Enhanced efficiency in HA removal by electrocoagulation through optimizing flocs properties: Role of current density and pH
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Abstract

The performance of electrocoagulation (EC) significantly depends on flocs properties that could be improved through regulating electrochemical parameters. However, little information has been collected to date regarding the relationship between flocs characteristics and electrochemical parameters. In this study, the influences of pH and current density (j) were investigated to characterize the flocs generated from EC process with aluminum anode. The results indicated that higher j performed better on HA removal due to a larger Al dosage. A highest efficiency in HA removal by EC process occurred at weak acidic range, where the flocs presented a stronger adsorption ability. Higher j and higher water pH led to a larger flocs size. The EC time to form the largest flocs was consistent with that to reach zero point charge, where surface charge favors the aggregation of Al(OH)3 precursors to form large flocs. Flocs strength was positively correlated with applied j and negatively correlated with water pH. The flocs generated with low applied j and at low initial pH had a higher value of BET surface area and a more porous surface structure.

1. Introduction

Electrocoagulation (EC) has been considered as an alternative method compared with conventional chemical coagulation (CC) in water treatment. The advantages of EC include a compact treatment facility, relatively low cost, less sludge production, minimum requirement of chemicals, and the possibility of complete automation [1–4]. It has been intensively investigated and successfully applied for heavy metals [5,6], organic compounds [7–9], anions [4,10], and particulates [11,12] removal from drinking water and wastewater.

The properties of flocs, such as size, structure and strength, have a significant effect on the subsequent separation process of sedimentation and/or filtration [13,14], and thus are crucial to the efficiency of both CC and EC process. The active coagulant species are in-situ and continually generated with the electrolytic oxidation of an appropriate anode, which differs from CC process in which metal salts are added by one time dosage. The results of Harif et al. [15], indicated that EC could generate relatively porous and fragile flocs compared with CC. The removal mechanism of EC has been proposed that is in compliance with the physico-chemical properties of flocs [16,17]. Comparing with CC, the morphology and structure of flocs generated from EC process was rarely explored. Electrochemical parameters and solution chemical properties would no doubt produce significant influence on flocs properties during EC process.

For the flocculation mechanism of EC, applied current density (j) is considered to have a twofold effect because it both controls the dissolution of metal anode into metal ion and also causes the electrolysis of water as a result of which the pH rises rapidly. The mechanisms in EC are differed as the dosage and pH increase at each given time throughout the whole process till the stop of current. Factors such as pH or coagulant dosage have the primary influence on the formation of the active mononuclear, polynuclear or amorphous species [18,19], and then greatly influence the properties of flocs morphology and structure [20,21].

In this study, the influences of pH and j were investigated to characterize the flocs generated from EC process with aluminum anode. The properties of flocs have been clarified with size distribution, Zeta potential and microcosmic appearance. The relations between the flocs morphology and structure and the removal of natural organic material (NOM) have also been discussed.
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and apparatus

A stock solution of 1000 ppm humic acid (HA, Sigma–Aldrich) was prepared by dissolving 1 g of HA in 0.1 N NaOH and diluted to 1 L with deionized water and stored in a cold room and in a dark glass bottle after mixing well. Kaolin (Sinopharm) was used as the standard particles. A certain amount of kaolin was added in 1 L deionized water, with pH adjusted to 7.5, and mixed by a magnetic stirrer for 1 h. After settlement of 12 h, the upper suspensions were collected to prepare a stock solution of kaolin. The particle concentration was determined by weight analysis method. Water samples were synthesized by spiking the stock solution of HA and kaolin into deionized water containing 0.05 M NaCl and 0.5 mM NaHCO3. The initial dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and turbidity of water samples were 5 mg/L and 8.3 NTU, respectively.

EC experiments have been carried out in an EC cell. Al electrodes were used as the anode and cathode. The electrodes were square in shape with a geometric area of 55 cm² (6.5 cm × 8.45 cm) each and with an electrode gap of 10 mm. Electricity was supplied by a DC power supply (DH1720A-6, Dahua, China). Before each experiment, the electrodes were polished with sandpaper to remove the scale build-up and then dipped in a 1.3 mol/L HC1 solution for 10 min, and then finally cleaned with successive rinses of deionized water. During each test run, the synthesized water sample of 1.0 L was placed into the cell. The initial pH of water samples was adjusted by adding 0.2 mol/L NaOH or 1.3 mol/L HCl solution for 10 min, and then finally cleaned with successive rinses of deionized water. During each test run, the synthetic water sample of 1.0 L was placed into the cell. The initial pH of water samples was adjusted by adding 0.2 mol/L NaOH or 0.05 mol/L HCl. After that, the magnetic stirrer was turned on and set at 100 rpm.

2.2. Flocs size, surface charge and morphology characterization

A laser diffraction instrument (Mastersizer 2000, Malvern, UK) was used to measure dynamic floc size (d50) as the EC process proceeded. Floc size was monitored by drawing water through the optical unit of the Mastersizer and back into the EC cell by a peristaltic pump. Size measurements were taken every half a minute for the duration of the EC process and logged onto a PC.

Surface potential of flocs that were sampled during EC process was characterized by Zeta potential analyzer (DelsaNano C, Beckman, USA). The floc samples, which were separated from water with a refrigerating centrifuge (J2-HS, Beckman, USA) and subsequently dried by a vacuum-freeze dryer (FD-1A, BoYikang, China) for 48 h, were analyzed by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, Hitach, Japan). BET surface area, pore diameter, and pore volume of the dried floc samples were determined by a surface analyzer (NOVA 4000, Quantachrome, USA) with N2 as the adsorbate at 90°C in the relative pressure range from about 0.1 to 0.95.

2.3. Flocs strength characterization

The growth, breakage and regrowth of flocs during the EC process were investigated to evaluate the effect of pH and j on flocs strength. The assessment method is similar to that of Zhu et al. [22]. The flocs grew in the slow stirring of 100 rpm for 10 min, and then was suddenly exposed to a 5 min strong stirring of 500 rpm for breakage, after which regrowth was undertaken at 100 rpm for 15 min. Dynamic floc size (d50) was monitored in the whole procedure as described in Section 2.2. Size measurements were taken every 40 s.

A size ratio method is used here with an index (strength factor) to express the strength of particle flocs, i.e.,

\[
\text{Strength Factor} = \frac{d_2}{d_1} \times 100
\]

where \(d_1\) and \(d_2\) are the mean sizes of the flocs before and after the shear breakage, respectively. A higher value of the strength factor indicates a higher ability of the flocs to resist breakage when exposed to an elevated fluid shear.

When the shear intensity was reduced after the breakage phase, re-flocculation of the flocs could take place. A reversibility factor is used here to measure the re-flocculation potential of the flocs when the shear is returned to its original level. A modified size ratio approach may be applied to calculate the reversibility (Recovery Factor) by

\[
\text{Recovery Factor} = \frac{d_1 - d_2}{d_1} \times 100
\]

where \(d_1\) is the mean size of the particle flocs after re-flocculation at the original shear rate. A higher Recovery Factor suggests a greater flocculation and regrowth capability of the flocs after the shear breakage.

2.4. HA removal by EC and flocs adsorption

UV254 was measured to evaluate the performance on HA removal by EC. The initial UV254 value and turbidity of the synthesized water samples were 0.36 cm⁻¹ and 8.3 NTU, respectively. During EC process, 15 mL water sample was taken into test tube at certain time, after a 30 min settling period the supernatants were collected to measure residual turbidity using a HACH 2100N turbidity meter. The filtrates by 0.45 μm pore size membrane filter were tested for UV254 with a UV–visible spectrometer (U-3010, Hitachi, Japan).

Adsorption experiments were initiated to evaluate HA removal by adsorption on preformed Al hydroxide flocs in EC process. In the water samples without HA, Al hydroxide flocs performed during EC process. After which, a certain amount of HA was added into the preformed floc suspensions and then the slow stirring (300 rpm) was maintained for 2 min to make the solution well distributed. Then turn stirring speed to 100 rpm to allow the HA to be adsorbed. After the adsorption step, the settling step was applied. The experimental condition (e.g. initial pH and j etc.) of adsorption was same as that of EC. The performance of HA removal by flocs adsorption was compared with that by EC.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Surface charge characterization

The zeta potential of flocs gradually increased as a function of EC time (Fig. 1). During EC process, dissolved Al hydrolyzes into monomeric (Al(OH)²⁺, Al(OH)³⁺), dimeric (Al₂(OH)⁴²⁺) species, and medium polymer species (i.e. Al₂O₃Al₂(OH)₈(OH)₂(H₂O)²⁺, Al₃+) etc. [23]. These positive Al species neutralizes the negative charge of HA and kaolin, and flocculate to form flocs. The dosage of positive Al species increased with increasing EC time, which contributed the gradual rise of flocs charge. Surface potential stabilized a certain value after charge reversal, where the negative surface charge on flocs was completely neutralized. Surface potential of flocs was positively correlated with the applied j (Fig. 1a). The zeta potential reached zero point charge (ZPC) at pH 7.7 about 5 min with the j of 50 A/m², while surface charge was still negative at 30 min with the j of 2 A/m². According to the theoretical equation of dissolved Al concentration (Eq. (3)), a higher Al dosage can be obtained if a larger j was applied in EC process. Thus the higher j was used; the more positive Al species are available.
\[ \frac{[\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3]}{L} = \frac{nItM_{\text{Al}}}{ZFV} \]

where n is cells number \((n = 1)\), I is current \((A)\), t is reaction time \((s)\), \(Z\) is valence \((3\) for aluminium\), \(M_{\text{Al}}\) is molecular weight of aluminium \((26.98 \text{ g/mol})\), \(F\) is faraday constant \((96487 \text{ C/mol})\), \(V\) is solution volume \((V = 1 \text{ L})\).

**Fig. 1b** shows that initial pH value was negatively correlated with the zeta potential of flocs. Within 2 min at \(pH_0 = 4.0\), charge reversal occurred and zeta potential acquiring positive values. This was indicative of more positively charged hydrolyzed species formation following aluminum anodic dissolution. Stabilization occurred at 20 min for \(pH_0 7.0\) as pH condition became favorable for \(\text{Al(OH)}_3\) precipitation. While for the \(pH_0 9.0\), the zeta potential was still negative till 30 min. For \(pH 9\), more \(OH^-\) ions was available to form anionic \(\text{Al}\) species such as \(\text{Al(OH)}_4^-\) and \(\text{Al(OH)}_5^2-\), so the zeta potential was still negative.

**Fig. 2** shows that water pH consecutively changed during the 30 min in EC process. For the solutions with initial \(pH 4\) and 7 (Fig. 2a and b), the increase in pH can be explained by the formation of \(OH^-\) from the reduction of \(H^+\) or \(H_2O\) on the surface of the cathode. (Eqs. (4) and (5)). \(\text{Al}^{3+}\) dissolved from anode (Eq. (6)) united with part of \(OH^-\) and underwent the processes of hydrolysis, polymerization, gelation and precipitation (Eq. (7)). It is noted that pH declined for the initial \(pH 9\) (Fig. 2b), which could be ascribed to the consumption of \(OH^-\) ions to form anionic \(\text{Al}\) species such as \(\text{Al(OH)}_4^-\) and \(\text{Al(OH)}_5^2-\). Comparing with alkaline condition, the higher zeta potential of flocs at acidic pH results from the higher \(H^+\) concentration in solution. Moreover, water pH is a crucial factor to influence \(\text{Al}\) species distribution\([24–26]\). Water pH in the EC processed mainly located at weak acidic range \((pH 5–7, \text{Fig. 2b})\), where \(\text{Al}\) hydrolysates have a stronger ability of charge neutralization as more medium polymer species \((\text{such as } \text{Al}_{13} \text{ and } \text{Al}_{30})\) that have higher positive charge generate in solution. In the EC process with \(50 \text{ A/m}^2\), water pH was highest among the applied \(j\) (Fig. 2a), but the zeta potential of flocs was also highest (Fig. 1a). It is inconsistent with the results mentioned above about the influence of pH on flocs zeta potential, and maybe attribute to largest \(\text{Al}\) concentration obtained from the EC process with \(50 \text{ A/m}^2\).

Cathode reaction: \(2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2\) \hspace{1cm} (4)

\(2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2 + 2OH^-\) \hspace{1cm} (5)

Anode reaction : \(\text{Al} \rightarrow \text{Al}^{3+} + 3e^-\) \hspace{1cm} (6)

\(\text{Al}^{3+} + 4OH^- \rightarrow \text{Al(OH)}_4^- + \text{monomeric or dimeric Al}\)

\(\rightarrow \text{Al}_{13} \text{ or } \text{Al}_{30} \text{ polymer } + \text{OH}^-\)

\(\rightarrow \text{colloid and precipitated Al } + \text{OH}^-\)

\hspace{1cm} (7)

### 3.2. Flocs size characterization

Flocs size \((d_{50})\) in different \(pH\) condition and \(j\) was monitored over the whole EC process. **Fig. 3a** indicated that higher \(j\) resulted in a larger flocs size and a faster growth rate. The results of **Fig. 3b** displayed that higher water \(pH\) led to a larger flocs size, but a slower growth rate especially in the primary period of EC process. Flocs size evolution vs time followed two consecutive stages: a stage of rapid growth at primary period then followed by a stage in which flocs size were close to a constant value. It is noted that, for the \(d_{50}\) of \(50 \text{ A/m}^2\) (Fig. 3a), the flocs size decreased after arriving maximal value at about 7 min.

\(\text{Al(OH)}_3\) nucleation and precipitation would occur when the concentration of alumina reaches the critical saturation point. And the formation of \(\text{Al(OH)}_3\) precursor depends on \(\text{Al dosage}\) and \(\text{water pH}\)\([27]\). EC process could provide both high \(\text{Al dosage}\) and \(\text{pH value}\) with a high current density, resulted in more precursor of \(\text{Al(OH)}_3\). And that would provide more opportunities for the aggregation of precursors and subsequent formation of submicron precursors\([28]\). Surface charge is also a significant factor in formation of flocs by providing stable bonds between small particles.

---

**Fig. 1.** The effect of (a) applied \(j\) and (b) initial \(pH\) on the Zeta potential of flocs.

**Fig. 2.** The effect of (a) applied \(j\) and (b) initial \(pH\) on \(pH\) changes during EC process.
From Figs. 1 and 3, regardless of the magnitude of applied \( j \) and initial pH, the time to form the largest flocs was consistent with that to reach ZPC. At the point of ZPC, electrostatic repulsive force among precursors was lowest, and such surface charge favors the aggregation of precursors to form large flocs. After which, the positive charge of flocs gradually increases, and thus electrostatic repulsive force among precursors augments, resulting in the decline of flocs size. High water pH implying large OH\(^{-}\)/C\(_{0}\) concentration, it could accelerate the processes of Al hydrolysis, polymerization and precipitation, and thus contributes the formation of larger flocs size.

3.3. Flocs structure and morphology analysis

Fig. 4 shows the variation of flocs size during the hydrodynamic sequencing. The flocs size was immediately reduced following an increase in shear. A reversibility phenomenon in terms of flocs size was observed, as mentioned in previous studies [22,30]. When the shear decreased to its initial value, the broken flocs could collide with each other again to form the larger ones. However, it may be noticed that the formed flocs could not regrow to anywhere near their previous size. This may be attributed to the different flocculation mechanisms. Flocs formed by charge neutralization should give total recoverability. Thus, the irreversible breakage of the flocs was considered as evidence that the flocs formed in these systems were not dominated by pure charge neutralization mechanisms and were therefore held together by chemical rather than physical bonds, such as the combination of entrapment bridging and complexation with coagulant metal hydrolysis species [31].

Interpreting flocs growth and breakage can give important indications of floc strength [32]. Table 1 summarizes the values of the strength and recovery factor for the flocs under different pH and \( j \). The flocs with \( j = 2 \) A/m\(^2\) and pH\(_{0}\) = 9 were less able to resist the shearing condition, and their strength factor values were 23.16% and 26.67%, respectively, lower than the flocs generated at other conditions. Flocs strength was positively correlated with applied \( j \) and negatively correlated with water pH. This may be explained by the flocs growth rate, which is coincident with the magnitude of flocs strength. The floc strength is dependent upon the interparticle bonds between the components of the aggregates [32]. At the conditions of high applied \( j \) (50 A/m\(^2\)) and low initial pH (pH\(_{0}\) = 4), with a faster growth rate, the flocs are difficult to be broken up because there are floc precursors containing more effective Al for the flocs to stay firm. The flocs with \( j = 2 \) A/m\(^2\) and pH\(_{0}\) = 4 recovered best after the breakage, and their recovery factor were 52.50% and 44.18%, respectively. Lower applied \( j \) and water pH favored the flocs recoverability, which can be ascribed to the coag-

![Fig. 3. Flocs sizes evolution within different (a) applied \( j \) and (b) initial pH conditions.](image)

![Fig. 4. The flocs breakage and regrow in different (a) applied \( j \) and (b) initial pH conditions.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions d 1 ((\mu m))</th>
<th>Conditions d 2 ((\mu m))</th>
<th>Conditions d 3 ((\mu m))</th>
<th>Strength factor (%)</th>
<th>Recovery factor (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>pH = 7</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(j = 2)</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>108.5</td>
<td>23.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(j = 10)</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(j = 50)</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>81.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pH = 10 A/m(^2)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH(_{0}) = 4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>54.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH(_{0}) = 7</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH(_{0}) = 9</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>176.5</td>
<td>26.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

Strength and recovery factors of flocs on different initial pH and applied \( j \).
ulation mechanism as mentioned above. Generally, charge neutral-
ization is the dominant coagulation mechanism at low coagulant
dosage and low water pH, while sweep coagulation mainly occurs
at a high coagulant dosage and high water pH [33].

As shown in Table 2, the flocs generated with low applied
j \( (10 \text{ A/m}^2) \) and at low initial pH (pH 4) had a higher value of BET
surface area and a more porous surface structure. The BET surface
area of the flocs generated with \( j = 10 \text{ A/m}^2 \) and at pH 4 were
196.86 m\(^2\)/g and 256.45 m\(^2\)/g, respectively, while the values for
\( j = 100 \text{ A/m}^2 \) and pH\(_0\) = 4 were 108.46 m\(^2\)/g and 90.61 m\(^2\)/g, respectively. The image of flocs generated at pH\(_0\) = 4 (Fig. 5a) presented a
loose surface structure, while the image of flocs formed at pH\(_0\) = 9
showed a compact surface structure (Fig. 5c). The results of HE-
SEM also revealed that the flocs surface at low \( j \) (10 A/m\(^2\)),
Fig. 5d) was rougher than that at high \( j \) (both 50 A/m\(^2\), Fig. 5b and 100 A/m\(^2\), Fig. 5e), and it was consistent with the
results of BET analysis. According to our previous results [23],
low \( j \) and weak acidic water conditions favored the formation of in-situ Al\(_{13}\) polymer. A lot of studies [20,21] have demonstrated
that the flocs generated from Al\(_{13}\) polymer are looser and more
porous surface.

3.4. Role of flocs in HA removal

The removal of HA measured by UV\(_{254}\) was examined in differ-
ent initial pH and applied \( j \). As shown in Fig. 6a, higher \( j \) performed
better on HA removal due to a larger Al dosage. The residual UV\(_{254}\)
for 50 A/m\(^2\) closed to zero at 5 min, while the EC process with 2 A/
m\(^2\) needed about 30 min to attain the same UV\(_{254}\) removal. UV\(_{254}\)

Table 2
Surface characteristics of Al floc generated in EC process with different initial pH and applied \( j \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>pH(_0) = 7.0</th>
<th>( j = 10 \text{ A/m}^2 )</th>
<th>( j = 50 \text{ A/m}^2 )</th>
<th>( j = 100 \text{ A/m}^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BET surface area (m(^2)/g)</td>
<td>196.86</td>
<td>129.35</td>
<td>108.46</td>
<td>256.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJH Adsorption average pore diameter (nm)</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>10.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. The SEM images of flocs generated in EC process under different conditions: (a) pH\(_0\) = 4.0, \( j = 50 \text{ A/m}^2 \); (b) pH\(_0\) = 7.0, \( j = 50 \text{ A/m}^2 \); (c) pH\(_0\) = 9.0, \( j = 50 \text{ A/m}^2 \); (d) pH\(_0\) = 7.0,
\( j = 10 \text{ A/m}^2 \); (e) pH\(_0\) = 7.0, \( j = 100 \text{ A/m}^2 \).

Fig. 6. The effect of (a) applied \( j \) and (b) initial pH on HA removal.
removal at various pH conditions showed a rapid decrease in the first 10 min, and then kept stable at about 0.025 cm⁻¹ except a little rise of pH₀ = 4.0 (Fig. 6b). EC process at weak acidic range presented a higher efficiency on HA removal among the examined pH conditions. The results were consistent with the neutralization ability magnitude of Al hydrolyzes in different pH conditions. The surface charge of flocs formed from pH₀ 4.0 firstly reached ZPN (Fig. 1b), thus the UV₂₅₄ removal for pH₀ = 4.0 attained the maximal value within the shortest time. The results of BET analysis implied that the flocs generated at low initial pH may have more surface adsorption sites, which would make EC process perform better at low pH condition. Moreover, in-situ Al₁₃ polymer could be generated in EC process, and became the dominant species at about 5 min in the weak acidic pH condition [21]. The flocs generated from Al₁₃ polymer showed a larger flocs size and a rougher surface structure than other Al species [23]. It has been suggested that adsorption onto Al hydroxide precipitates is an important pathway for the removal of NOM [34], and the extent of adsorption depends on the flocs structure and morphology, which was proved by the results of Fig. 7. HA adsorption by the flocs generated from EC at different pH conditions followed the following order: pH₀ > pH₀ 7 > pH₀ 9. It was consistent with the magnitude of BET surface area. Similar trends were observed in HA removal by EC process (Fig. 6b) and by adsorption on preformed EC flocs (Fig. 7). It indicated that adsorption onto Al hydroxide flocs played a more important role in HA removal by EC process.

4. Conclusion

Water pH and applied j are most important parameters during EC process to influence the characteristics of flocs structure and morphology, and then determine EC efficiency in pollutants removal. Higher j resulted in a larger flocs size and a faster growth rate, while higher water pH led to a larger flocs size but a slower growth rate especially in the primary period of EC process. The EC time to form the largest flocs was consistent with that to reach ZPC, where surface charge favors the aggregation of Al(OH)₃ pre-curors to form large flocs. Flocs strength was positively correlated with applied j and negatively correlated with water pH. The flocs generated with low applied j and at low initial pH had a higher value of BET surface area and a more porous surface structure. Higher j performed better on HA removal due to a larger Al dosage. A higher efficiency in HA removal by EC process occurred at weak acidic range, where the flocs presented a stronger adsorption ability.
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Fig. 7. HA removal by adsorption on preformed EC flocs generated at various initial pH conditions.