Accumulation of total mercury and methylmercury in rice plants collected from different mining areas in China
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ABSTRACT

A total of 155 rice plants were collected from ten mining areas in three provinces of China (Hunan, Guizhou and Guangdong), where most of mercury (Hg) mining takes place in China. During the harvest season, whole rice plants were sampled and divided into root, stalk & leaf, husk and seed (brown rice), together with soil from root zone. Although the degree of Hg contamination varied significantly among different mining areas, rice seed showed the highest ability for methylmercury (MeHg) accumulation. Both concentrations of total mercury (THg) and MeHg in rice plants were significantly correlated with Hg levels in soil, indicating soil is still an important source for both inorganic mercury (IHg) and MeHg in rice plants. The obvious discrepancy between the distribution patterns of THg and MeHg reflected different pathways of IHg and MeHg accumulation. Water soluble Hg may play more important role in MeHg accumulation in rice plants.

1. Introduction

As a global pollutant, mercury (Hg) exists in various environmental media and transforms among a variety of species in nature. The toxicity of Hg is closely related to its chemical forms (Clarkson, 1998). As the most toxic Hg compound, methylmercury (MeHg) can be considerably accumulated in the aquatic food web, leading to fish at higher trophic levels with 10^8 times higher Hg concentrations than ambient water (WHO, 1990; Stein et al., 1996; USEPA, 1997a). Seafood consumption is usually considered to be the primary route of human MeHg exposure (Clarkson, 1993). Studies have found that MeHg levels in human hair were positively correlated with fish consumption (Holsbeek et al., 1996; Al-Majed and Preston, 2000; Santos et al., 2002). However, recent studies in Guizhou province of China showed that consumption of rice grown at Hg mining areas was the main MeHg exposure pathway to local residents (Feng et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2010a). Generally, total Hg (THg) levels in most foodstuffs are below the maximum permissible limit in China of 20 µg kg^{-1} d.w. for crops (GB 2762-2005; Meng et al., 2011). But the study in Wanshan found that THg levels in rice could reach up to 569 µg kg^{-1}, of which 145 µg kg^{-1} occurred in MeHg form (Horvat et al., 2003). Rice serves as the staple food for over half the world’s population (FAO, 2006), and therefore intake of contaminated rice could result in a potential health threat to humans.

Significant MeHg accumulation in rice has currently drawn increased international attention. Initial reports mostly focused on the determination of Hg levels in rice and the assessment of human exposure risk through rice intake. Elevated MeHg concentrations were reported in rice collected from Wuchuan, with the results revealing that air inhalation was the main inorganic Hg (IHg) exposure route, while rice intake was the main MeHg exposure route (Qiu et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008a). Later studies found that MeHg in rice in Wanshan was about 2–3 orders of magnitude higher than that in the edible portion of other local crop plants, implying that the accumulation of MeHg in rice was high (Qiu et al., 2008). Some other Hg mining areas in Guizhou province have also been investigated, such as Qingzhen (Horvat et al., 2003; Savik et al., 2011), Lanmuchang (Wang et al., 2005), Tongren (Li et al., 2011) and Danzhai (Feng and Qiu, 2008), but to a less extent compared to Wanshan and Wuchuan. Although large-scale Hg...
mining activities in these mines have been officially ceased, small-scale and artisanal Hg or gold smelting activities have revived since a few years ago due to the increase of Hg price in the Chinese market (Feng et al., 2008). To date, few studies have been conducted on rice plants in other Hg mining provinces in China other than Guizhou province. The possible pathways of MeHg accumulation have been recently discussed in several publications. On average, the bioaccumulation factors of MeHg in rice grain could be over 800 times higher than those of IHg (Zhang et al., 2010b). Most of MeHg are firstly accumulated in the leaf and stalk parts and then transferred to seed during the ripening period (Meng et al., 2010, 2011). MeHg was also found to be mainly enriched in the endosperm whereas IHg was mostly located in the rice bran layer (Rothenberg et al., 2011). However, investigations on MeHg accumulation mechanisms in rice plants are still quite limited, and the dynamic processes of MeHg absorption, transformation and translocation remains largely unknown.

As known, Hg in soil occurs in various forms that can be bound to different matrix phases and these matrix phases vary upon mobility, bioavailability and potential toxicity (Issaro et al., 2009). Therefore, THg has been regarded as a poor indicator for the toxicological and environmental hazards of Hg contamination in soil. Normally, water soluble Hg (Hg-w) is highly soluble, easily available to biota, and might serve as a main substrate for the methylation of IHg (Wallschläger et al., 1998; Bosze et al., 2006; Covelli et al., 2009). Studies in Wanshan have revealed a serious Hg contamination in local soil, with THg levels reaching up to 130,000 μg kg⁻¹ (Lin et al., 2010). Since paddy fields are shallowly flooded during the rice growing season, Hg-w may play an important role in methylation and accumulation of Hg in paddy fields. Unfortunately, this has been ignored in most previous studies.

In order to better understand the potential pathways of IHg and MeHg accumulation in rice plants, we emphasized our study on the following two aspects: (1) water soluble Hg (Hg-w) in soil from the root zone was measured to explain the bioavailability and accumulation process of Hg; (2) sampling areas were expanded to three provinces to verify the variation and consistency of THg and MeHg accumulation. The distribution patterns, possible sources and accumulation pathways of THg and MeHg in rice plants were discussed in detail. The results from this study could provide further information for better understanding the accumulation mechanism of Hg into rice plants.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sampling sites description

China is rich in Hg reserve, ranking the third in the world (Feng et al., 2008). Guizhou, Hunan and Guangdong provinces are three of the most important Hg producing areas in China. Moreover, rice is the major crop in these provinces and serves as staple food. In this work, ten typical mining sites were selected for sampling, among them five sites in Guizhou, including Danzhai (DZ), Lannuchang (LMC), Tongren (TR), Wanshan Sikeng (WS) and Wanshan Wukeng (WS5), four sites in Hunan, including Dongping in Baoting (BJ) county, Chatian Chanshula (CSL), Chatian Jijian (JJ) and Niudouping (NDP) in Fenghuang county (with these three close to each other), and one Hg-contaminated mining site in Guangdong, that is, Fankou (FK) Pb/Zn mining site. Fig. 1 shows the map of the study regions.

Guizhou province is located at the center of the circum-Pacific mercuryiferous belt (Gustin et al., 1999; Qiu et al., 2006). The total cinnabar deposit in this province represents approximately 70% of the total in China (Qiu, 2004). Hg mining activities in Guizhou have introduced large amounts of uncontrolled gangues and mine tailings. As a result, crops grown at these Hg mining areas contained elevated Hg (Feng et al., 2008a; Qiu et al., 2008). Wanshan was once the largest conglomeration of Hg mines and refining plants in China (Lin et al., 2010). Several studies have reported rather high concentrations of Hg in different environmental media of this area (Hostetler et al., 2003; Qiu et al., 2005; Lin et al., 2010). DZ, LMC and TR are all large Hg mines in Guizhou, but few studies have been reported on Hg accumulation in rice plants grown in these areas. Western Hunan province also situates an Hg belt, ranking the fifth largest in China. Chatian Hg mining deposit (CMD) is the most important mine in this Hg belt, with a long history of Hg mining. Previous studies have reported heavy Hg pollution in Pb/Zn mine area located in adjacent town in Fuchou County (Li et al., 2007). Guangdong province is another important Hg producing area. Fankou Pb/Zn mine in Shaoguan city is the largest geological reserved mining area in Asia. As Hg is a major associated element in zinc ores, zinc smelting has led to serious Hg pollution (Li et al., 2008b). Studies showed that Hg levels in soils and vegetables of this mine exceeded the Chinese National Standard (GB 15618-1995; GB 2762-2005) by 32.3% and 9.4%, respectively (Wang et al., 2012).

2.2. Sample collection and pretreatment

During rice harvest season in 2010, a total of 155 rice plants were collected from the ten mining areas. Whole rice plant together with soil samples from the root zone (10–20 cm in depth) were collected from the first four sites, DZ (n = 11), WS (n = 21), LMC (n = 15) and FK (n = 18). Parts of rice plant (seed and husk, n = 15) as well as corresponding soil samples (n = 15) from the root zone were collected from each of the other six sites, BJ, CSL, JJ, NDP, TR and WS5. In all sampling sites, each sample was collected from ten rice plants in the same field (Fig. 1). Sampling sites, which were marked with different numbers, were labelled with numbered labels. (1) leaves (2) stems (3) grains (4) soil (5) shoot and root (6) whole plant (7) husks (8) unhusked rice, respectively. To estimate the metal concentration in each sample, five replicates were taken from each site. All samples were stored at −20 °C until analysis.

Fig. 1. Map of study regions. ( ) indicates a sampling site.
collected from one individual paddy field except for WS, LMC and FK, where three samples were collected from one paddy field. The selected rice paddy fields were all located near the sedimentation tanks, Hg smelting residues or Hg ores of Hg mines, mostly within the distance of 100–500 m and some even just below the sedimentation tank dam.

Rice grains were freshly separated from the rice plant using a scalpel. Rice stalk and leaf were then cut down from above water surface 4 cm (if no water was present, then at 6 cm above ground). Afterward, the rice plant was pulled out, and soil sample was collected around the root. The rice was held within a disposable polyethylene glove. Finally, rice root was cut from the rest of the stalk. Therefore, a total of 595 samples were obtained, including 440 rice tissue samples and 155 soil samples from the root zone.

All rice tissue samples were preliminarily cleaned with drinking water in situ and then thoroughly with ultrapure water after carrying to the laboratory, and finally freeze-dried at –50 °C (Alpha 1-2 LD plus, Christ, Germany). In addition, rice seeds (brown rice) were separated from the husks using a decorticating machine (JEG–1, China). Rice seeds were ground to 120 meshes per inch (IKA-A11 basic, IKA Germany), while root, stalk & leaf and husk samples were cut into very small pieces using a scalpel, because they were too light to be ground within a grinder. Soil samples were sealed in situ, air dried in the laboratory and then ground to 80 meshes per inch. During the whole process, precautions were taken to avoid any cross-contamination. The decorticating machine and the grinder were both thoroughly cleaned after each sample. After preparation, the powdered samples were sealed in polyethylene bags and stored in a –20 °C refrigerator for further analysis.

2.3. Analytical methods

2.3.1. THg analysis

For THg in rice tissues, microwave digestion was used. Approximately 0.1 g of plant tissue was weighed, and 3 mL of HNO3 and 3 mL of H2O2 were added. Then the tissue sample was collected around the root. The disposable polyethylene glove. Finally, rice root was cut from the rest of the stalk. Therefore, a total of 595 samples were obtained, including 440 rice tissue samples and 155 soil samples from the root zone.

Concentrations of THg and MeHg in rice tissues from the ten mining areas varied significantly, especially for the first four sites. The average concentrations of THg in rice seed (brown rice) were mostly higher than the maximum permissible limit in China of 20 μg kg⁻¹ for crops (GB 2762-2005), except for FK, where only one of eighteen samples exceeded the limit. Moreover, the tolerable intake for MeHg recommended by FAO/WHO (FAO/WHO, 2011; WHO, 2007) is 1.6 μg kg⁻¹ bodyweight per week and the USEPA reference dose (RD) for MeHg is 0.1 μg kg⁻¹ bodyweight per day (USEPA, 1997b). Supposing that the average daily intake of rice was 250 g and the average bodyweight was 60 kg, the daily MeHg intake in WS (MeHg: 10.8–132.4 μg kg⁻¹) would be in the range 0.04–0.6 μg kg⁻¹, with the mean value of 0.13 μg kg⁻¹ (if converted into weekly intake, in the range 0.3–3.9 μg kg⁻¹), which mostly exceeded both the FAO/WHO and USEPA recommended limits. The high levels of THg and MeHg implied serious Hg contamination in rice paddies of selected sampling areas and could pose potential health threat.

For the first four sites, the highest mean THg concentration in seed was observed in WS (93.6 ± 114.2 μg kg⁻¹), with the maximum of 584.4 μg kg⁻¹, which was quite close to previously reported levels (569 μg kg⁻¹, Horvat et al., 2003), followed by DZ (114.2 μg kg⁻¹) with the range 10.4–27.6 μg kg⁻¹. The average THg levels in rice seed in DZ (85.8 ± 43.6 μg kg⁻¹) and LMC (22.0 ± 5.3 μg kg⁻¹) were both lower than those in WS but higher than those in FK. For MeHg, the highest mean concentration was again found in WS (30.4 ± 26.5 μg kg⁻¹), with the maximum of 132.4 μg kg⁻¹, which also accorded with previous report (145 μg kg⁻¹, Horvat et al., 2003), followed by DZ (20.8 ± 9.3 μg kg⁻¹), then LMC (12.3 ± 4.9 μg kg⁻¹) and finally FK (2.0 ± 0.5 μg kg⁻¹).

As a whole, Hg contamination degrees in rice plants were quite different among the ten mining areas. For the first four sites, the average concentrations of THg and MeHg in rice tissues followed the order: WS > DZ > LMC > FK. For the other six sites, the order

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRM</th>
<th>Producer</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>THg Obtained value</th>
<th>THg Certified value</th>
<th>MeHg Obtained value</th>
<th>MeHg Certified value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DORM-3</td>
<td>NRCC</td>
<td>Fish protein</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>377.2 ± 21.5</td>
<td>382 ± 60</td>
<td>318.7 ± 38.2</td>
<td>355 ± 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORT-2</td>
<td>NRCC</td>
<td>Lobster Hepatopancreas</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>269.2 ± 13.2</td>
<td>270 ± 60</td>
<td>126.9 ± 5.3</td>
<td>152 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAEA-405</td>
<td>IAEA</td>
<td>Estuarine sediment</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>825.6 ± 36.9</td>
<td>810 ± 40</td>
<td>72.9 ± 5.5</td>
<td>75.5 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERM-CC580</td>
<td>IRMM</td>
<td>Estuarine sediment</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>291.5 ± 12.7</td>
<td>280 ± 40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBW07310</td>
<td>NCRM</td>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>57.5 ± 4.8</td>
<td>56 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBW07312</td>
<td>NCRM</td>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72.9 ± 5.5</td>
<td>75.5 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was: WSS > JJ > CSL > TR > NDP > BJ. The differences of both THg and MeHg in rice tissues among the sampling sites were significant ($P < 0.01$). The THg and MeHg concentrations in rice from the six sites were lower than those from WS, and close to those from DZ and LMC, but higher than the ones from FK.

### 3.2. THg, MeHg and Hg-w in soil

Table 2 shows the THg, MeHg and water soluble Hg (Hg-w) levels in soil samples. THg levels varied between the sites and were about one order of magnitude higher than those in roots. Comparing with some other important mines in the world such as Almaden, Spain (Millán et al., 2006) and Idrija, Slovenia (Biester et al., 1999), it could be found that these THg values are normal in Hg mining areas. However, these values were significantly high if compared with those in common soils from non-mining areas in China (Shi et al., 2013). For the first four sites, the mean THg concentrations in soil were 101,734.3 ± 60,220.7 µg kg$^{-1}$ in WS (in the range 27,902.3–294,892.5 µg kg$^{-1}$), 14,425.1 ± 7,809.1 µg kg$^{-1}$ in DZ (in the range 7,655.8–33,888.2 µg kg$^{-1}$), 7,859.1 ± 5,227.0 µg kg$^{-1}$ in LMC (in the range 1,896.6–15,979.9 µg kg$^{-1}$) and 2,287.7 ± 1,466.5 µg kg$^{-1}$ in FK (in the range 587.1–5,524.4 µg kg$^{-1}$). The mean THg levels in soil from the first four sites also followed the same order as rice tissues: WS > DZ > LMC > FK (P < 0.01), and the average THg concentrations in soil from the other six sites followed the order: TR > JJ > CSL > BJ > WSS > NDP (P < 0.01).

The MeHg levels were mostly below 10 µg kg$^{-1}$ in soils. The percentages of MeHg in THg were much lower in soils (0.002%–1.4%, mean: 0.09% ± 0.2%) than those in roots (0.2%–8.9%, mean: 1.3% ± 1.6%). The mean MeHg concentrations in soil were still highest in WS (1.7–16.6 µg kg$^{-1}$), followed by LMC (2.2–13.1 µg kg$^{-1}$), then FK (0.3–8.5 µg kg$^{-1}$), and finally DZ (1.9–3.8 µg kg$^{-1}$), with 2.5 ± 0.6 µg kg$^{-1}$). It was obvious that the mean MeHg levels in soil varied between mining areas, but did not follow the same order as mentioned above.

Water soluble Hg (Hg-w) is more easily bioavailable and might serve as a main substrate for methylation of Hg (Wallschlager et al., 1998; Boszke et al., 2006; Covelli et al., 2009). In this work, the concentrations of Hg-w in the first four sites soils (n = 65) were determined. As shown in Table 2, Hg-w levels were relatively low in soil, mostly accounting for less than 0.1% of THg. The highest concentration of Hg-w was detected in WS (6.5–384.0 µg kg$^{-1}$), mean: 155.9 ± 116.9 µg kg$^{-1}$), followed by DZ (0.3–21.9 µg kg$^{-1}$), mean: 5.3 ± 7.3 µg kg$^{-1}$), LMC (0.3–7.7 µg kg$^{-1}$), mean: 2.2 ± 2.3 µg kg$^{-1}$), and FK (0.1–9.3 µg kg$^{-1}$), mean: 2.8 ± 2.9 µg kg$^{-1}$). The Hg-w levels in these four sites followed the same order as THg, and the differences were significant among these four sites ($P < 0.01$).

### 3.3. Bioavailability of Hg in paddy soil

The Pearson’s correlations between Hg-w in soil and Hg in rice tissues are shown in Tables 3 and 4. Although Hg-w levels in soil were relatively low, they were significantly positively correlated with THg in soil (r = 0.611, p < 0.01, n = 65), root (r = 0.582, p < 0.01, n = 65), stalk & leaf (r = 0.551, p < 0.01, n = 65), husk (r = 0.547, p < 0.01, n = 65) and seed (r = 0.303, p < 0.05, n = 65). The correlation coefficient values were quite similar to those between soil THg and rice tissues (Table 3, in bold), and followed the same order of soil > root > stalk & leaf > husk > seed. This indicated that the Hg-w contributed a great part of bioavailability of soil THg, and could be an important source for THg accumulation in rice tissues.

On the other hand, Hg-w was also significantly positively correlated with MeHg in root (r = 0.725, p < 0.01, n = 65), soil (r = 0.530, p < 0.01, n = 65), seed (r = 0.468, p < 0.01, n = 65), husk (r = 0.296, p < 0.05, n = 65), and stalk & leaf (r = 0.284, p < 0.05, n = 65), following the order of root > soil > seed > husk > stalk & leaf. The correlations between Hg-w and MeHg in the rice plant tissues were much more significant than those between MeHg in the soil and the plant tissues (Table 4, in bold). In addition, positive correlations were present between Hg-w and MeHg in stalk & leaf and husk, but not between soil MeHg and MeHg in stalk & leaf and husk. Therefore, more attention should be paid to Hg-w in soil in future studies of MeHg accumulation in rice plants.

### 3.4. Accumulation pathways of THg and MeHg in rice plants

The distributions of THg and MeHg in different rice tissues from the ten sites are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. It could be found that THg levels in rice tissues from all these sites basically followed the same trend: root > stalk & leaf > husk > seed, while MeHg were distributed in different trend: root > seed > stalk & leaf > husk. The distribution tendency of THg is in agreement with previous studies (Sierra et al., 2008, 2011; Zornoza et al., 2009). The

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>THg$^*$ (µg kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>MeHg$^*$ (µg kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Hg-w$^*$ (µg kg$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dazhao (DZ) (n = 11)</td>
<td>14,425.1 ± 7,809.1 (7,655.8–33,888.2)</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.6 (1.9–3.8)</td>
<td>5.3 ± 7.3 (0.3–21.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanshan_sileng (WS) (n = 21)</td>
<td>101,734.3 ± 60,220.7 (27,902.3–294,892.5)</td>
<td>8.4 ± 3.9 (1.7–16.6)</td>
<td>155.9 ± 116.9 (6.5–384.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lannuchang (LMC) (n = 15)</td>
<td>7,859.1 ± 5,227.0 (1,896.6–15,979.9)</td>
<td>6.0 ± 3.6 (2.2–13.1)</td>
<td>22.2 ± 2.3 (0.3–7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fangzhou (FK) (n = 16)</td>
<td>2,287.7 ± 1,466.5 (587.1–5,524.4)</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.8 (0.3–8.5)</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.9 (0.1–9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baojing (BJ) (n = 15)</td>
<td>32,687.0 ± 2,056.5 (28,986.0–35,905.2)</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.7 (3.0–6.2)</td>
<td>11.9 ± 11.9 (6.5–384.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chashula (CSL) (n = 15)</td>
<td>63,837.3 ± 13,226.5 (50,784.6–85,693.8)</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.4 (4.5–10.9)</td>
<td>15.5 ± 12.2 (4.7–28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jijian (JJ) (n = 15)</td>
<td>155,127.9 ± 11,708.0 (138,051.5–172,471.9)</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.7 (6.5–9.8)</td>
<td>30.3 ± 11.7 (8.5–38.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuoduping (NDP) (n = 15)</td>
<td>13,810.7 ± 2,402.0 (6,827.6–15,708.6)</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.7 (2.8–6.3)</td>
<td>15.5 ± 12.2 (4.7–28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongren (TR) (n = 15)</td>
<td>186,809.0 ± 16,971.6 (162,987.0–217,318.7)</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.2 (4.7–9.1)</td>
<td>15.5 ± 12.2 (4.7–28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanshan_wukeng (WSS) (n = 15)</td>
<td>25,066.7 ± 7,317.0 (15,411.9–34,933.3)</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.1 (3.6–14.0)</td>
<td>15.5 ± 12.2 (4.7–28.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean ± SD (range).*
average THg levels in seed were lower than those in husk, but the average MeHg levels in seed were significantly higher than those in husk. This obvious discrepancy indicated that IHg and MeHg might be accumulated into rice plants following different pathways. Relevant evidence have shown that organic Hg could be transported to plant tissues much more easily than IHg (Schwesig and Krebs, 2003) and the phytochelatins that detoxify plants from heavy metal could sequester divalent Hg ion, instead of MeHg (Krupp et al., 2009).

The distribution of THg in rice tissues and soils followed the trend of decreasing from bottom to top (soil > root > stalk & leaf > husk > seed), which was similar to the absorption and transport of water and inorganic salt in plants. In addition, the correlations between THg in rice tissues and those in soil also followed the similar trend: root ($r = 0.892, p < 0.01, n = 65$) > stalk & leaf ($r = 0.861, p < 0.01, n = 65$) > husk ($r = 0.529, p < 0.01, n = 65$) > seed ($r = 0.299, p < 0.05, n = 65$) (Table 3). Previous studies have found that Hg levels in root were directly associated with Hg levels in soil (Fay and Gustin, 2007) and Hg in rice paddy soil was the main source of IHg in root (Meng et al., 2010). On the other hand, the husk and stalk & leaf could accumulate Hg from air and total gaseous mercury (TGM) concentrations might affect IHg levels in husk and stalk & leaf (Fay and Gustin, 2007; Meng et al., 2010). These together suggested that IHg in rice plants was mainly absorbed and transported from soil by root accompanying with the absorption of water or inorganic salt through free diffusion mechanism, and partly accumulated from air by stalk & leaf and husk. However, this still needs to be further demonstrated.

In order to compare the accumulation ability for MeHg of different rice tissues, the percentages of MeHg in THg (% MeHg) were calculated. As shown in Fig. 4, the average percentage of MeHg in seed reached up to 30.9%, much higher than those in root (0.7%), stalk & leaf (0.9%) and husk (2.9%). This showed that rice seed had the highest ability for the accumulation of MeHg. As shown in Table 4, the concentrations of MeHg in soil were significantly correlated with those in husk ($r = 0.859, p < 0.01, n = 65$), root ($r = 0.524, p < 0.01, n = 65$), and soil ($r = 0.278, p < 0.05, n = 65$). The MeHg levels in root were also positively correlated with those in soil ($r = 0.569, p < 0.01, n = 65$). This confirmed that MeHg in soil is a potential source to rice root and finally to rice seed. However, according to our above analysis on Hg

![Fig. 2. Distribution of THg in different tissues of rice plants.](image)

![Fig. 3. Distribution of MeHg in different tissues of rice plants.](image)

### Table 4
Pearson's correlation matrix among MeHg levels in tissues of rice plant and paddy soil, together with Hg-w ($n = 65$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stalk &amp; leaf</th>
<th>Seed</th>
<th>Husk</th>
<th>Root</th>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>Hg-w</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stalk &amp; leaf</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.276a</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.284b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.859a</td>
<td>0.524a</td>
<td>0.278b</td>
<td>0.468c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husk</td>
<td>0.276b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.859a</td>
<td>0.337a</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.296c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.524a</td>
<td>0.337a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.569a</td>
<td>0.725c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.278b</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.569a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.530c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg-w</td>
<td>0.284b</td>
<td>0.468c</td>
<td>0.296c</td>
<td>0.725c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).*

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).*
bioavailability in soil, Hg-w in soil showed more significant correlations with MeHg in rice tissues than soil MeHg and was more bioavailable to rice plants. Therefore, Hg-w may play more important roles than MeHg in soil for the accumulation of MeHg in rice tissues. This could probably be due to the fact that Hg-w might serve as a major substrate for the methylation process of IHg (Wallschlager et al., 1998; Boszke et al., 2006; Covelli et al., 2009). More works will be needed to further investigate the position for the methylation of Hg-w, in vitro (rhizosphere soil) or in vivo (root, stalk, leaf, husk or seed).

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to investigate the relationships between the variables. Table 5 shows the component score coefficient matrix of PCA. Fig. 5 shows the plots of PCA by using THg and MeHg data matrix in rice tissues and soils from the first four sampling sites. For THg, two principal components explained cumulatively 92.7% of the total variance, and PC1 and PC2 accounted for 85.8% and 6.9%, respectively. Rice tissues and paddy soil could be divided into three groups according to loading values of PC1 and PC2 from THg data set. Root and soil were in one group with relatively high loadings in PC1 but relatively low loadings in PC2. Seed and husk were in another group that was completely different from root and soil, with relatively high loadings in PC2 but relatively low loadings in PC1. Stalk & leaf was singly in one group, with loadings in both PC1 and PC2 between these two groups. It could be inferred that PC1 might be attributed to the impact from Hg in paddy soil, playing the dominant role (85.8%), while PC2 could be from other factors such as ambient air Hg (Fay and Gustin, 2007; Meng et al., 2010). Therefore, the principal component analysis of THg further demonstrated that the levels of Hg in soil was the main source of THg to rice plants, although it might also be affected by the other factors.

For MeHg, two principal components accounting for 74.1% and 13.4% respectively, explained cumulatively 87.5% of the total variance. Similar to THg analysis above, rice tissues and paddy soil could also be divided into three groups according to loadings in PC1 and PC2 from MeHg data set. According to the above analysis, PC2 was attributed to MeHg in paddy soil, while PC1 might be attributed to other factors, such as the abilities of rice tissues for the transportation and accumulation of MeHg. Root and soil described PC2, and stalk & leaf characterized PC1. Husk and seed were associated with both PC1 and PC2. This indicated that MeHg in paddy soil was a potential source to root, and MeHg in root and stalk & leaf might also be affected by other factors. MeHg from both the two sources was finally transported to husk and seed, with seed as the main destination. This was quite similar to the transport of nutrients to seed in plants, since seed is the final destination of nutrients, especially the endosperm. As reported, MeHg is present in uncooked rice seed mostly in the form of CH3Hg-L-cysteinate (CH3HgCys), which was responsible for the transport of MeHg to seed.

Table 5:
The component score coefficient matrix of PCA and percent variance explained by each factor from concentrations of both THg and MeHg in rice tissues and paddy soil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissues &amp; soil</th>
<th>PCA factors from THg</th>
<th>Tissues &amp; soil</th>
<th>PCA factors from MeHg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>F2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husk</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>0.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stalk &amp; leaf</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>0.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of variance explained</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>74.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative of total variance</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E, extraction; initial, 1.000; extraction method, principal component analysis.

Fig. 4. Percentage of MeHg in THg in different tissues of rice plant.

Fig. 5. Plot of principle component analysis. (a) plot by using the data matrix of THg in rice tissues and paddy soil from the first four sites; (b) plot by using the data matrix of MeHg in rice tissues and paddy soil from the first four sites. Rotation method, varimax with Kaiser Normalization, rotation converged in 3 iterations.
across the blood—brain and placental barriers (Li et al., 2010). MeHg could infiltrate the endosperm more efficiently than IHg (Rotenberg et al., 2011) and most MeHg is firstly located in stalk & leaf and then transferred to seed during the ripening period (Meng et al., 2011). Therefore, MeHg might be combined with some nutrients, but not existed alone in rice seed. The transport of MeHg from root and stalk & leaf to rice seed was similar to the transport of nutrients in plants.

From Fig. 5, it can be found that the different sites were individually grouped with only minor overlapping in the plot of PC1 vs PC2. This indicates a perceived discrepancy in both THg and MeHg distribution in rice tissues among the different mining areas. In Fig. 5a, DZ was seemingly associated with husk and seed, which was characterized by PC2, and WS described PC1, which was associated with soil and root. However, LMC (relatively high negative in PC2) and FK (relatively high negative in PC1) were not simply characterized by any of the plant tissues. According to the above discussion, in DZ, Hg in ambient air may act as the major source of IHg to rice plants than in the other sites. While in WS, Hg in paddy soil may be the more important source to rice plants. As for LMC and FK, the two pathways might both act as important sources. In Fig. 5b, DZ was characterized by stalk & leaf, husk and seed, with relatively high positive PC1 and WS, associated with root and soil, was described by PC2. These results revealed that IHg and MeHg accumulation processes in rice plants might be affected by the locally specific Hg contamination conditions. This could be interpreted by the different degrees of Hg contamination between mining areas as concluded above.

4. Conclusions

Although the degree of Hg contamination varied significantly among different mining areas, rice seed showed the highest ability to accumulate MeHg in aerial part of the plant. The obvious discrepancy between the distribution trends of THg and MeHg indicated different pathways of IHg and MeHg accumulation in rice plants. According to the bottom-up order of THg levels in soil and rice tissues, PCA analysis and correlation coefficients of THg concentrations in soil and rice tissues, IHg in rice plants might be absorbed and transported from soil by root accompanying with the absorption of water or inorganic salt through free diffusion mechanism, and also partly accumulated from air. According to PCA analysis and correlation coefficients among soil Hg-w, and MeHg concentrations in soil and rice tissues, MeHg in rice plants might be affected by both MeHg and Hg-w in soil. Hg-w showed more significant correlations with MeHg in rice tissues, indicating that Hg-w may play more important roles in the accumulation of MeHg in rice plants than MeHg in soil. Further study is needed to investigate the position for the methylation of Hg-w, in vitro (rhizosphere soil) or in vivo (root, stalk, leaf, husk or seed).
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