show that CDKA;1 activity is required throughout plant development and underscore that cell proliferation is a major parameter of plant growth.

Dephosphomutants of CDKA;1 Can Fully Substitute for the Wild-Type Kinase without Causing Cell Cycle Defects

The data presented above show that the molecular mechanisms of CDKA;1 are conserved in comparison to other PSTAIRE-CDKs, suggesting the possibility for regulation of CDKA;1 via P-loop phosphorylation in planta. To assess the biological importance of a putative P-loop phosphorylation, we substituted Thr-14 and Tyr-15 with the nonphosphorylatable Ala or Val and Phe, respectively (hereafter abbreviated as AF or VF; see Supplemental Figures 1 and 3 online). Again, we tested the general parameters of the dephosphovariants and found that their stability and ability to interact with known cofactors were not compromised. In addition, the interaction profile with CDC6 as substrate was the same as that of the wild-type CDKA;1 (Figures 7A and 7B).

In human cells, the expression of a Cdk1-AF dephosphomutant pushes cells through the cell cycle and, in particular, forces them into mitotic-like states with only a partially replicated genome (Krek and Nigg, 1991; Pomerening et al., 2008). Similarly, it has been proposed that plants with increased CDKA;1 activity display a callus-like growth with many fast and unorganized proliferating cells (Da Costa et al., 2006). Therefore, we decided to express the dephosphovariants in specific cell types and tissues that would interfere as little as possible with viability and fertility. We chose Arabidopsis trichomes as a prototype for cells with an endoreplication cycle and stomata as an example for cells undergoing a mitotic cell cycle. In both cases, the generated transgenic lines...
expressing this gain-of-function construct were not obviously altered in trichome or stomata morphology (data not shown).

Next, we fused the CDKA;1-VF dephosphoversion to the CDKA;1 promoter and transformed this construct into heterozygous cdka;1 mutant plants. Surprisingly, VF could completely rescue the cdka;1 mutant phenotype, and the resulting homozygous cdka;1 mutants expressing the VF variant were morphologically indistinguishable from wild-type plants (i.e., in terms of organ and cell size, DNA profile, root growth rates, and, consistent with the above finding, stomata and trichome morphology) (Figures 1A, 2D, 3A, 3C, 4, and 5).

In kinase assays from protein extracts of flower buds, the activity of VF was the same as that of the wild-type CDKA;1 (Figure 6). These data illustrate the absence of a G2/M control mechanism by Thr-14 and Tyr-15 phosphorylation for plant PSTAIRE-CDKs under normal growth conditions.

As an alternative or complementary regulatory mechanism to dephosphorylation by CDC25, PASTICCINO2/PEPINO (PAS2/PEP) has been proposed to act on CDKA;1 as an antiphosphatase, protecting the inhibitory phosphate group(s) (Da Costa et al., 2006). However, the wild-type-like phenotype of VF plants argues per se against an important role of PAS2/PEP in regulating CDKA;1. To substantiate this finding, we introduced VF into pas2/pep mutants. Homozygous cdka;1 mutants expressing VF in a homozygous pas2/pep mutant background were indistinguishable from pas2/pep plants, indicating that pas2/pep-VF plants still develop a pas2/pep phenotype (Figures 1B and 1C).

Conversely, we also generated homozygous cdka;1 mutants expressing the DE variant in homozygous pas2/pep mutants. If the mutant phenotype of pas2/pep were due to uninhibited CDKA;1, the phenotype of DE plants should be epistatic, but the phenotype of pas2/pep-DE was additive (i.e., plants were tiny, yet overproliferating) (Figures 1B and 1C), corroborating that PAS2/PEP functions in parallel to CDKA;1. Consistent with these observations, it was recently reported that PAS2/PEP is involved in fatty acid metabolism and can function as a 3-hydroxy-acyl-CoA dehydratase (Bach et al., 2008).

Figure 5. Determination of Ploidy and DNA Content.

(A) Flow cytometry of Arabidopsis leaves. For ploidy analysis of each genotype, three independent preparations of pooled leaf material (young [top row, leaves 1 plus 2] and mature [bottom row, leaves 3 plus 4]) of five individuals each were investigated in triplicates. For the transgensics DE and VF as well as for the combinations wee1-DE and wee1-VF, three independent transformants (DE and VF #1, #2, or #3) were investigated homozygous for cdka;1. For each profile, 10,000 events were counted. Numbers below the peaks indicate the ploidy (or C-) level.

(B) Details of DAPI-stained leaves in which nuclear morphology and size of stomata (st) in DE and VF is indistinguishable from those of the wild type, whereas the endoreplication of trichomes (tr) is reduced in DE. Individuals were grown under identical conditions for 3 weeks.
The DNA Damage Response Pathway in Arabidopsis Does Not Depend on P-Loop Phosphorylation

P-loop phosphorylation of CDKs was found to be especially crucial in metazoans when the nuclear DNA is damaged (Yata and Esashi, 2009) in order to prevent mitosis of abnormal cells. The previously observed growth defects of the Arabidopsis wee1 mutant under genotoxic conditions suggested that cells in this mutant cannot delay mitosis to allow DNA repair (De Schutter et al., 2007).

If the wee1 phenotype on hydroxyurea (HU) was caused by a failure to place the inhibitory phosphorylation marks onto the PSTAIRE kinase only, VF plants should completely mimic wee1 mutants under replication stress conditions. However, kinematic growth assays of VF on HU plates indicated that VF did not display the wee1 mutant phenotype but behaved like the wild type (Figures 3B, 3D, and 3E; see Supplemental Figure 5 online).

To validate this observation, we introgressed homozygous cdkα;1 expressing VF into homozygous wee1 mutants (wee1-VF). The resulting plants homozygous for all three loci (cdkα;1, wee1, and VF) were indistinguishable from wild-type plants under normal growth conditions (Figures 2, 3A, and 3C; see Supplemental Figure 5 online; data not shown). When root growth was analyzed under genotoxic conditions, wee1-VF behaved like wee1 on HU, ruling out that VF by itself (as cdkα;1−/−;proCDKA;1:CDKA;1-T14V/Y15F+/+) could somehow bypass the requirements for WEE1 under genotoxic stress (Figures 3B, 3D, and 3E; see Supplemental Figure 5 online). Similarly, CDC25-OE did not show an increased susceptibility, and the cdc25 mutant was not more resistant on HU plates than the wild type (see Supplemental Figure 2 online).

Correspondingly, if WEE1 solely operates on CDKA;1, the phosphomimicry DE plants should perform on HU-containing media like wee1-DE. The triple homozygous mutant wee1-DE plants closely resembled DE plants (Figures 1A, 2, 3A, 3D, 4, and 5), but wee1-DE was still susceptible to HU (Figures 3B, 3D, and 3E).

---

Figure 6. Kinase Activity of CDKA;1 Variants.
In vitro histone H1 kinase assays of CDKA;1-cyclin complexes from crude extracts of flower buds. [γ-32P] was used as phosphorylation probe. As a loading control, CDKA;1 protein levels were visualized using α-PSTAIRE antibody.

Figure 7. Stability, Localization, and Interaction of CDKA;1 Variants.
(A) Wild-type CDKA;1 interacts in bimolecular fluorescence complementation assays with nonsubstrate interactors, such as CYCLIN D3;1 (I) or CDK-SUBUNIT 1 (II), and with putative substrates, such as CDC6 (III). Bar = 50 μm.
(B) Quantification of the relative fluorescence intensities. Error bars in the graph indicate SD from at least three independent experiments. [See online article for color version of this figure.]
Notably, wee1-DE plants performed slightly better than wee1 mutants on HU media, especially during early stages of the HU treatment; thus, a reduction of cell cycle activity might indeed help to grow under replicative stress conditions. However, this effect was small and likely indirect, so we therefore conclude that under genotoxic conditions, wee1 is epistatic over DE, demonstrating that a WEE1-mediated DNA damage response in Arabidopsis acts independently of Thr-14 and Tyr-15 phosphorylation.

**DISCUSSION**

After more than two decades of search for a cell cycle–related Cdc25 homolog in vascular plants, we show here that regulation of the Arabidopsis Cdk1 homolog CDKA;1 via dephosphorylation of the P-loop has no biological relevance for plant growth. Similarly, the DNA damage checkpoint is fully functional without phosphorylation of the conserved residues in the P-loop of CDKA;1. At the same time, CDKA;1 kinase activity can be severely compromised by phosphomimetic mutations, likely relying on a similar mechanism as in metazoans (i.e., by affecting substrate binding). This indicates that the kinase mechanistics are conserved between Arabidopsis, yeast, and animals, supported by a high degree of sequence similarity between the different species (see Supplemental Figure 1 online) and the fact that Arabidopsis CDKA;1 can rescue cdc2 and cdc28 mutants in yeast (Ferreira et al., 1991; Hirayama et al., 1991; Imajuku et al., 1992; Porceddu et al., 1999; Dissmeyer et al., 2007). However, the regulatory context and the wiring of Cdk1-like kinases appear to have evolved to be very different in plants.

**Control of Mitotic Entry in Plants**

The key features of cell cycle progression (i.e., unidirectionality and step-wise progression with the possibility to arrest the cell cycle [checkpoints]) are achieved in animals and yeast by three central oscillating regulatory units that are wired together to achieve stable and alternating states (hysteresis) of CDK activity (Ferrell, 2002; Tyson and Novak, 2008): first, oscillating levels of cyclins, precisely controlled by transcription and protein stability; second, fluctuating levels of CDK inhibitors; and finally, changing states of inhibitory phosphorylation of CDKs. Bistability, the alternation of only two stable steady states (fully active and fully inactive), is reached in this system by various feedback and feed-forward mechanisms. For example, active CDKs phosphorylate and inhibit Wee1 and at the same time activate Cdc25 as shown in Xenopus laevis egg cells (Pomerening et al., 2003; Sha et al., 2003).

While it is clear that in plants oscillating levels of cyclins and CKIs (CDK inhibitors) exist that could contribute to CDK bistability (Verkest et al., 2005b; De Veylder et al., 2007), we show here that at least the third major module does not appear to be present in plants. To evaluate the consequences of the absence of this module, we simulated cell cycle progression based on a common cell cycle model (Tyson and Novak, 2001) with and without a CDK-CDC25-WEEl loop (Figures 8A and 8B). If only non-phosphorylatable CDKA;1 were present, our model predicted stable CDK activity oscillations (Figure 8B), reminiscent of the situation in S. cerevisiae in which phosphorylation of the P-loop is not used in cell cycle control. However, similar to S. cerevisiae, a G2 phase was virtually eliminated, while Arabidopsis and other plants have a defined G2 phase with a clear DNA damage checkpoint (Inze and Veylder, 2006; Gutierrez, 2008).

Based on the above findings, we postulate a plant-specific module that establishes a G2 phase. In theory, entry into mitosis could be controlled by different mechanisms: positive cell cycle regulators that specifically control mitotic targets or negative cell cycle regulators that serve as a relay of CDK activity.

On the side of the positive regulators, two obvious candidates exist that could fulfill this role: The first candidate is additional cyclins. Indeed, one of the key distinctions of the cell cycle repertoire in plants is the enormously increased number of cyclins, with more than 30 cyclins in Arabidopsis in comparison to only a handful in yeast or metazoans (Inze and Veylder, 2006). Still very little is known about the different family members, and it remains to be seen whether the diversification in Arabidopsis reflects a cell cycle requirement in plants. In our simulations, activation of mitotic cyclin transcription by MPF (M phase promoting factor, called MPF* in our model) could establish a long G2 phase in the absence of a CDK-CDC25-WEEl loop (Figure 8C). Interestingly, ectopic expression of a tobacco CYCB2 in BY2 cells was found to push cells into mitosis, indicating that transcriptional regulation of mitotic cyclins is indeed sufficient to modulate the length of G2 phases (Weingartner et al., 2003).

The second candidate is a group of plant-specific B-type CDKs (Boudolf et al., 2006). In particular, the CDKB2 class is expressed in mitosis, and CDKB2s were shown to be important cell cycle regulators in Arabidopsis (Andersen et al., 2008). Formally, adding additional CDKs (named CDKX in the model) regulated by cyclin availability (CYCX) or inhibitory phosphorylation could establish a G2 phase in the plant cell cycle (Figure 8C).

Conversely, negative cell cycle regulators could also be involved in establishing a G2 phase, and two classes of CKIs have been identified in Arabidopsis up to now: the ICK/KRP and the SIM/SMR proteins (SIMASE/SIM-RELATED PROTEINS; Verkest et al., 2005b; Churchman et al., 2006; Peres et al., 2007). We find with modeling that an MPF-specific CKI (called CKI*) can delay MPF activation and thereby establish a G2 phase of the cell cycle. A key feature of the CKIs in our model is that they should be neither degraded at G1/S nor be substrates of APC/C (anaphase-promoting complex or cyclosome).

However, all ICK/KRPs tested so far in overexpression studies can block both M and S phases (Verkest et al., 2005b), and at least KRP6 and KRP7 appear to be degraded at the G1/S transition point mediated by a CRL (cullin-RING ligase)-FBL17 complex (Kim et al., 2008; Gusti et al., 2009). By contrast, SIM expression appears to be compatible with DNA replication and preferentially represses M phase (Churchman et al., 2006; Peres et al., 2007). Thus, in particular, SIM and the SIM family members could be involved in setting up a plant-specific delay of M phase. Consistent with this is the observation that at least some of the SMRs are expressed in highly proliferating tissues, such as young flower buds and shoot apical meristems (www.bar.utoronto.ca and www.genevestigator.com).

The above models are still not exhaustive since additional, currently cryptic mechanisms could play a role. For example, a feedback loop between CDK activity and transcription factors that in turn activate the transcription of G2/M–specific genes
such as B-type cyclins could represent a crucial rely mechanism for cyclin levels as seen for the stabilization of three-repeat Myb in tobacco by CDKA activity (Araki et al., 2004). In addition, special patterns of cyclin destruction can limit cyclin availability (similar to transcription), providing a G2 phase (Figure 8C).

Moreover, given the typical buffering found in biological processes, a combination of different backup scenarios appears likely, such as CKI plus CDKBs or CDKBs plus cyclin availability. Indeed, a link between CDKBs and CKIs has already been found, indicating that ICK2/KRP2 is phosphorylated by CDKBs and by that marked for degradation, resulting in the liberation of CDKA;1 from the inhibition through ICK2/KRP2 (Verkest et al., 2005a). A combination of special mitotic CDK complexes and CKIs (Figures 8C and 8D) could reinforce a switch-like behavior of CDK activity and accomplish the much faster transition between states of low and high activity (note the difference in the accumulation of MPF activity between Figures 8A versus 8C and 8D).

The Role of WEE1 in the Plant Cell Cycle

Given that the plant cell cycle appears to operate without a CDC25-WEE1 module, what is the role of WEE1 in plants and how can the Tyr phosphorylation patterns on CDKA;1 be explained that has been repeatedly observed?

First of all, the function of WEE1 could be species-specifically adapted as judged from the differences in plant growth between Arabidopsis wee1 mutants and WEE1 antisense expression in tomato (De Schutter et al., 2007; Gonzalez et al., 2007). In particular, WEE1 might act in a specific developmental or environmental context analogous to the situation in S. cerevisiae where Swe1p (its Wee1 homolog) operates a morphogenesis checkpoint monitoring the integrity of the actin cytoskeleton during bud formation (Lew and Reed, 1995; McMillan et al., 1998). The possibility that Tyr phosphorylation of CDKA;1 is not generally employed during cell proliferation to attenuate kinase activity is supported by recent Arabidopsis phosphoproteomics data that documented the phosphorylation of the T- but not of the P-loop of CDKA;1 consistent with previous studies (Dissmeyer

Numerical simulations are based on a eukaryotic cell cycle model (Tyson and Novak, 2001), and the relative activity levels (0 to 1) of major cell cycle regulators are plotted versus time with the same time window shown in each panel; approximate cell cycle phases are given under each panel.

(A) Cell cycle control with inhibitory phosphorylation of mitosis promoting factor (MPF): S phase and M phase start when the CDKA activities of S phase promoting factor (SPF) and MPF abruptly rise from their low level. The long period between SPF and MPF activation represents the sum of S and G2 phases of the cycle.

(B) Cell cycle control without inhibitory Tyr-15 phosphorylation of MPF: in its absence, MPF activity rises soon after SPF and initiates mitosis prematurely without any G2 phase.

(C) MPF activation with rate-limiting cyclin availability: by assuming that the synthesis (or degradation) of cyclin of MPF (called MPF*) depends on MPF activity, a G2 phase can be established.

(D) MPF regulation by G2 phase-specific CDK inhibitors (CKI*): a stoichiometrically acting CKI can delay MPF activation instead of inhibitory phosphorylations onto CDK-cyclin complexes.
et al., 2007; de la Fuente van Bentem et al., 2008; Sugiyama et al., 2008). However, these are negative data, and caution is required in interpreting the absence of specific phosphopeptides. Moreover, proteome-wide studies clearly do not rule out a context-dependent function of CDK phosphorylation, such as DNA damage or organ-specific functions, such as in fruits (see also below).

Alternatively, WEE1 might target other CDKs than CDKA, for instance, the above-mentioned B-type CDKs, in particular since it is currently often difficult to faithfully discriminate between CDKA and CDKB activities in planta. However, phosphoproteomics data did also not hint at phosphorylated P-loops of any of the CDK Bs (de la Fuente van Bentem et al., 2008; Sugiyama et al., 2008). Thus, we propose here that WEE1 is not a core cell cycle regulator but rather functions as a checkpoint kinase similar to the role of the Mik1+ kinase in S. pombe but targeting other substrates than a PSTAIRE CDK in Arabidopsis (Lundgren et al., 1991; Lee et al., 1994).

At checkpoint conditions, WEE1 might phosphorylate CDKA;1, but its main function could rather be as a stochiometrically acting CKI, for example, by direct binding to CDKs, as suggested by experiments in S. cerevisiae where Swe1p inhibits Cdc28p in a nonphosphorylation-dependent manner (McMillan et al., 1999). Thus, one possibility could be that phosphorylation is a byproduct that potentially reinforces the inhibitory binding of WEE1 to CDKA;1 in Arabidopsis.

WEE1-like kinases are diversely used in eukaryotes, for example, as a checkpoint kinase versus a mitotic regulator. Therefore, resolving WEE1 function in Arabidopsis will also make an important contribution to the understanding of the evolution of cell cycle regulation. This is especially important because it appears that the Arabidopsis cell cycle contains components from quite diverse organisms in a unique composition, for example, a gametophytic effect of Cdc25 in S. pombe or metazoans but without a similarity to the role of the Mik1+ kinase in S. pombe or metazoans but without a similarity to the role of the Mik1+ kinase in S. pombe, that the CDKBs (de la Fuente van Bentem et al., 2008; Sugiyama et al., 1991; Lee et al., 1994).

METHODS

Plant Material and Growth Conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana plants used in this study were either grown on soil (16 h light; standard mixture consists of eight bags of MiniTray [70 L/bag, Balster Einheitserdewerk]; add 50 liters of water containing 800 mL Osmocote Start [Scotts International] and 250 g BioMükk [Saatter and Stepper]) or in vitro (22 h light; on 0.5% MS medium [Sigma-Aldrich] in MobyLux GroBanks [CLF Plant Climatics]. A characterized SALK cdka;1 T-DNA insertion allele was used (AI3g48750, 106809; Nowack et al., 2006), and T-DNA alleles for wee1 and cdca25 were isolated from the GABI-Kat collection (wee1, At1g02970, 270E05; cdca25, At1g03455, 772G08; Li et al., 2007). Seeds heterozygous for the pas2/pex (At5g10480) ethyl methanesulfonate alleles pepR254 (old knocki) and pepU306 (alpha) were a generous gift from Ramon Angel Torres Ruiz and are in the Landsberg erecta background (Haberer et al., 2002). The cdka;1 allele can be tracked using the primers ND61/ND62 and wild-type CDKA;1 with N126/ N127. The wee1 allele was genotyped with N101/N102, WEE1 wild type with the latter and N103, with N200/N201, or with N202/N203. The cdca25 insertion was genotyped with ND97/ N627 and wild-type CDC25 with N626/N627. Cleaved-amplified polymorphic sequence markers were used to allele-specifically digest PCR products of pas2/pex (pepR254, N384/N385/PstI, and pepU306, N382/ N383/BglII).

To determine the root growth rates under genotoxic stress, dry seeds were sterilized with chlorine gas from 75% (v/v) Eau de Javel (FLOUR Haagen) and 25% (v/v) hydrochloric acid, sown on MS supplemented with 1 mM HU (Sigma-Aldrich), elongation of roots marked daily, scanned on a Nashuatec Aficio Docustation DS730 (RICOH) via Scan-to-Email, and measured with ImageJ (rsb.info.nih.gov/ij).

Transgenic Lines Generated in This Study

The genotypes of the lines used in this work are as follows. T-DNA insertion lines and their crossings: cdka;1 (cdka;1+/+/−); heterozygous T-DNA insertion mutant, background Col-0), wee1 (wee1+/−/−); homozygous T-DNA insertion mutant, background Col-0), pas2/pex (pas2/pex+/−/−); homozygous T-DNA insertion mutant, background Landsberg erecta), and wee1 x cdka;1 (wee1+/−/− x cdka;1+/−/−).

Transgenic lines: wt (rescue; cdka;1+/−/−;ProCDKA;1:CDKA;1+/−/−), DE (phosphomimicry; cdka;1+/−/−;ProCDKA;1:CDKA;1−T14D;Y15E+/−). In addition, to study the gameotrophic effect of DE, DE heterozygous for cdka;1 were also used: cdka;1+/−/−;ProCDKA;1:CDKA;1−T14D;Y15E+/−, indicated in the text as “DE heterozygous for cdka;1,” (dephosphomimicry; cdka;1+/−/−;ProCDKA;1:CDKA;1−T14D;Y15F−/−).

Triple mutant/crossings of transgenics: pas2/pex-DE (pas2/pex−/−;ProCDKA;1−T14D;Y15F+/−), pas2/pex-DE (pas2/pex−/−;ProCDKA;1−T14D;Y15E+/−), wee1−DE (wee1−/−;ProCDKA;1−T14D;Y15E+/−), indicated in the text as “wee1−DE heterozygous for cdka;1,” (wee1→−VF) (wee1−/−;ProCDKA;1−T14D;Y15F−/−).

DNA Manipulations

Gateway-compatible Arabidopsis CDKA1 wild-type cDNA, flanked by attB1 and attB2 sites in pDONR201 (Invitrogen) was used as the template (plasmid CK225, a kind gift of Christina Weinl; Dissmeyer et al., 2007). The phosphorylation site variants of CDKA1 were generated by site-directed mutagenesis with PfuTurbo (Stratagene) using the primers ND03/ND04 for DE and ND01/ND06 and ND51/ND52 for VF. The obtained mutated Gateway Entry clones were cloned by Gateway recombination (LR reaction) into the attR site-containing destination vector pAM-PAT-GW-ProCDKA1 that carries phosphorhizin-N-acetyltransferase (Nowack et al., 2006). The resulting binary plant expression vector, which confers phosphorhizin-catale (BASTA; Bayer Cropscience) resistance, was retransformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101-pMP90RK (Koncz and Schell, 1986). The CDC25 overexpression construct (CDC25-OE) was generated by the isolation of the full-length coding sequence of CDC25 from Arabidopsis seedling cdna by PCR using N624/N625, followed by subcloning into pDONR201. The PCR fragment was then recombined into pK7WG2D (LR) under the control of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter. The construction of bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) vectors was described previously (Jakoby et al., 2006). All primer sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 2 online.
Plant Transformation and Selection

Heterozygous *cdka;1* plants were transformed by a modified version of the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Standard lines were established by isolating T3 plants homozygous for the transgene. For crosses between mutants and transgenic lines, both *cdka;1* homozygous (VF) or heterozygous (DE) T3 plants had to be used, and from the following generations, again, lines homozygous for the constructs were identified and used for further experiments. At least 20 transgenic plants were generated for all expression constructs. A number of representative reference lines displaying a typical phenotype were chosen for further analysis.

Kinase Assays

Flowers were processed for kinase assays as described previously (Dissmeyer et al., 2007) with one deviation: p13^9ha-1^-agarose beads (Millipore) and stabilized [γ-32P]ATP at >6000 Ci/mmol (Hartmann Analytic). After wet blotting, the CDKA:1 loading was probed with a 1:1000 dilution of rabbit α-PSTAIRE (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and 1:5000 HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (ImmunoPure; Pierce). Enhanced chemoluminescent detection was performed with SuperSignal West Pico substrate (Pierce).

BIFC Assays

The assay on leaves of tobacco (*Nicotiana benthamiana*) was performed as previously described (Jakoby et al., 2006). The *Agrobacterium* strains containing the BIFC vectors were infiltrated on the abaxial leaf side of 2-month-old tobacco plants and analyzed after 3 to 5 d via confocal microscopy.

Histology

For fluorescence microscopy of leaf nuclei, whole leaves were fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBT (phosphate-buffered saline/Tween, 140 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM NaHPO₄, 1.8 mM KH₂PO₄ to pH 7.2 with HCl, contains 0.1% Tween 20) at 4°C overnight, washed twice with PBT, infiltrated with DAPI staining solution (5 μg/mL DAPI and 5% DMSO in PBT at pH 7.2) under vacuum for 30 min, and incubated at 4°C overnight. DAPI staining of pollen was done as described previously (Dissmeyer et al., 2007). For root cell wall staining, entire 5-d-old seedlings were mounted directly in a saturated solution of propidium iodide in tap water and incubated for 5 min.

Flow Cytometry

Rosette leaves were chopped with razor blades in CyStain UV-precise P buffers, filtered through a 50-μm nylon mesh, and analyzed with a Ploidy Analyzer PA-1 (all materials from Partec). Data were analyzed with FlowMax 2.52 (Partec). For *cdc25* and *CDC25-OE*, leaves and cotyledons were frozen in liquid nitrogen, and DNA content was measured as described (De Schutter et al., 2007).

Microscopy

Light microscopy was performed with an Axioskop microscope (Zeiss) and confocal laser scanning microscopy with a TCS SP2 AOBBS CLSM system (Leica Microsystems). Photographs of in vitro cultures were taken with a KY-F70 digital camera (JVC) mounted onto a M2 FLIII fluorescence stereomicroscope (Leica). The DISKUS software package (version 4.30.242; Technisches Büro Carl H. Hilgers) was used for documentation. Scanning electron microscopy was done with a SUPRA 40VP (Zeiss) equipped with a K1260X Cryogenic SEM Preparation System (EMTECH) at the on-campus microscopy core facility Zentrale Mikroskopie (CeMic).

Cell Cycle Model Simulations

A general eukaryotic cell cycle model was used for numerical simulations (Tyson and Novak, 2001). The model centers around two CDK-cyclin complexes responsible for initiation of S (SPF) and M phase (MPF). MPF activity is controlled by regulated cyclin degradation through APC/C, by stoichiometric CKIs and reversible inhibitory phosphorylation/dephosphorylation reactions catalyzed by Wee1 and Cdc25-like. All of these processes are regulated by MPF, which establishes important regulatory feedback loops in the molecular mechanisms. G1 phase is terminated by autocatalytic activation of SPF, which also inactivates APC/C and promotes the degradation of the CKIs. However, active MPF inhibits the transcription of the SPF cyclin component and thereby downregulates SPF activity. In the original model (Tyson and Novak, 2001), cell size has an effect on cell cycle progression, which has been omitted in this case for simplicity. Numerical simulations were performed by XPPAUT (www.math.pitt.edu/~bard/xpp/xpp.html).

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found at The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR; www.Arabidopsis.org) and the NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) under the following accession numbers. For TAIR, At3g48750 (At CDKA;1), At1g02970 (At WEE1), At5g03455 (At CDC25), At5g10480 (At PAS/PEP); for NCBI, GenBank AP008208.1 (Os_CDKA-2), GenBank CAD56245.1 (Pp Cdk-A), GenBank 176374 (Ce_Cdk-1), GenBank 34411 (Dm Cdc2), GenBank 396252 (Gg CDC2), GenBank 833 (His Cdc1), GenBank 12934 (Mm Cdc2), GenBank 54237 (Rn Cdc2), GenBank CA43807.1 (Hs Cdk2), GenBank 829457 (Sc CDC28), GenBank AAP94021.1 (Ug Cdk1), GenBank 2539689 (Sp Cdc2^+). Germplasm information for deposited T-DNA-lines is as follows: *cdka;1* (SALK_106809/Germplasm 4824368), wee1 (GABI_270E05/Germplasm 3510610662), and *cdc25* (GABI_772G06/Germplasm 3510654948).
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