Analysis of benzyldimethyldodecylammonium bromide in chemical disinfectants by liquid chromatography and capillary electrophoresis
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Abstract
Two novel analytical methodologies using capillary electrophoresis (CE) and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) were developed and compared for the determination of benzyldimethyldodecylammonium bromide (BAB) in commercial compound chemical disinfectants. The LC analysis was performed with a Kromasil C18 (200 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm) column and a mobile phase of A:B = 80:20 (A: acetonitrile, B: 4 mmol/L octanesulfonic sodium—0.02 mol/L acetic sodium, adjusted with acetic acid to pH 5.2) at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. Detection was by ultraviolet absorption at 262 nm. The CE analysis was performed in a bare fused-silica capillary with 75 μm i.d. and total length of 46.4 cm with a buffer solution of 50% acetonitrile−50 mmol/L NaH2PO4, pH 2.24. The applied voltage was 20 kV. Detection was by ultraviolet absorption at 214 nm. Under optimized conditions, the HPLC retention time and CE migration time for BAB was 9.18 and 5.08 min, respectively. Calibration curves of peak area versus concentration gave correlation coefficients of 0.9996 for HPLC and 0.9994 for CE. The detection limits for HPLC and CE were 1.6 mg/L and 0.2 mg/L, respectively. Average recoveries at three concentration levels (50, 100, 200 mg/L for HPLC; 20, 40, 100 mg/L for CE) were 99.94 ± 1.5, 99.64 ± 1.3 and 99.61 ± 0.4% for HPLC and 120.47 ± 2.6, 102.06 ± 8.7 and 103.05 ± 3.0% for CE, respectively. Although both methods were shown to be suitable for the determination of BAB in commercial disinfectant compounds, CE provided analysis with less solvent purchase/disposal and better column efficiency, whereas HPLC provided superior precision.
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1. Introduction
Benzyldimethyldodecylammonium bromide is the chemical name of benzalkonium bromide (BAB). It is a low-efficacy disinfectant and most effective against bacteria in migrule form and lipophilic virus [1]. It has been widely used in compound chemical disinfectants due to its low price since its introduction in 1953. In China, BAB, though neurotoxic, is more preferred than benzalkonium chloride (BAC or BAK, BrCl)—another popular disinfectant in Germany, USA, UK etc. Much attention should be paid to the fact that BAC in all the reported references is a mixture of alkylbenzylmethylammonium chlorides, which differ only in the length of the alkyl side chain (C8–C18) [9–28], whereas BAB is a single component—C12-BAB [1]. BAB’s combination with certain high-efficacy disinfectant, such as glutaraldehyde can enhance the disinfecting efficacy of compound disinfectant considerably. If the concentration of BAB in compound chemical disinfectants is lower than the manufacturer’s specification, the compound chemical disinfectant will not effectively kill bacteria and virus. However, if the concentration of BAB in compound chemical disinfectants is higher than the permission level, there will be toxicity to humans. For sake of safety, the Ministry of Public Health of China has set safety level for BAB at 0.2% for the disinfection of skin and hands. Instrumental methods are recommended the first choice for the assay of BAB [2]. Therefore, instrumental methods with rapidity and simplicity for the assay of BAB in compound chemical disinfectants are required for product quality control.

At present, methods for the assay of BAB in disinfectant compound are mainly sodium tetraphenylborate titration [3], UV spectrophotometry [4,5] and artificial neural network [6]. No high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) or capillary electrophoresis (CE) method for its assay has been reported.
been reported. However, methods, such as difference spectrophotometry [7], immunoassay [8], flow injection ion-spray mass spectrometry mass spectrometry [9], HPLC and CE for the analysis of BAC, with similar structure as that of BAB, have been reported. HPLC [10–14] and CE [15–28] are the most frequently used methods for routine determination of BAC in ophthalmic solutions [10–13,28], nasal drug solution [19,20], common household cleaner disinfectant [25], lozenges [18] and effluent from hospitals [14], etc. Prince [21] compared the analysis of BAC using HPLC to analysis using HPCE. No real samples were analyzed. All the above-mentioned HPLC methods separated the BAC analysis using HPCE. No real samples were analyzed. All Prince [21] compared the analysis of BAC using HPLC to

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

The water for the preparation of all solutions was made by a Millipore Milli-Q ultra-pure water system (Bedford, MA, USA). HPLC-grade acetonitrile was purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). All chemicals were of analytical grade or higher purity unless otherwise stated. 1-Octanesulfonic sodium (98%, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA); BAB was supplied by Kangsheng Da Scientific and Trade Co. (Beijing, China) with 75 μm i.d. and total length of 46.4 cm was used. The capillary column, when new, was flushed successively with 1 mol/L NaOH for 30 min, pure water for 5 min, 1 mol/L HCl for 3 min and finally pure water for 5 min. Before use, the capillary was flushed with 0.1 mol/L NaOH for 5 min, water for 5 min and running electrolyte buffer for 15 min. Then, samples were introduced onto the capillary via electrokinetic injection by applying N2 pressure (0.5 MPa) for 3 s. A constant voltage of 20 kV was used (current of ca 19.4 μA) for all experiments. Between runs, the capillary was rinsed with electrolyte solution for 3 min. The running electrolyte buffer in both inlet vial and outlet vial must be renewed after six series of injections. The data from the first runs should be discarded till high injection repeatability in terms of migration time and peak area was obtained. All electrophoresis runs were performed at temperature 25 °C. Detection was set at 214 nm by a fixed-wavelength detector.

2.2. Apparatus

(a) HPLC system—A Waters 2690-996 high-performance liquid chromatography (Milford, MA, USA) equipped with a 600 gradient pump was employed together with a Waters Millennium 2010 Chromatography Manager workstation (version 2.15) for instrument control as well as data acquisition and processing.

(b) HPLC conditions—an analytical column of Kromasil C18 (200 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm) was used with a mobile phase of A:B = 80:20 (A: acetonitrile, B: 4 mmol/L octanesulfonic sodium—0.02 mol/L acetic sodium, adjusted with acetic acid to pH 5.2) at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. A Waters 996 photodiode array detector was used and the detection wavelength was set at 262 nm. All analyses were conducted under isocratic conditions at room temperature. The injection volume of standard and sample solutions was 10 μL by a Waters 717plus autosampler. In this study, the peak area measurements for all calculations were adopted.

(c) CE system—A P/ACE system 5000 (Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, CA, USA) electrophoresis apparatus equipped with both UV and diode array detector was controlled by a Pentium/100 MHz personal computer. All the data was collected and analyzed using the System Gold software.

(d) Capillary column—a bare fused-silica capillary (Yongnian RuiFeng SePU Peijian plant, HeBei Province, China) with 75 μm i.d. and total length of 46.4 cm was used. The capillary column, when new, was flushed successively with 1 mol/L NaOH for 30 min, pure water for 5 min, 1 mol/L HCl for 3 min and finally pure water for 5 min. Before use, the capillary was flushed with 0.1 mol/L NaOH for 5 min, water for 5 min and running electrolyte buffer for 15 min. Then, samples were introduced onto the capillary via electrokinetic injection by applying N2 pressure (0.5 MPa) for 3 s. A constant voltage of 20 kV was used (current of ca 19.4 μA) for all experiments. Between runs, the capillary was rinsed with electrolyte solution for 3 min. The running electrolyte buffer in both inlet vial and outlet vial must be renewed after six series of injections. The data from the first runs should be discarded till high injection repeatability in terms of migration time and peak area was obtained. All electrophoresis runs were performed at temperature 25 °C. Detection was set at 214 nm by a fixed-wavelength detector.

(e) CE buffer—(1) 3.9002 g of NaH2PO4·2H2O was weighed into a 250 mL volumetric flask. 100 mL of water was added to dissolve the salt and then dilute to volume, 100 mmol/L of NaH2PO4 was obtained (2) A 1.7 mL concentrated phosphoric acid (85%) was diluted to 250 mL with water. The final concentration

The stationarity of analytical column was investigated in detail. The influences of CE separation conditions (i.e. organic modifier content, buffer concentration, pH and rinsing conditions between runs etc.) were investigated in detail.
of phosphoric acid is 100 mmol/L. (3) A 46 mL of 100 mmol/L phosphoric acid, 4 mL of 100 mmol/L NaH₂PO₄ and 50 mL of acetonitrile were added to a 100 mL glass measuring cylinder with a lid. A buffer solution of 50% acetonitrile—50 mmol/L NaH₂PO₄ of pH 2.24 was obtained.

(f) pH meter—Model JC-402 pH/mV (Beijing Chuangye Instrumental Plant, Beijing, China).

2.3. Preparation of compound chemical disinfectant samples

Samples for HPLC analysis were simply diluted 1:50 with water and then filtered through 0.45 μm hydrophilic filters before analysis. Samples for CE analysis were diluted 1:100 with CE buffer and then filtered through 0.45 μm hydrophobic filters before analysis.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Choice of detection wavelength

UV detection has reasonably been the first choice in both HPLC and CE analysis. Fig. 1 illustrates the scan diagram of diode array detection of BAB in HPLC mobile phase. For HPLC analysis, the detection wavelength at 262 nm was selected where the background absorbance of mobile phase was low. However, considering the sensitivity of CE analysis, the detection wavelength at 214 nm was selected and a good peak shape of BAB was obtained.

3.2. HPLC method development

3.2.1. Choice of chromatographic separation conditions

The same ionic characteristics that make BAB suitable for CE analysis make it troublesome for the commonly used reversed-phase bonded HPLC analysis, if certain conditions are not used. In reversed-phase bonded HPLC, BAB in cationic form is not retained by C₁₈ stationary phase and an ion-pair agent must be added to form neutral ion-pairs to be retained by the C₁₈ stationary phase. To further improve the retention behavior of the ion-pairs, inorganic salt was also added to produce a salting-out effect. In addition, the pH of the mobile phase must be adjusted to get better peak shapes. The experiment showed that octanesulfonic sodium and sodium acetate were a suitable ion-pair agent and inorganic salt, respectively. The optimum concentration for ACN, NaAc and octanesulfonic sodium were 80%, 0.02 mol/L (pH was adjusted with acetic acid to 5.2) and 4 mmol/L. Fig. 2 illustrated the chromatograms of sample 1* diluted 1:50 with water (solid line) and sample 1* spiked with 50 mg/L benzalkonium bromide after diluted 1:50 with water (dotted line) under the optimized chromatographic conditions. The BAB peak could be clearly separated from the unknown peaks in the real samples.

3.2.2. Detection limit, precision and linear range

Under the optimized chromatographic conditions, the peak area (A) and the concentration (C, mg/L) of BAB had good linear relationships. The regression equation was $A = 404C - 2030$ with a correlation coefficient $r = 0.9996$. The linear range was from 20 to 400 mg/L. The detection limit was calculated as 10 mg/L.
limit (S/N = 3) was 1.6 mg/L. The precision was evaluated by performing nine replicate analysis of a standard concentration of 60 mg/L. The relative standard deviations for retention time and peak area were 0.6 and 1.6%, respectively.

3.2.3. Real sample analysis

Three samples were diluted with pure water and determined in triplicates after filtered through a 0.45 μm filter membrane. The results were 0.62, 0.63 and 0.63% (w/v), respectively. Spike studies were performed by sample 1#. Three concentration levels of BAB were added (50, 100, 200 mg/mL) after its dilution 1:100 with pure water. Average recoveries (n = 3) with R.S.D. values were 99.94 ± 1.5, 99.64 ± 1.3 and 99.61 ± 0.4%, respectively. Much attention should be paid that several drops of methanol must be added to eliminate the foaming formed in the process of dilution.

3.3. CE method development

3.3.1. Selection of background electrolyte

The ionic character of BAB makes it a suitable molecule for CE analysis. Unfortunately, the analysis of BAC has been proven to be problematical because of their ability to adsorb strongly onto the capillary wall and to form micelles at very low concentrations, leading to peak loss/tailing and thus, poor resolution, irreproducible migration time and low detection sensitivity [22,24]. However, the addition of organic solvents such as methanol, acetonitrile (ACN) [17,18,21,24,25-28] and tetrahydrofuran (THF) [16] in the CE buffer system and/or sample solution to disrupt micelle formation and/or to reduce the strongly adsorption onto the capillary surfaces has been proven to be quite effective. Methanol has been proven to produce a strong baseline noise and it was not recommended to add this solvent into CE buffer. THF was usually used as buffer additive for more complex samples due to its effective separation ability. ACN was found to show a sufficient peak resolution with short migration times, so it was usually applied for the analysis of samples with simple composition [17]. Since only C12-BAB was of interest in this study, therefore, ACN was used as buffer additive. Keep the pH of buffer and 50 mmol/L NaH2PO4 unchanged, the migration time of BAB decreased with the increase in ACN concentration from 25 to 70% (v/v). However, the separation between BAB and the unknown peak became poor. So, 50% was selected as the ACN optimum concentration.

It was also found that increasing the concentration of NaH2PO4 can minimize the adsorption of BAB to the silica capillary wall, and the peak shape of BAB was thus improved. The optimum concentration of NaH2PO4 was 50 mmol/L.

The use of buffers with low pH (<5) can reduce the dissociation of the silanol groups on the capillary surface, and thus the adsorption of BAC was decreased [22]. The peak areas of BAC have been proven increased when the buffer pH decreased.

The buffer pH values ranged from 3.0 to 5.0 have been used for the analysis of BAC. Some extreme pH values such as 2.3 [28] and 2.0 [19] have also been reported to overcome the problem of adsorption of BACs on the capillary wall. In this study, pH 2.24 was finally selected. With the exposure time of the buffer to the high-pressure field increased, buffer depletion occurred, and thus influenced the migration behavior of BAB. The peak area of BAB decreased with increased number of injections. Therefore, it is recommended to determine the maximum number of injections that can be performed using a single pair of run buffer vials without significant depletion effects [29]. The complete renewal of buffer solutions after six injections could avoid this phenomenon. Both the precision of migration time and that of peak area were improved.

The correct choice of preconditioning steps before each run is prerequisite for the reproducibility of quantitative analysis. It has been tested that the re-equilibration time needed can be reduced to a minimum, if great pH differences between washing and re-equilibration solutions are avoided [29]. Since the pH value of buffer in the present study is 2.24, the wash step with 0.1 mol/L NaOH could be omitted in consideration of saving time. The capillary was washed only with buffer between runs, and thus both the precision of migration time and that of peak area were improved. Fig. 3 illustrates the electropherogram of benzalkonium bromide in sample 1# diluted 1:100 with buffer. The BAB peak could be clearly separated from the unknown peaks in the real samples in a shorter analysis time compared with HPLC.

3.3.2. Linear range, detection limit and precision

Under the optimized CZE conditions, the linearity of the method was studied in the range from 20 to 400 mg/L using external standard calibration. The peak area (A) and the concentration (C, mg/L) of BAB had good linear relationships. The regression equation was $A = 2.3 \times 10^2 C - 58$ with a correlation coefficient $r = 0.9994$. The detection limit...
(S/N = 3) was 0.2 mg/L. The repeatability of the method was tested using 10 replicate injections of a standard solution. The R.S.D. of the migration time was 0.62%. The R.S.D. of the peak area was 3.3%.

3.3.3. Real sample analysis

The same samples as that used in HPLC determination were diluted with CE buffer and determined in triplicates, respectively. The results were 0.64, 0.62 and 0.63% (w/v) and agreed well with the result of HPLC determination. Both results agreed well with the specified amount (0.6%). Average recoveries at three concentration levels (20, 40, 100 mg/L) were 120.47±2.6, 102.06±8.7 and 103.05±3.0%, respectively. Since the analyzed samples contained only C12-BAB due to its greater disinfecting ability as the manufacturer’s declaration, it was expected that no peaks other than C12 was observed in both the chromatogram and the electropherogram besides the matrix peaks assigned to peak 1 as Figs. 2 and 3 illustrated, respectively. However, when a BAC sample containing C12, C14 and C16 was injected, three peaks in order of increasing alkyl chain length were detected accordingly under both HPLC and CE separation conditions. Both HPLC system and CE system equipped with diode array detector further confirm the peak purity. Since the HPLC column efficiency is lower than the efficiency of CZE, C12 was baseline separated from C 14 and C 16 but the separation between C14 and C16 was poor under HPLC conditions. C12, C14 and C16 could be well separated under optimized CE conditions. There were no interferences from the higher and lower homologs to C12 as well as other components in the compound chemical disinfectant under optimized both HPLC and CZE conditions. The simultaneous determination of C12, C14, C16 and C18 in real samples by both HPLC and CE method is currently in progress in our laboratory.

Much attention should be paid that the capacity of a capillary is small. With the running numbers of real samples increased, the capillary wall would adsorb the matrices in real samples and the adsorption was usually irreversible. Therefore the capillary must be discarded after the analysis of about 40 samples.
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