Tracing the behaviour of hexachlorobenzene in a paddy soil-rice system over a growth season
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Abstract

Hexachlorobenzene (HCB), a persistent organic pollutant (POP), has been found in paddy soils. To improve the understanding of HCB contamination in paddy soils, a laboratory simulative study was carried out to investigate the behavior of HCB in a paddy soil and rice plants. This study was divided into three experiments. First, an experiment aimed to examine the evaporation of HCB in paddy soil. In the second experiment, rice was planted in 10 mg/kg HCB contaminated soil and after pot culture at 3, 6, 9, and 27 weeks (at maturity), both soil and plant samplings were scheduled to be sampled. The soil samples comprised rhizosphere soil, nonrhizosphere soil, and unplanted contaminated soil, whereas plant samples included shoots, roots, and rice grains (dehusked). Lastly, in this part, HCB in xylem saps was designed to be examined. The results showed that (1) the HCB translocation from paddy soil to rice by vaporization; (2) the HCB concentration in rice grains was surprisingly high; (3) the observed HCB decrease in rice rhizosphere offers a potential means for in situ HCB degradation; (4) HCB might not be transported along transpiration in rice.
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Introduction

Hexachlorobenzene (HCB), a distributed widely throughout the environment because of its mobility and resistance to degradation (Jones, 2005). This compound moves through the environment presumably via a series of vaporization-deposition steps, that is, by a commonly called “grasshopper effect” (Wania and Mackay, 1995). As one of the persistent organic pollutants (POPs), HCB is listed high on the elimination table of the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (http://www.pops.int/documents/convtext/convtext_en.pdf), the priority list of persistent bioaccumulative toxic compounds by USEPA (http://www.epa.gov/pbt/hexa.htm), and a priority substance in the UN-ECE Convention on Long Range Transport of Air Pollutants (CLRTAP) protocol (Jones, 2005). HCB was first introduced in 1945 as a fungicide for the seeds of onions, sorghum, and other crops, and the agricultural use of HCB probably dominated HCB emissions in 1950s and 1960s (Bailey, 2001). Although the industrial HCB production has ceased in most countries by this time, it is still being generated inadvertently as a by-product and/or impurity in several chemical processes, such as the manufacturing of chlorinated solvents, chlorinated aromatics, and pesticides (Bailey, 2001). Existing field data indicate that soil is an important global reservoir for persistent organic pollutants (Valle et al., 2005; Sweetman et al., 2005), and the variation of HCB concentration in soil with time mirrors its production levels (Barber et al., 2005). HCB in soil has received increasing attention (Zhang et al., 2006), although a majority of research center on the microbial transformation of HCB in soil (Matheus et al., 2000; Pavlostathis and Prytula, 2000; Adrian and Görisch, 2002; Brahuish et al., 2004; Tomoyas et al., 2007).

Contamination of plants, especially the food crops, which serve as the first link of the food-chain process, influences human health closely as they are more susceptible to contamination by pollutants in soil (Mclachlan, 1996; Su et al., 2005a; Su et al., 2005b; Su and Zhu, 2005, 2006). Thus, HCB contamination of crops deserves attention. There have been relevant reports on crops contaminated by HCB in soil (Nhan et al., 1999; Pastor et al., 2004; Dannerud et al., 2006).

Rice (Oryza sativa) is a staple crop in many Asian countries, particularly southern China. Rice is not only the main food for humans, but also an important feed for livestock. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, there is little laboratory data examining the contamination of HCB in paddy soil and rice over a growth season. This information may improve the understanding of HCB contamination in paddy soils. From another standpoint, rice is grown typically in a wetland whose rhizosphere differs sharply from that of an upland. The rhizosphere is a zone of increased microbial density and activity at the root/surface (Curl and Trueove, 1986). Plant and microorganisms
often have symbiotic relationships making the root zone or rhizosphere an area of very active microbial activity (Siciliano and Germida, 1998). It is therefore of interest to explore whether the rhizosphere of rice has a potential for remediating HCB, because almost all earlier studies on HCB phytoremediation were conducted with upland plants such as carrots (Tao et al., 2005), maizes (Wild et al., 2005), and grasses (Wilson and Mehary, 2003).

In this research, a study on the HCB behavior in a paddy soil–rice system was initiated to investigate (1) the volatile levels of HCB in a paddy soil and the potential contamination to rice seedlings; (2) the accumulation of HCB in different tissues of *O. sativa* as the plants grow in a given system; (3) the rhizospheric effect of *O. sativa* on HCB degradation in a paddy soil; (4) HCB transportation along transpiration stream.

1 Materials and methods

1.1 Soil and plant preparation

Paddy soil (0–30 cm) was collected from Jiaxing, Zhejiang Province, China. The characteristics of the soil are as follows: soil organic matter (SOM) of 2.8%, pH 6.1, the field water-holding capacity of 40%, and the CEC (cation exchange capacity) of 17.94 cmol/kg. The background level of HCB in this soil was below the detection limit (the analytical method followed is mentioned later). A total of 20-kg soil was sieved through a 2-mm sieve. HCB (0.2 g) was dissolved in 150-ml n-hexane and 250 g of this soil was soaked in the solvent, followed by a vigorous stirring until the solvent was evaporated so that the soil could be evenly spiked. This contaminated soil was mixed thoroughly with the uncontaminated soil. Then, the soil was aged for about one month, maintained with 50% water-holding capacity (based on dry soil). The soil was sieved again together with base fertilizer (0.247 g K₂SO₄/kg soil, 0.428 g CO(NH₂)₂/kg soil, 0.323 g CaHPO₄·2H₂O/kg soil) before being packed into plastic cylindrical pots (9.5 cm i.d. and 14 cm in height) packed with uncontaminated soil. Each pot was covered with a circular PVC lid having a hole on the lid to allow for seedling growth. The edge of the hole was lined with sponge to avoid damage of the seedlings. The lid was halved along the diameter for watering (flush irrigation) convenience. The seams between two cuts and between the edges of holes and shoots were all sealed with polytetrafluoroethylene tape. The four unvegetated pots (flush irrigation), without lids, containing artificially contaminated soil were interspersed among the seedling pots. All pots were arranged along a circumference and placed in the same growth chamber as described later (Fig.1). When watering, the pots with seedlings were removed from the chamber to avoid any possible HCB contamination to soil. One month after being transferred into the pots, plant samples were sampled for analysis of HCB contents in shoots.

1.2 Experimental design

The overall work was divided into three sections. The first two sections were intended for two main objectives and the third one was a supplement. The three experiments were conducted successively.

1.2.1 Experiment 1

One month after germination, four uniform rice seedlings were transferred into four PVC cylindric pots (7.5 cm i.d. × 14 cm height) packed with uncontaminated soil. Each pot was covered with a circular PVC lid having a hole on the lid to allow for seedling growth. The edge of the hole was lined with sponge to avoid damage of the seedlings. The lid was halved along the diameter for watering (flush irrigation) convenience. The seams between two cuts and between the edges of holes and shoots were all sealed with polytetrafluoroethylene tape. The four unvegetated pots (flush irrigation), without lids, containing artificially contaminated soil were interspersed among the seedling pots. All pots were arranged along a circumference and placed in the same growth chamber as described later (Fig.1). When watering, the pots with seedlings were removed from the chamber to avoid any possible HCB contamination to soil. One month after being transferred into the pots, plant samples were sampled for analysis of HCB contents in shoots.

1.2.2 Experiment 2

Two treatments were set up in this experiment: the unvegetated contaminated soil (the control soil) and the vegetated contaminated soil. Each treatment was prepared in quadruplicate. The sampling periods were as follows: the first sampling was after the seedlings were cultivated for three weeks in the pots, with subsequent twice samplings every three weeks thereafter until the plants bore grains (about 27 weeks in this experiment) for the last sampling. For sampling, the soil samples (the control soil, nonrhizosphere soil, and rhizosphere soil) and the plant samples (roots and shoots) were collected.
1.2.3 Experiment 3

Hydroponic culture was carried out to examine HCB in xylem saps. After one month of germination, six seedlings per pot (PVC cylindric pots, 10.5 cm i.d. × 15 cm height) as one replicate were cultured in Kimura B nutrient solution (the components of solution followed Zhu et al., 2004). The seedlings were grown for up to two months before initiating treatment. Two treatments were applied: contaminated nutrient solution that were saturated with superfluous crystalline HCB and uncontaminated nutrient solution without HCB. Seedlings were fed in these solutions for 3 d. Each treatment was prepared in quadruplicate. Xylem saps were collected after 3 d.

1.3 Sampling

1.3.1 Experiments 1 and 2

The rhizosphere soil from the root bags was sampled with a stainless steel spoon; the soil adhering to the roots was carefully scrapped off by avoiding sampling the surface/bottom soil. Between the pot walls and root bag walls and away from the surface/bottom soil, the nonrhizosphere soil was sampled. Similarly, avoiding the surface/bottom soil and those adjacent to pot walls, the control soil was sampled. As to the plant samples, they were carefully removed from the soil after the rhizosphere soil was sampled, rinsed with the tap water, then with the deionized water, and cut with stainless steel scissors to separate the tissues: roots, shoots, and grains. Furthermore, the grains were dehusked and ground with a mortar and pestle for subsequent analyses. All samples were immediately frozen at –20°C for further freeze-drying.

1.3.2 Experiment 3: xylem sap collection

To collect xylem sap, plants were decapped 3 cm above the pot and the cut surface was washed with distilled water. After blotting excess moisture, the stubble was closely fit in an aluminum foil sleeve wrapped by a rubber band. The sap was collected with a microliter syringe for 3 h between 18:00–21:00 (Shen and Wang, 2002). The roots were saved to extract HCB when xylem sap collection was finished and the extraction procedure was similar to Experiment 1.

1.4 Extraction, cleanup, and analysis

Soil samples were freeze-dried, ground with a mortar and pestle, and then sieved to 0.6 mm. Plant samples were freeze-dried, ground with a mortar and pestle with the aid of liquid nitrogen. All samples were extracted in Soxhlet apparatus (as a cleaning step, the Soxhlet apparatus had been pre-extracted for about 8 h with the 3-g silica gel in each thimble using 250-ml n-hexane) with 250-ml n-hexane for 18 h after being soaked in n-hexane for about 6 h. Into each Soxhlet cellulose thimble, the following substances were weighed and put sequentially: 3 g silica gel, 10 g soil sample or plant sample mixed with 20 g anhydrous sodium sulfate, and 10 g anhydrous sodium sulfate.

The sample extract was transferred to a 500-ml separatory funnel equipped with a Teflon stopcock, shaken with 15 ml concentrated sulfuric acid, and washed with deionized water until pH value of discarded water layer was equal to 7. The extract was further dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, concentrated with a rotary evaporator, and finally evaporated to 1 ml for the soil sample extract and 0.5 ml for the plant sample extract in a Kuderna-Danish (K-D) concentrator under a gentle nitrogen stream.

Liquid-liquid extraction was used to extract HCB in the sap. At a time, 5-ml n-hexane was added into the sap and the liquid mixture was shaken manually. Supernatants were combined after three extractions and concentrated to 100 µl for gas chromatograph (GC) detection.

Samples were analyzed using Agilent GC 6820 equipped with 63Ni electron capture detector (ECD), DB-1 column (15 m × 0.53 mm i.d., 0.32-µm film thickness), in a splitless mode. The initial oven temperature was set at 120°C, held for 3 min, ramped at 10°C/min to 150°C, maintained for 2 min, and then raised to the final temperature of 200°C at the rate of 15°C/min. Both injector and detector temperature were at 250°C. The carrier gas was nitrogen at 1.0 ml/min. The working standard solutions were prepared with crystalline HCB and n-hexane; the R² of the external standard calibration curves is > 0.99.

The recoveries were 102%, 98.5%, 115%, 98%, and 65%, for the soil, root, shoot, rice-husk, and rice-grain, respectively.

1.5 Reagents and glasswares

Crystalline HCB was purchased from the Beijing Chemical Reagent Co. n-Hexane (A.R.) was obtained from the Tianjin Fuchen Chemical Reagent Factory. Silica gel (100–200 mesh) was provided by the Qingdao North District Haihua Desiccant Factory and all other inorganic reagents were all analytical grade and supplied by the Beijing Chemical Reagent Co. The deionized water was prepared using ORGANO (Organo Co. Ltd., Japan). Anhydrous sodium sulfate and silica gel were heated at 660°C and 550°C for 6 h, respectively, in a furnace and then kept in the desiccator before use.

All glassware except for Soxhlet apparatus (which was pre-extracted as described earlier) were rinsed with n-hexane thrice, cleaned in an ultrasonic cleaner with detergent, and dried in an oven, except that the K-D concentrators were air-dried.

1.6 Statistical analysis

Significance of the data was evaluated using SPSS version 11.5 for Windows with a general linear model for analysis of variance and least significant difference (LSD) with p < 0.05 for comparing differences of HCB concentrations in samples.

2 Results and discussion

2.1 Experiment 1

The HCB concentration in shoots was (on dry weight basis) 0.085 ± 0.006 mg/kg (mean ± SE, n = 4), indicating that the shoots of rice plants in uncontaminated soil were contaminated by volatile HCB from the contaminated soil.
This observation confirms the highly volatile nature of HCB and supports the conclusion by Mclachlan (1996) and Yao et al. (2006) that the considerably higher HCB fugacity in soil than in air makes the soil a source of HCB content in the atmosphere. This result might also be useful in assessing human exposure to contaminated wetland.

2.2 Experiment 2

The HCB recoveries from the control, rhizosphere, and nonrhizosphere soils showed a general decrease of 81.2% and 54.5%, respectively, with the sampling time (Fig. 2). In the first three samplings, the differences of HCB recoveries among the control soil, rhizosphere soil, and nonrhizosphere soil were not significant \((p \leq 0.05)\). It seemed that the rhizosphere did not enhance the HCB degradation over the first 9 weeks. However, it is noteworthy that the initial HCB recoveries in rhizosphere soil became perceivably higher, although slightly, than those in nonrhizosphere and the control soil. In contrast to the first three samplings, the significant difference between rhizosphere soil and the control/nonrhizosphere soil occurred at the fourth sampling time, that is, after 27 weeks of the cultivation, in which the HCB recovery from the rhizosphere soil dropped to nearly half of that from the nonrhizosphere soil.

The combined results from Experiments 1 and 2 indicate that, other than the degradation factor, the declining trend of HCB levels in soil may partly result from the volatilization loss. Another probable reason for the decrease is the aging effect that makes a compound in soil become more resistant to extraction with increasing contact time. It is thus appropriate to use mild, selective extractants to assess the bioavailability of POPs (Kelsey et al., 1997; Dean et al., 2004). As n-hexane used in this experiment is thought to be a mild solvent, the extraction results probably demonstrate the HCB bioavailability in soil (Tao et al., 2004). Thus this decreasing trend may also reveal the decreasing bioavailability of HCB in soil with increasing aging time. The bioavailability may be responsible for the result of slightly higher HCB recoveries in rhizosphere soil than that in nonrhizosphere soil in the early stage. This higher bioavailability might be related to the release of low molecular weight organic acids, such as citric and malic acids from roots, and as the soil matrix might be impaired by the organic acids, the sequestered organic pollutants is released (Mattina et al., 2003). Similar hypotheses have been assumed for the bioavailability of PCDD/PCDF (polychlorinated dibenzop-dioxin/polychlorinated dibenzofuran) (Hülster et al., 1994).

Rhizospheric influence became well noted with the presence of roots: the bigger the roots grow, the more apparent was the rhizospheric effect. On the other hand, the bioavailability of HCB in rhizosphere may increase with time as discussed earlier. These might explain the significant difference between the HCB concentrations in rhizosphere soil and nonrhizosphere soil noted in the fourth sampling. Considering that HCB is extremely resistant to degradation, more time is needed for its phytoremediation than other organic pollutants. The intriguing result provides motivation to further investigate whether wetland plant that possesses aerenchyma capable of releasing oxygen to its local environment could be a better candidate for HCB phytoremediation as, until now, there are few upland plants that are reported to remediate HCB effectively in soil. This idea has already been taken into account in the constructed wetland for wastewater treatment (Bezaruah and Zhang, 2004a, b), and a further experiment testing this thought is underway in this laboratory.

In this study, HCB concentrations in roots were almost always the highest compared to those with other tissues in each sampling. With plant growth, an approximately constant concentration of HCB in roots followed a sudden steep decline of 65.3% (Fig. 3), even as HCB concentration in shoots decreased gradually. With regard to the total HCB, the amounts in roots were appreciably higher than that in shoots (Table 1). It was unexpected that the HCB concentration in rice grains is considerably higher than in husks, which is contrary to the previous finding on PCB (polychlorinated benzene) (Bi et al., 2002).

It was not surprising to find that the highest HCB concentration in plants was in roots, because roots were in direct contact with the soil and thus had more access to HCB. There are two possible pathways of the HCB translocation into the roots: the direct diffusion of gaseous HCB into the roots and the uptake of dissolved HCB from soil solution into roots (Ecker and Horak, 1994). The growth of roots may dilute the HCB concentrations,
even though the total amount of HCB in roots appeared to increase with the expansion of root surface areas. As to the shoot contamination, there are three possible pathways: the root uptake and subsequent translocation into shoots via the transpiration process, the direct vapor uptake via the atmosphere, and the deposition of contaminated soils and dusts on plant cuticles and the subsequent contaminant diffusion through plant surfaces. Although the Experiment 3 failed to prove the first possibility, it cannot be ruled out because HCB translocation within pumpkin plants has been observed (Ecker and Horak, 1994). In Experiment 1, the second possibility has been confirmed, indicating that HCB evaporated from soil was taken up by the leaves. Exotic compounds may penetrate into leaves in two ways—through the stomata or through the epidermis. For gases and vapors, the primary mode of penetration is through stomata; for example, the experiments with hypostomatous plant leaves revealed that benzene vapor is taken up mainly through stomata (Ugrekhelidze et al., 1997). The natural protective covering of higher plant leaves consists of nonliving cuticle and its waxy coating. Leaf waxes act as a barrier to the penetration of organic pollutants and with the aging of leaves, the quantity of epicuticular waxes accumulated on the leaf surface increases (Nakajima et al., 1996). This probably leads to the more intensive uptake of hydrocarbons by young leaves than by old ones (Harvey et al., 2002). Besides, the same dilution effect for HCB in roots because of plant growth applies to the HCB concentration in shoots.

The very high HCB concentration in rice grains is surprising although a contamination during the sampling processes was inevitable. Meanwhile, rather low HCB contents in shoots were detected (Table 1). This maybe ascribed in part to the lower lipid content in shoots than in grains, in light of the fact that plant tissues rich in lipid contents may contain correspondingly higher levels of organic contaminants (Li et al., 2005). However, further studies are needed to substantiate this point.

In this study, it is emphasized that as roots directly contact the rhizosphere soil rather than bulk soil, the bioconcentration factor (BCF) (Table 1) was defined as the HCB concentration in plant divided by that in the rhizosphere soil. In the first three samplings, the BCFs of HCB with roots fluctuated around 1, which is similar to the findings with the roots of the monocotyledoneae (maize, oat, barley): the BCF was nearly 1 at the initial growth period (Schroll and Scherunert, 1992). Factors such as lipid content and surface area may lead to the change of BCFs (Jiao et al., 2006), and further study is required to investigate the pending question. In contrast to roots, the BCFs for shoots were far less than 1 in all samplings.

2.3 Experiment 3

For each pot, ca. 500-μl sap was pooled from six individual plants, and no HCB was detected by GC, irrespective of HCB addition to the nutrient solution. The concentration in roots was 0.826±0.004 mg/kg (mean±SE, n=4). This experiment was meant to examine if HCB can be transported from roots to shoots along the transpiration stream. The results here showed that under the experimental conditions described for this study, translocation of HCB to above ground may be negligible. It might be difficult for HCB to permeate cortex cells and further distribution of HCB between plant components and water should be examined.

3 Conclusions

To summarize, it was demonstrated that HCB could evaporate from a paddy soil to contaminate a wetland crop such as rice. A significant decreasing HCB concentration trend (p < 0.05) with contact time in a paddy soil can be observed. This trend may stem from aging, a process that could reduce HCB bioavailability in soil, and then decreased HCB concentration that could be extracted, as well as from an abiotic and biotic degradation process. The observed low HCB concentration in rhizosphere soil after a long contact time makes the rice plant a potential species for HCB phytoremediation despite the decontamination process being slow. The active water transportation in rice might fail to explain the fact that HCB was detected in the shoots. The high HCB concentration in rice grains may be an indication and a consequence of the enhanced HCB accumulation into a high-lipid plant component.
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