Preparation of graphene oxide modified poly(m-phenylene isophthalamide) nanofiltration membrane with improved water flux and antifouling property

Mei Yang\textsuperscript{a,b}, Changwei Zhao\textsuperscript{b,*}, Shaofeng Zhang\textsuperscript{a,*}, Pei Li\textsuperscript{c}, Deyin Hou\textsuperscript{b}

\textsuperscript{a} School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Hebei University of Technology, Tianjin 300130, China
\textsuperscript{b} State Key Laboratory of Environmental Aquatic Chemistry, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China
\textsuperscript{c} College of Materials Science and Engineering, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, No.15 Beisanhuandong Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100029, China

\textbf{Article history:}
Received 25 July 2016
Received in revised form 9 October 2016
Accepted 12 October 2016
Available online 13 October 2016

\textbf{Keywords:}
Graphene oxide
Poly (m-phenylene isophthalamide) (PMIA) membrane
Antifouling
Hydrophilicity
Dye removal

\textbf{Abstract}
Poly (m-phenylene isophthalamide)/graphene oxide (PMIA/GO) composite nanofiltration (NF) membranes were prepared via a facile phase inversion method. Structures, surface properties and hydrophilicities of the membrane were analyzed using FT-IR, XPS, AFM, SEM, water contact angle and Zeta-potential measurements. FTIR spectra indicated the existence of hydrophilic carboxylic acid and hydroxyl groups in the GO molecules. SEM pictures revealed the large and finger-like micro-voids formed in the sublayer of the NF membranes after adding GO. The zeta-potential and water contact angle results proved that PMIA/GO composite membranes had more negatively charged and greater hydrophilic surfaces. The pure water flux of the PMIA/GO (0.3 wt% GO) composite membrane (125.2 (L/m²/h)) was 2.6 times as high as that of the pristine PMIA NF membrane (48.3 (L/m²/h)) at 0.8 MPa with slightly higher rejections to all tested dyes and better fouling resistance to bovine serum albumin (BSA). This study gave an effective method for preparing composite PMIA NF membranes with high water flux and excellent antifouling property, which showed potential application in water treatment.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction
Membrane separations, as an environmentally friendly technology, have been rapidly developed in different separation processes for decades [1–5]. Among them, nanofiltration (NF) fills the gap between ultrafiltration (UF) and reverse osmosis (RO) with major advantages of higher flux and lower operating pressure than RO membranes and better rejections to divalent salts and lower molecular weight matters than UF membranes [6]. Therefore, NF has a wide exploit in water purification [7], food industry [8], dye removal [9] and desalination [10] etc. Recently, the rapid development of nanomaterials has infiltrated several of fields including membrane separation [11,12]. Due to the unique performance and nanoscale dimensions of nanomaterials, they are widely utilized in material modification and other aspects [13–17]. The latest attention toward nanomaterials is paid to graphene, a single 2D carbon sheet with one-atom-layer thickness [18]. Graphene has notably high surface area, good mechanical strength and a nearly frictionless surface [19–21]. However the hydrophobic nature limits its applications in water separation membranes. Whereas, graphene oxide (GO), as a derivative of graphene which includes lots of functional groups such as hydroxyl, carboxyl, epoxy and carboxyl groups [22–24], is very hydrophilic and water molecules pass through the nano-channels formed by GO packing very fast [25]. These properties motivate membrane researchers to incorporate GO into membranes and explore their separation performance.

Previous studies showed that membranes prepared from pure GO were unstable in water [26]. Therefore, GO must be added into a polymer matrix to overcome this problem [27–29]. Compared to other methods, blending has some advantages such as: simple, good reproducibility, mild preparation conditions and capability for industrialization. Literature results indicated that the physical capability of host polymers to GO could be enhanced by properly blending GO in the polymer dope solution, even at very low doping contents [30–32]. Also, due to the atomically smooth surface of graphene and the hydrophilic nature of GO, incorporation GO into a polymer matrix would increase the hydrophilicity of the membrane surface [33]. Moreover, blending GO would reduce adsorption of proteins on the membrane surface, thus allevi-
ing fouling of membrane [34,35]. Jin et al. prepared the PES/GO composite ultrafiltration membranes by phase inversion method [35]. Both the hydrophilicity and water flux of the modified PES membranes were improved. Wang et al. fabricated PVDF/GO organic–inorganic UF membranes [36]. The resulting membranes have higher hydrophilicity and better water flux recovery rate. Ganesh et al. prepared PSF/GO composite membrane that had excellent salts rejection and high pure water flux [37]. In a word, membranes which were prepared by first blending GO in the polymer dopes and then undergoing phase inversion protocol exhibited higher water flux and improved antifouling properties.

Poly (m-phenylene isophthalamide) (PMIA) has great thermal resistivity (Tg 270 °C), good chemical stability, elongation at break and toughness as well as high mechanical strength, which explains PMIA is an outstanding membrane material [38–40]. Wang et al. fabricated a PMIA hollow fiber NF membrane that could effectively remove a persistent organic pollutant, perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), from water [41]. In another study, Huang et al. developed a phase inversion procedure for fabrication of PMIA NF membranes with high performance in applications of dye purification and desalination [10]. However, the water fluxes of these PMIA asymmetric NF membranes were relatively low.

Based on the literature studies, GO could efficiently improve the water flux and antifouling properties of water separation membranes. Therefore, at present research, GO was incorporated into PMIA polymer dope and the PMIA/GO NF membranes were fabricated using phase inversion. The fouling resistance and separation performance of the PMIA/GO membranes have been investigated. Structures and physicochemical properties of the membranes were determined by XPS, AFM, SEM, contact angle and zeta potential measurements. NF properties were evaluated by rejection of Cationic Red X-GTL, Acid Red and Reactive Yellow, while antifouling performance of the PMIA/GO NF membranes was also tested.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Materials

PMIA whose chemical structure was shown in Fig. 1 was produced by Dupont (USA). LiCl (>95%) was obtained from Tianjin Guangfu Research Institute of Fine Chemical Engineering (China). N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMAC, >99%) was supplied by Shanghai Jingwei Chemical Co., Ltd (China). GO was purchased from Nanjing XFNANO technology Co., Ltd (China). The dye, Cationic Red X-GTL, Acid Red and Reactive Yellow were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Shanghai) (the characteristics and molecular structure of the three dyes was shown in Table 1 and 2). Bovine serum albumin (BSA) was obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (China).

#### 2.2. Membrane preparation

The PMIA/GO composite membranes were fabricated by the phase inversion method. Table 3 lists the compositions of dope solutions for preparing membranes. First, certain amount of GO was added into DMAC. Then the solution was sonicated in an ultrasonic cell disrupter about 40 min. After sonication, PMIA and LiCl were...
added in the DMAC/GO solution at 80 °C and followed by 12 h stirring to obtain a homogenous solution. At last, in order to eliminate all air pocket, the casting solution was degassed in vacuum for 24 h before casting. The dope solution was casted on a glass plate by self-made casting knife. Then the glass plate was immediately put into a distilled water bath to induce the phase inversion process at 25 °C after evaporated two minutes at 80 °C. The nascent membranes were further placed in distilled water for at least 24 h to remove the remaining DMAC solvent and LiCl.

2.3. GO characterizations

The chemical structures and morphologies of the GO were tested by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy-attenuated total reflectance (FTIR-ATR, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA, Nicolet 8700), Scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi Ltd, Japan, SU-8020), X-ray diffraction (XRD, PANalytical, Netherlands, X’Pert PRO MPD).

2.4. Characterization of the prepared membranes

2.4.1. X-ray photoelectron microscopy (XPS)

The surface elemental compositions of all membranes were monitored using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (Kratos Analytical Ltd., UK). XPS PEAK software (version 4.1) was used to analyze and fit the XPS spectra with reference to the Cls binding energy at 284.8 eV. The XPS spectra were obtained by subtracting the background spectra and analyzed by the Gaussian–Lorentzian peak shape method.

2.4.2. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

The composite membranes were monitored using SEM to observe their structures and morphologies. The membrane samples were cut into thin pieces and quenched in liquid nitrogen for 60–90 s and subsequently broken to acquire the natural cross-section structure of the membrane. After that, the samples were dried in vacuum oven and stuck on the sample stage by a conductive type and sprayed gold by a HITACHI E-1010 ion sputtering coater.

2.4.3. Zeta potential measurement

A Zetasizer (Nano ZS 90 series, Malvern, UK) was used for measuring the zeta potentials of prepared membranes at 25 ± 0.1 °C. A 0.001 mol/L NaCl solution was used as the electrolytic solution and the solution pH values were controlled in the range of 2–9 by adding certain amount of 0.1 M NaOH or 0.1 M HCl solutions.

2.4.4. Contact angle measurement

A contact-angle measurement apparatus (OCA 15EC, Germany Dataphysics company) was used for testing the water contact angle (WCA) of all membrane surfaces at 25 °C and a relative humidity of 40%. In order to reduce experimental error, each membrane samples were measured at least three times and the average value was referred to as the sample WCA.

2.4.5. Membrane surface morphologies

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) images were obtained using a Multimode SPM equipped with a J-type piezoelectric scanner and a Nanoscope III controller (Digital Instruments, USA). The sample was fixed on a specimen holder and scanned with a silicon cantilever tip in a tapping mode to obtain the surface topography image. An area of 5 μm × 5 μm was scanned and the surface average arithmetic roughness (R_a), the root mean square roughness (R_q) and the root peak-to-valley distance (R_z) were calculated.

2.5. Membrane performance tests

The solute rejection and pure water flux of membranes was analyzed using a cross-flow nanofiltration system as shown in Fig. 2 [42,43]. The pure water flux measure was conducted at 25 °C and 0.8 MPa and the effective area of the membrane was 139 cm².
Before test, each membrane was compressed at 1 MPa for 60 min by distilled water and then the pressure was reduced to 0.8 MPa in order to obtain a steady flux. The membrane water flux \( J \) (L/m² h) was estimated using the following equation:

\[
J = \frac{V}{\Delta \text{t}}
\]

where \( V \) is the volume of the permeate pure water (L), \( \Delta \text{t} \) is the operation time (h), and \( \Delta \) is the effective membrane area (m²).

2.6. The application of the membrane in dye water treatment

A 50 mg/L dye solution was utilized as the feed solution of the same filtration equipment. The ultraviolet–visible spectrophotometer (DR-5000) from the America HACH Company was used for analyzing the concentrations of dye in the permeate solution. The rejection (R) to dye was calculated using Eq. (2):

\[
R = 1 - \frac{C_p}{C_f}
\]

where \( C_f \) represents the solute concentrations in the feed solutions and \( C_p \) is the solute concentration in the permeate.

2.7. Anti-Fouling and anti-corrosive evaluation

A 5000 mg/L bovine serum albumin (BSA) solution was utilized to test the anti-fouling performance of the fabricated membranes. First, pure water flux \( J_{w1} \) (L/m² h) of the membrane was determined after 120 min pure water permeation test at 25 °C and 0.8 MPa. Then, the feed was replaced by the 5000 ppm BSA solution, and the water flux was recorded at pH=7 and same operation condition for another 120 min. After that, the membrane surface was flushed with distilled water for 20 min and then the pure water flux was tested again at the same operation condition and coded as \( J_{w2} \) (L/m² h). Then, the flux recovery ratio (FRR) was computed using Eq. (3) [44,45].

\[
\text{FRR} = \left( \frac{J_{w2}}{J_{w1}} \right) \times 100\%
\]

Alkali and acid solution was utilized to test the anti-corrosive performance of the fabricated membranes and the solution pH values were controlled in the range of 1 ~ 13 by adding certain amount of NaOH or HCl solutions. The membrane was soaked into the solution which their pH=1,3,5,9,11,13. After 12 h, the changes of membranes water flux were measured before and after immersion.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of GO nanoplages

Several testing methods was carried out to understand the properties of GO and its effects to the performances of the composited membrane [27]. The FT-IR test was carried out in order to study the chemical structure of GO. Fig. 3(a) illustrates the Fourier transform infrared spectrum of GO. Two peaks at 1376 cm⁻¹ and 3345 cm⁻¹ are corresponding to the deformation vibration and stretching vibration of O–H group in GO [37,46]. The peak at 1729 cm⁻¹ represents the stretching vibration of C=O group, and peaks at 1051 cm⁻¹ and 1224 cm⁻¹ represents the C=O stretching vibration of the epoxy alkyl group. The peak at 1626 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the vibration of aromatic of C=C and the vibrations of the adsorbed water molecules [47,48]. Fig. 3(b) shows GO in 20 ° = 11° appeared a great strength diffraction peaks, which can determine the GO were effectively functional and it can be used for modification. A typical lamellar morphology of GO with a myriad of wrinkles and edge curl is observed in Fig. 3(c). Since GO has large aspect ratio, the GO exhibits natural folding morphologies in the solvent to reduce its large surface energy.

3.2. Characterization of graphene oxide membranes

3.2.1. Chemical characterizations

The typical C 1 s XPS spectra of PMIA and PMIA/GO membranes are shown in Fig. 4. For pristine PMIA membrane (Fig. 4(a)), three peaks located at 284.5 eV, 283.3 eV and 286.9 eV were deconvoluted attributed to carbon bond structures of C−C, C−H and C−N(C≡O) [28,49,50]. When 0.3 wt% GO was blended to PMIA membrane, five relatively larger peaks as shown in Fig. 4(b) which were attributed to carbon bond structures of C−H (283.3 eV), C−N(C−O) (286.9 eV), C−C (284.5 eV), C−OH (286.1 eV) and C−O−C (285.2 eV) of which the additional C−OH and C−O−C groups should be from the GO molecules [28,47,51]. Hence, the XPS spectra proved the existence of GO molecules in the membrane.

3.2.2. Morphologies of the GO embedded PMIA membranes

The composite membranes were monitored using SEM was used for observing the structures and morphologies of the composite membrane. SEM figures of the membrane surface and cross-section morphologies are displayed in Fig. 5. From Fig. 5(a)−(d), we can see clearly that the membrane surfaces are quite smooth and no aggregations of the GO nanoplate are formed on membrane surfaces. Fig. 5(a1,a2)−(e1,e2) shows the SEM membrane cross-section morphologies. Both PMIA and PMIA/GO composite membranes have a porous sub-layer and dense skin layer. The thin and compact skin layer results in high rejection of the membrane. While the microporous sub-layer provides mechanical strength as well as low resistance to water permeation. Comparing Fig. 5(a1,a2)−(b1,b2−e1,e2), significant differences in the sublayers’ structures are observed. Membrane formed by pure PMIA shows a sublayer full of sponge like structure but no finger-like micro-voids. Whereas, others formed by PMIA/GO mixed dopes all have finger-like micro-voids. And the sizes of the finger-like micro-voids increase at higher GO contents. This phenomenon can be explained by the accelerating solvent/nonsolvent exchange rate induced by GO in the phase inversion process. Since GO containing a large number of hydrophilic functional groups, blending GO into the PMIA dope promotes the diffusion of water from the coagulant bath to the dope solution. During the phase inversion process, the micro-void is initially formed by nucleation and then grows in liquid-liquid phase separation. With the increase in the GO concentration, the polymer dope becomes more hydrophilic. Water diffuses faster into the polymer lean phases of the polymer dope. This leads to the larger size of the polymer lean phases and the formation of big finger-like micro-voids.

As the GO concentration increases to 0.5 wt%, some transverse pores are observed in the membrane sublayer as highlighted in Fig. 5(e1,e2). The formation of these horizontal oriented pores may be due to the hydrophilic nature and the high aspect ratio of the GO molecule. The hydrophilic nature of GO makes water intent to surround GO nanosheet and form polymer-lean phase. The high aspect ratio of GO leads to the water molecules flowing along the GO surface and the orientations of the resulting micro-voids are parallel to the GO surfaces. It is rational to assume that the orientations of GO nanosheets are random in the polymer dopes. However, when the content of GO increases, the amount of transverse oriented GO increases. Therefore, more and bigger transverse pores are formed in the membrane sublayer as shown in Fig. 5(e1,e2). The presence of these transverse pore may interrupt the communication of the original finger hole and the water flux of the membrane may be less.

Table 4 illustrated the \( R_a \), \( R_q \) and \( R_c \) of the pristine PMIA membranes and PMIA/GO composite membranes. The results reveal
that the pristine PMIA membrane has a higher roughness than PMIA/GO composite membranes, with $R_a = 4.367$ nm, $R_q = 5.252$ nm and $R_z = 35.371$ nm. The surface of membrane became smooth because of low electrostatic interactions of modified carbon based nanofiller with low contents, they are regularly collocated in membrane [52]. On the other hand, the roughness of PMIA/GO composite membranes is enhanced as the GO content increases to 0.5 wt%. This phenomenon may be because of during the phase inversion pro-
Fig. 5. SEM surface photographs of (a) pure PMIA membrane (b) PMIA/GO-1, (c) PMIA/GO-2 and (d) PMIA/GO-3 composite membranes; SEM cross-section photographs of (a1,a2) pure PMIA membrane (b1,b2) PMIA/GO-1, (c1,c2) PMIA/GO-2, (d1,d2) PMIA/GO-3 and (e1,e2) PMIA/GO-4 composite membranes.
cess the hydrophilic performance of GO caused the fast exchange of non-solvent and solvent. However, even added 0.5 wt% GO, the average roughness of pure PMIA membrane is higher than composite membrane. A similar result was also described by Ganesh et al. for GO/PSF membranes [37] and Zinadini et al. [53] for GO composite PES membranes. Fig. 6 gives the AFM images of the prepared membranes surfaces. The dark regions represent holes or valleys and the brightest regions indicate the highest places of the membrane surface. When GO is incorporated, the large valleys and peaks are substituted by more small peaks and valleys, which leads to sharper and denser asperities on the PMIA/GO composite membrane surfaces than the pristine PMIA membrane surface [54].

3.2.3. Hydrophilicity and pure water flux

As shown in Fig. 7(a), the WCA decreases with the increase in the GO concentration of PMIA/GO composite membrane. The 0.3 wt% PMIA/GO composite membrane has the lowest WCA of 62°. The decreased WCA indicates that the PMIA/GO composite membranes are more hydrophilic than the pristine PMIA membrane. However, when the membrane was added 0.5 wt% GO, the WCA of PMIA/GO composite membrane have slightly increased. This may be due to agglomeration and reduced effective surfaces of the nanoplates in the high GO contents, which lead to surface hydrophilic functional group of the membrane decreased, then the WCA of the membrane increased.

The higher hydrophilicity of the PMIA/GO composite membranes matches their higher water fluxes. The water fluxes of the composite membranes with 0.05 wt%, 0.1 wt%, 0.3 wt% and 0.5 wt% GO are 2, 2.2, 2.6 and 2.4 times as high as that of pure PMIA membrane, respectively. GO has a large amount of hydrophilic groups, which increases the hydrophilicity of the membrane and internal pore channel surface. By increasing the graphene oxide content, the composite membrane becomes more and more hydrophilic. Zinadini et al. fabricated a novel mixed matrix PES membrane. The water fluxes of unfilled PES membrane is 8.2 (L/m²/h) at 0.4 MPa, after blending 0.5 wt% GO, the water fluxes of composite membranes are 20.4 (L/m²/h) at 0.4 MPa [53]; Chang et al. prepared PVDF ultrafiltration membrane. The water fluxes of pure PVDF membrane is about 40 (L/m²/h) and the water fluxes of PVDF/GO composite membranes become about 81 (L/m²/h) at 0.1 MPa [33]; Genesh et al. fabricated polysulfone mixed matrix membrane. The water fluxes of PSF/GO (2000 ppm) membrane are 25 (L/m²/h) at 0.6 MPa. However, the water flux of 0.3 wt% PMIA/GO composite membrane up to 125.2 (L/m²/h) [37]. However, the water flux of the 0.5 wt% PMIA/GO composite membrane is slightly lower than that of the 0.3 wt% composite membrane. This may be because of more GO content leads to the reunion and the formation of more transverse bores which may block the internal pore connection and hinder the water transport. Therefore, the 0.5 wt% PMIA/GO composite membrane shows a slightly lower water flux than that of the 0.3 wt% composite membrane.

3.2.4. Membrane zeta potentials

The zeta potentials of the pristine PMIA and 0.3 wt% PMIA/GO composite membranes at different pH values are shown in Fig. 7(b). As pH increases, the zeta potentials decrease because the amide groups of PMIA membrane hydrolyzed to carboxyl groups as the alkaline of solution increase. It has been described by literature that at the entire pH range the GO can result in negative charge, since the acidic functional groups of GO result in more negatively charged membrane surface [53,55]. Fig. 7(b) proves that the
PMIA/GO blended membrane is more negatively charged than the pristine PMIA membrane at the entire pH range.

3.3. Membrane performance

3.3.1. Application of the membrane in dye water treatment

Over the past decades, NF has a wide exploit in reliable purification. NF membrane has a low rejection on the small molecular, and the intermediates of inorganic salts and small molecules can be passed through, is the first technology choice to treat the of dye waster [56]. According to the results of prepared PMIA nanofiltration membrane in laboratory, the water flux of the membrane is high, which can intercept the range of the dye relative molecular mass, and the salt rejection is low, so the PMIA membrane can be selected as the nanofiltration membrane to remove the dye wastewater. In this experiment, the self-made PMIA nanofiltration...
membrane was used to reject three kinds of dye solution, and provide reference for the application of PMIA nanofiltration membrane in dye wastewater treatment. The application of the membrane was showed by the dye rejection tests using a cross-flow NF equipment. Generally speaking, the separation performance of the membrane can be explained by the following theory: (1) size exclusion, (2) electrostatic repulsion. As shown in Fig. 8, both pristine PMIA membrane and composite membrane have great rejections to all three dyes and the retention decreases in an order of R (RY) > R (AR) > R (CR). When pH value is 6, anionic dye and membrane surface is negatively charged, which makes Donnan exclusion between the anionic dye and the negatively charged membrane surface to improve the retention of dye molecules. Therefore, acid red anionic dyes will be more efficient removed compared to the same molecular weight cationic dye cationic red X-GTL. In addition, reactive yellow has a relatively higher molecular weight than acid red, possesses the highest rejection rate, which is due to size exclusion effect [57]. In conclusion, the primary factor that affects the rejection of the membrane is size exclusion.

Fig. 8 also shows that the rejections to all three dyes of PMIA/GO composite membrane are higher than the pristine PMIA membranes. It has been described by literature that the GO can result in negative charge at the 2–9 pH range (Fig. 7(b)). Due to the acidic functional groups of GO result in more negatively charged membrane surface, caused high rejection between the anionic dye and the negatively charged membrane surface. Among four PMIA/GO composite membranes, the trend of rejection is reversely corresponding to their water fluxes. That is, membranes with high water flux has low rejection, and vice versa [53].

3.3.2. Antifouling and anti-corrosive performance

 Fouling is an inevitable problem in the applications of NF processes. Although the fouling mechanism is complex, the main reason for fouling formation is the hydrophobicity of the membrane surface [53,58]. Surface modification, polymer blend and other efforts have been attempted to improve the antifouling property and hydrophilicity of membrane [26,59,60]. As shown in Fig. 9(a), the membrane pure water fluxes are measured before and after BSA filtration to compare the fouling resistance among all membranes. When water was replaced by BSA solution, the
water flux of pristine PMIA membrane decreased more than those of the PMIA/GO composite membranes. After the membranes was washed, the water fluxes of composite membranes recovered more than that of the pristine membrane. The FRR of the membranes are shown in Fig. 9(b). A lower FRR indicates a weak antifouling property. The FRR of all PMIA/GO composite membranes are higher than 97%, whereas the FRR of the pristine PMIA membrane is only 91%. Clearly, PMIA/GO composite membranes show better anti-fouling property which is consistent with their more hydrophilic surfaces as shown in Fig. 7(a).

With the expansion of nanofiltration membrane applications, the industrialization process have higher and higher anti-corrosive claim for nanofiltration membrane, so the anti-corrosive test of membrane is necessary. In the practical application of nanofiltration, it will inevitably face different pH application system, when the pH break out certain value, the membrane material will be eroded, which would seriously affect the performance of membrane [61]. As shown in Fig. 10, both PMIA membrane and PMIA/GO composite membrane have great anti-corrosive performance. As the pH strength enhanced, the water flux of membrane increased. The water flux of membrane under alkaline conditions is higher than the acidic conditions. It can be see that the PMIA membrane and PMIA/GO composite membrane can remain chemically stable in a wide pH range (3–11), but when the solution is larger alkaline, the membrane will no longer apply.

4. Conclusion

Novel PMIA/GO composite NF membranes with higher water flux and better antifouling properties than the pristine PMIA membrane have been fabricated by first blending GO with the PMIA polymer dope, following by a phase inversion method. The incorporation of GO into the PMIA NF membrane decreased the membrane surface roughness, WCA, surface zeta potential and enhanced the membrane water flux, rejections to several dyes and antifouling properties. The 0.3 wt% PMIA/GO composite membrane showed the highest pure water flux of 125.2 (L/m²·h) which was nearly 2.6 times higher as that of the pristine PMIA membrane (48.3 L/m²·h) under 0.8 MPa. In addition, the dye rejections and fouling resistance of the GO blended membranes were also higher than those of the pristine membrane. All the results show that the GO is an excellent nano-material additive which can effectively increase the water flux, rejection, and anti-fouling property of the PMIA NF membrane.
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