Comparison of bacterial community structures in two systems of a sewage treatment plant using PCR-DGGE analysis
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Abstract

The combination of PCR amplification of 16S rRNA genes with denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) analysis was used to reveal the compositions and dynamics of bacterial communities in a sewage treatment plant with two systems, i.e., an anoxic-anaerobic-aerobic system (inverted A2O) and an anaerobic-anoxic-aerobic one (conventional A2O) over a period from February to July 2009, during which both systems experienced serious sludge bulking problems. The DGGE patterns showed that there were many common bands in both systems, suggesting the high similarity of bacterial communities of the two systems. Meanwhile, the moving window correlation analysis showed that the two systems experienced different microbial community structure changes during the period, which might be related with the different situations of the occurrence and disappearance of sludge bulking, as being reflected by sludge volume index (SVI) values. Major bands of DGGE patterns of sludge samples were further sequenced. Phylogenetic affiliation indicated that the majority of the sequences obtained were affiliated with Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes/Chlorobi group and α- and β-Proteobacteria. Two sequences showed high similarities to typical filamentous bacteria Microthrix parvicella and Nostocoida limicola I, indicating that these bacterial species have been involved in the sludge bulking problems.
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Introduction

Biological treatment of municipal and industrial wastewaters has been widely used in the world because of the low cost. A through understanding of the microbial composition of activated sludge is important for understanding the mechanism of biological treatment processes, particularly for abating problems like sludge bulking or foaming (Kumari et al., 2009). Knowledge of microbial composition of activated sludge has been greatly extended by molecular studies based on the analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences. The recently developed molecular biological techniques such as 16S rDNA clone library (McGarvey et al., 2004; Otawa et al., 2006), ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis (RISA) (Yu and Mohn, 2001; Baker et al., 2003), 16S-restriction fragment length polymorphism (16S-RFLP) (Baker et al., 2003; Gilbride and Fulthorpe, 2004), have made it possible to look into microbial ecology in wastewater treatment systems without culturing microbial strains. Compared to other methods, PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) is cheap and fast, and could be used to compare microbial community structure changes of different systems (Liu et al., 2002; Casserly and Erijman, 2003; Kaksonen et al., 2003, Rowan et al., 2003). Studies concerning bacterial composition and dynamic in wastewater using DGGE-based approaches have been performed for activated sludge (Boon et al., 2002; Ibekwe et al., 2003; Gilbride et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2007a, 2007b).

With the help of these molecular techniques, it is now clear that the phylum Proteobacteria was generally dominant in activated sludge of municipal wastewater treatment plants (MWTPs) with β-Proteobacteria being the most frequently observed, followed by the phyla Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria. It is known that bacterial community structures in different MWTPs are quite different because of the differences in wastewater compositions and operational conditions. It is not clear, however, how different it would be for two different processes treating the same sewage.

Thus, we compared bacterial community structures in two systems, a conventional A2O (anaerobic-anoxic-aerobic) process and an inverted A2O (anoxic-anaerobic-aerobic) one, in the same MWTP located in a north China
city. 16S PCR-DGGE analysis was used to obtain patterns of bacterial community and trace the change of bacterial community in both systems over a period from February to July, during which significant sludge bulking was found in both systems, and then predominant bacteria were identified by cloning and sequencing of the bands excised from DGGE gels. The results could help to understand the mechanism of sludge bulking.

1 Material and methods

1.1 Sampling, physical and chemical characterization

Sludge samples were collected from the two processes (inverted and conventional A2O) of a sewage treatment plant in a north China city and kept at –20°C until used for DNA extraction. Sampling was performed monthly from February to July 2009. Sampling in the two processes starting from February to July 2009, resulted in a total of 12 samples (6 samples per process). The chemical oxygen demand (COD), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5), suspended solids (SS), temperature and dissolved oxygen (DO) were determined by standard methods (Clescerl et al., 1998).

1.2 Nucleic acid extraction and PCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene

DNA was extracted using a soil DNA extraction kit (fast DNA spin kit for soil, France) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The extracted products were visualized on 1% agarose gel. Encapsulated DNA was amplified in a Thermal Cycler using 341f/926r primer set or 341f-GC/mL). Cloned inserts were amplified from lysed colonies by PCR with plasmid-vector specific primers M13F (5’-CGC CCG CCG CGC GCC GGG GGG GCC GGA CCA CGG GGG G-3’) and M13R (5’-CAG GAA ACA GCT ATG AC-3’) for each process against the time, graphical representations of the evolution of stability (moving window) over time for bacterial communities in the two systems were obtained (Wittebolle et al., 2008; Marzorati et al., 2008).

1.4 Cloning of excised DGGE bands, sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

Bands of interest were excised from the DGGE gel using syringe needles, suspended overnight in 12.5 µL sterile water and PCR amplified using 341f and 926r primers. The PCR products were purified using an agarose gel DNA purification kit (Takara Co., Dalian, China). The amplified 16S rRNA gene fragments were cloned into the TOPO TA cloning vector PCR2.1, and then TOP10 chemically competent Escherichia coli was transformed with the plasmids according to manufacture’s instructions (Invitrogen, Shanghai, China). Transformants were selected by blue-white selection on Luria-Bertani agar plates containing ampicillin (100 µg/mL). Cloned inserts were amplified from lysed colonies by PCR with plasmid-vector specific primers M13F (5’-GTA AAA CGA CGG CCA G-3’) and M13R (5’-CAG GAA ACA GCT ATG AC-3’). Clones were sequenced with an ABI 3730 automated sequencer (Invitrogen, Shanghai, China). The sequences obtained were checked for chimeras using Chimera Detection in the Ribosomal Database Project (http://rdp8.cme.msu.edu) and compared with sequences in the BLAST/NCBI database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST) to determine the nearest matches. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using MEGA version 4.0 by the neighbor-joining algorithm, and the Jukes-Cantor distance estimation method with bootstrap analyses for 1000 replicates was performed.

1.5 Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

The partial 16S rRNA gene sequences that were determined in this study have been deposited in the GenBank nucleotide sequence database under accession numbers HQ730880 to HQ730890.

2 Results and discussion

2.1 Performance of the two systems

The two processes demonstrated almost identical performance. The average of the removals of COD, BOD and SS
during the monitored period were 95.7%, 96.5%, 92.6% for the inverted A2O system and 96.0%, 97.3%, 93.9% for the conventional one, respectively.

2.2 DGGE analysis of the diversity of bacterial community

In this study, the changes of bacterial communities of the two systems were elucidated by the DGGE phylotype. As shown in Fig. 1, a total of 15 bright bands were detected in DGGE gel (weak bands were discarded) which were common in the two processes, indicating that there were high similarities of the populations of bacteria between them. DGGE bands 3, 7, 8, 9 and 15 were detected in all profiles, and several band positions were unique for some months (e.g., bands 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14). Band 13 appeared only in May to July of the two processes, while bands 4, 5, 6 and 10 appeared in the last three months (May to July). These differences indicated that some variance of bacterial community compositions existed during the period.

Furthermore, DGGE patterns were compared with one another by pairwise similarity coefficient of dice (S), which ranged from 0.417 to 1.000. Cluster analysis demonstrated that band patterns of samples of June to July in conventional A2O process were closely related to each other (Fig. 2), with S values of 0.854, and the patterns of samples of February and March were also similar to each other (S value, 0.722). Meanwhile, the band patterns of samples of April in inverted A2O process showed higher similarities to those of samples of February, March and June (S values of 0.735–0.862), while the band pattern of the sample of May was quite different from all the others with S values of 0.444 to 0.498. These results also confirm that bacterial communities in both treatment systems exhibited some changes.

The evolution of the similarity over time is depicted using the moving window correlation approach (Fig. 3). The similarity of bacterial community in conventional A2O process became relatively stable after reaching the lowest value of 55%. The changes of bacterial community similarity in inverted A2O process were significant with the values decreased to 49% firstly and increased to 73% finally. These results indicated that bacterial communities in the two treatment systems experienced quite different variance.

The above results of the evolution of stability for bacterial community and cluster analysis of DGGE patterns in two systems might be linked with that of sludge volume index (SVI) as shown in Fig. 4. The SVI values of inverted A2O experienced significantly large variance compared
to that of conventional A2O during the whole sampling period. The SVI values decreased from approximately 350 mL/g in February to 60 mL/g in July in the inverted A2O system, and some obvious increase of the value was even observed in April and June, possibly resulting in the comparably low similarity of bacterial community with the moving window analysis. These results were also in accordance with the cluster analysis of DGGE patterns of the inverted A2O, which indicated that band patterns of May and July (both SVI values below 100 mL/g) were obviously different from those of other months (all with SVI above 100 mL/g). Meanwhile, the relatively stable decrease of SVI values in conventional A2O from 250 to 60 mL/g might be the reason of the stable growth of similarity of bacterial community with the moving window analysis. The similar band patterns between February and March might be the result of higher SVI values of around 200 mL/g compared to those below 150 mL/g during April to July.

2.3 Phylogenetic analysis

According to the principle of DGGE, the total DNAs with the same length but different sequences could be separated. Each band corresponded approximately to a dominant microbial community and more bands suggested higher diversity. In this study, 11 sequences (corresponding bands are indicated in Fig. 1) were obtained from the two systems, and were subsequently used for comparative analysis. Each sequence was submitted to a BLAST search and results of their closest relative are shown in Table 1.

As shown in Fig. 5, the sequences of bands 6 and 10 were grouped into β-Proteobacteria and affiliated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band number</th>
<th>Similarity</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Phylogenetic affiliation</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>Uncultured Chlorobium bacterium</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>GU123155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>Uncultured Sphingobacteriaceae bacterium</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>GU257878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>Novosphingobium mathurense</td>
<td>α-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>FM164634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>Uncultured Chlorobi bacterium</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>FJ484824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>Uncultured β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>FJ660557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>Uncultured Firmicutes bacterium</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>CU918169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>Uncultured Firmicutes bacterium</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>CU926587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>Sphingopyxis sp.</td>
<td>β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>EU440980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>Simplicispira sp.</td>
<td>β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>AM236310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>Nostocoida limicola I</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>AF255736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>Microthrix parvicella</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>DQ147285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>Microthrix parvicella</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>DQ147285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>Microthrix parvicella</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>DQ147285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>Microthrix parvicella</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>DQ147285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>Microthrix parvicella</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>DQ147285</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 5 Phylogenetic tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences which were derived from DGGE patterns of the inverted and conventional A2O process.

with uncultured β-Proteobacteria and *Simplicispira* sp. which have been used to remove inorganic nutrients from wastewater and are becoming more important for reducing eutrophication within lakes (Lu et al., 2007). Meanwhile, the sequences of bands 3 and 9 were grouped into α-Proteobacteria and related with *Novosphingobium mathurensis* and *Sphingopyxis* sp., respectively. Members of α-Proteobacteria are known for degradation of chlorophenol and dichlorophenoxy acetic acid, thiophene-2-carboxylate metabolism of phenanthrene and sulphur containing substrates, polycyclic aromatic and xenobiotics degrader (Rani et al., 2008). The sequences of bands 2, 7 and 8 were affiliated to Sphingobacteriaceae and Firmicutes, respectively. The organisms related with the phyla Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Firmicutes were usually observed in active sludge processes and are very diverse (Rani et al., 2008; Moura et al., 2009) with many organisms involved in pollutant degradation, which suggest the importance of such communities for wastewater treatment. The sequences of bands 1 and 4 were closely related to filamentous green sulfur bacteria Chlorobi which belongs to Bacteroidetes/Chlorobi group. This group has previously been detected in wastewater environments (Valle et al., 2004). These bacteria were commonly occurring in domestic wastewater systems. Similar results were obtained in 16S rDNA libraries from activated sludge communities (Jiang et al., 2008). Finally, the sequences of bands 11 and 15 showed high similarities to *N. limicola* I and *Microthrix parvicella*, respectively, both of which belonged to Actinobacteria and were typical filamentous bacteria responsible for sludge bulking (Levantesi et al., 2004; Kaetzke et al., 2005; Kumari et al., 2009). Band 11 existed mainly during the period from February to April, which was in accordance with the changes of SVI values. So at least *N. limicola* I might be related with sludge bulking to some extent.

3 Conclusions

The PCR-DGGE method applied here has provided insights regarding the structures and dynamics over six months of bacterial communities from a sewage plant with both inverted and conventional A2O processes during a serious sludge bulking period. The results indicated that bacterial community structures were similar between the two systems and changed obviously during the period. Similar bacterial compositions could be the fundamental reason for the similar operational efficiency of these two systems. Different sludge bulking processes being reflected by SVI values might result in the distinct evolitional processes of bacterial communities in the two systems. Although most of the identified bacterial sequences belonged to normal bacterial groups in activated sludge systems, some filamentous bacteria *M. parvicella* and *N. limicola* I related sequences possibly accounted for the appearance of sludge bulking in the systems.
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