Cellular target recognition of perfluoroalkyl acids: In vitro evaluation of inhibitory effects on lysine decarboxylase
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**HIGHLIGHTS**

- Inhibitory effects of PFAAs on lysine decarboxylase activity were evaluated.
- Four different methods were employed to investigate the mechanisms.
- The long chain PFAAs showed inhibitory effect compare with 4–6 carbon chain.
- The long chain PFAAs bound with LDC differently from the short ones.
- The results in cells correlate with those obtained from fluorescence assay.

**GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**

**ABSTRACT**

Perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs) have been shown to bind with hepatic peroxisome proliferator receptor α, estrogen receptors and human serum albumin and subsequently cause some toxic effects. Lysine decarboxylase (LDC) plays an important role in cell growth and developmental processes. In this study, the inhibitory effect of 16 PFAAs, including 13 perfluorinated carboxylic acids (PFCAs) and 3 perfluorinated sulfonic acids (PFSAs), on lysine decarboxylase (LDC) activity was investigated. The inhibition constants obtained in fluorescence enzyme assays fall in the range of 2.960 μM to 290.8 μM for targeted PFCAs, and 41.22 μM to 67.44 μM for targeted PFSAs. The inhibitory effect of PFCAs increased significantly with carbon chain (7–18 carbons), whereas the short chain PFCAs (less than 7 carbons) did not show any effect. Circular dichroism results showed that PFAA binding induced significant protein secondary structural changes. Molecular docking revealed that the inhibitory effect could be rationalized well by the cleft binding mode as well as the size, substituent group and hydrophobic characteristics of the PFAAs. At non-cytotoxic concentrations, three selected PFAAs inhibited LDC activity in HepG2 cells, and subsequently resulted in the decreased cadaverine level in the exposed cells, suggesting that LDC may be a possible target of PFAAs for their in vivo toxic effects.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs) are a family of fluorine-containing chemicals with unique physical and chemical properties that have been widely used in industrial and commercial products. Two most widely known PFAAs are perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and...
perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA). Due to the extraordinary stability of the carbon–fluorine bond, these compounds are resistant to chemical, biological and thermal degradation. Therefore, PFAAs have been found in environments and accumulate in the bodies of humans and wildlife (Giesy and Kannan, 2002; Sundström et al., 2011; Raymer et al., 2012; Houde et al., 2006). A number of studies have demonstrated the adverse effects of PFAAs on experimental animals, mostly of PFOA and PFOS (Lau et al., 2007). In 2009, PFOS was added to the list of persistent organic pollutants of the Stockholm Convention to reduce and eventually eliminate its production and use. In spite of all these policies and bans, due to the nature of persistence, bioaccumulation and biomagnifications through the food chain (Conder et al., 2008) of PFAAs, their risks to human health are still worthy of long-term concern.

Numerous studies have been published regarding the toxicity and human health effects of PFAAs, including loss of body weight, hepatotoxicity, interference of lipid transportation and metabolism, endocrine effects, tumorigenicity, reproductive toxicity and developmental toxicity (Thorsten et al., 2011). Early toxicological studies of PFAAs (fatty acids like structures) focused on the mechanisms involving ligand-dependent activation of the hepatic peroxisome proliferator receptor α (PPARα), which induces enzymes responsible for β-oxidation, fatty acid α-oxidation and cholesterol homeostasis (Andersen et al., 2008; DeWitt et al., 2009). However, due to the observation of increased liver cancer incidence by PFAAs in PPARα knockout mice, the role of estrogenic activity of PFAAs in their hepatocarcinogenesis has attracted increasing attentions recently (Ito et al., 2007; Guyton et al., 2009). Some PFAAs were found to bind to estrogen receptors (ERs) and recruit coactivator peptides in vitro, and induce ER-mediated transcriptions in cells (Benninghoff et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2013). Several studies also found depression of thyroid hormone levels in PFOS-exposed rats (Lau et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2011). The interactions between PFAAs and thyroid hormone transport proteins were also observed (Chang et al., 2007). In vitro binding between PFAAs and human serum albumin was also evaluated as a possible route for disrupting fatty acid transport in blood (Chen and Guo, 2009; Hebert and MacManus-Spencer, 2010). Although some biological targets have been gradually revealed for exploring molecular mechanisms underlying PFAA toxicity, there are still other proteins in vivo to potentially interact with PFAAs (Weiss et al., 2009; White et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013).

Lysine decarboxylase (LDC) is a key enzyme involved in the production of cadaverine by the decarboxylation of lysine. Cadaverine is known to be involved in a number of growth and developmental processes, information on the molecular regulatory mechanisms is limited.

In the present study, we employed a fluorescence sensing method to measure the inhibitory effect of 16 PFAAs on LDC activity. The selected PFAAs are structurally diverse, with different carbon chain lengths (4–18 carbons) and functional groups (carboxylic or sulfonic acid). By combining the fluorescence sensing assay, circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy, in vitro cell experiments and molecular docking, the relationship between PFAA structure and their LDC inhibitory effect, as well as LDC as a potential cellular target of PFAAs, was investigated and analyzed.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Chemicals

Lysine, cadaverine, cucurbit[7]uril (CB7), lysine decarboxylase (LDC, from Bacterium cadaveris), 2,4,6-trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid (TNBS), 1,7-diaminoheptane (DAH), 3-(4, 5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2, 5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT), perfluorobutycylic acid (PFBA, 98%), perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPA, 97%), perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA, ≥ 97%), perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHpA, 99%), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA, 96%), perfluorononanoic acid (PFN A, 97%), perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA, 98%), perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnA, 95%), perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoA, 96%), perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA, 97%), perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTEDA, 97%), perfluorobutane sulfonate (PFBS, 97%), perfluorohexane sulfonate (PFHxS, ≥ 98.0%) and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS, ≥ 98.0%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxDA, 95%) and perfluorooctadecanoic acid (PFDoDA, 97%) were obtained from Alfa Aesar (Ward Hill, MA, USA). Dopoxyl was from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR, USA). Guanosine 5′-diphosphate, 3′-diphosphate (ppGpp) was used as received from Trilink BioTechnologies (San Diego, CA). (Fig. S1 in the supplementary data) Benzoyl chloride from TCI (Shanghai, China). All chemicals are of analytical grade. BCA protein assay kit was obtained from ComWin Biotech (Beijing, China).

2.2. Fluorescence enzyme activity and inhibition assay

To investigate the inhibitory effect of PFAAs on LDC, a proper enzyme activity assay is needed. In 2007, Henning et al. described a novel concept for the determination of LDC enzymatic activity, using macrocyclic receptors and fluorescent dyes such as CB7/Dapoxyl and CX4/DBO (Henning et al., 2007). This product–competitive displacement method is simple, convenient and label-free. Briefly, a self-assembled host–guest inclusion complex CB7/Dapoxyl was employed as a fluorescence reporter pair for the enzymatic activity assay of LDC. Competitive binding of the enzyme product cadaverine with CB7 displaces Dopoxyl from CB7, leading to reduced fluorescence intensity. If the enzymatic activity is inhibited, the fluorescence intensity would remain unchanged (Scheme 1). LDC activity assay was performed according to the following protocol. The reaction mixture containing 20 μg mL−1 LDC, 50 μM lysine, 2.5 μM Dopoxyl and 30 μM CB7 in a total volume of 100 μl was incubated at 37 °C for 1.5 h. For the inhibition assay, inhibitors at varying concentrations were included in the reaction mixture. The change in fluorescence intensity (ΔI = I0 − I) was taken as relative LDC activity, and was plotted as a function of inhibitor concentration. I and I0 are the fluorescence intensity of CB7/Dapoxyl in the presence and absence of LDC. The dose–response curve was fitted with a sigmoidal model (Origin Lab 8.0, Northampton, MA, USA) and analyzed with the Hill equation to obtain IC50 value (Copeland, 2003), which can be readily converted into the inhibition constants KI by considering the enzyme concentration (Nau et al., 2009):

$$\text{IC}_{50} = K_i + \frac{1}{2}[E].$$

Steady-state fluorescence was measured on a Horiba FluoroMax-4 spectrophuorometer (Edison, NJ, USA). The excitation and emission wavelength were 336 nm and 380 nm, respectively. Excitation and emission slits were both set at 4 nm.

2.3. Circular dichroism spectroscopic measurement

Circular dichroism (CD) spectra measurement of LDC (150 μg mL−1 in HCl–NH4OAc buffer) was carried out in the absence and presence of PFAAs on a JASCO J-815 spectropolarimeter (Tokyo, Japan) with a 10 mm path length quartz cuvette. The CD spectra were recorded from 190 to 300 nm at a scan rate of 50 nm/min and 1 s response time. Three scans were averaged for protein secondary structure analysis, which was performed with the JWSSE-513 program installed on the CD instrument. In the experiments, different concentrations of PFAAs in acetonitrile were incubated with LDC in NH4OAc buffer. The final
content of the organic solvent was kept below 2% to minimize potential interference.

2.4. Cell culture, treatment and viability assay

Human cell line HepG2 was selected based on the hepatotoxicity of PFAAs. The cells were purchased from ATCC (VA, USA) and maintained in complete RPMI-1640 (crPMI-1640) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (GIBCO, Invitrogen Life Technology, NY, USA), penicillin and streptomycin (100 U ml⁻¹ each). Cells within 20 passages were used for experiments and cultured at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂. Cells were seeded at approximated 0.5–1 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ in 96-well plates for cell viability assay. The exposure was performed in crPMI without or with (DMSO control) PFOS, PFPeA, PFBA (up to 500 μM), and 25 μM for PFDoCDA, respectively. The exposure lasted for 24 h unless specified elsewhere.

The viability change of the cells under 24 h exposure of PFAAs at various concentrations was determined by MTT. In brief, after the exposure, MTT reagents (1:10 dilution) were added into each well of the 96-plate, followed by 4 h incubation at 37 °C. The absorbance data were then recorded on a Varioskan Flash microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at 570 nm.

2.5. LDC activity assay in cells

Since the catalytic residues are highly conserved among species, therefore, the effects of PFAAs on standard LDC catalytic activity might reflect the influence of these chemicals on human cells. To confirm the effect of PFAAs on LDC activity in human cells, we determined the LDC enzymatic activity after PFAA exposure. We assessed the LDC activity in HepG2 cells by a spectrophotometric assay described previously (Phan et al., 1982). It is based upon the principle that cadaverine, a product of lysine decarboxylase (Phan et al., 1982; Kanjee et al., 2011), reacts with TNBS to give a product soluble in toluene whereas the enzyme substrate lysine does not. Cells were treated with various concentrations of PFDoCDA (0–25 μM), PFOS (0–100 μM), PFPeA (0–200 μM), PFBA (0–200 μM) or control medium (VC) for 24 h. After PFAA exposure, the cells were lysed in a buffer containing 0.125 M Tris–HCl (pH 6.8), 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 2% 2-mercaptoethanol, and centrifuged to obtain the supernatant. The protein content in cell lysate was then quantified by BCA protein assay kit. Appropriate amounts of cell extracts were mixed with lysine and then incubated for 1 h at 37 °C in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.0). To each sample, K₂CO₃ was added to terminate the reaction, and TNBS was added to produce an intensely colored product, N,N’-bistrinitrophenylcadaverine (TNP-cadaverine), and the samples were incubated for 5 min at 40 °C. Each sample was thoroughly mixed with toluene and separated. The toluene layer was used for subsequent UV–vis analysis. The control level is the signal of PBS solution containing cell lysate, K₂CO₃ and TNBS in the absence of lysine. UV–visible absorption spectra were measured on an Agilent 8453 UV–vis spectrophotometer (Santa Clara, CA, USA).

2.6. Change of cadaverine level in exposed cells

The amount of cadaverine in control and exposed cells was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Agilent 1260, Hewlett Packard, Wilmington, NC, USA) as described in earlier papers (Flores and Galston, 1982; Liu et al., 2010). Cells were solubilized in lysis buffer after 24 h exposure. The cell suspension was then centrifuged at 8000 g for 20 min and the supernatant was derivatized with benzoyl chloride, using DAH as the internal standard. Samples were injected into an Eclipse Plus C18 column (50 mm × 3 mm; 20/80 water/acetonitrile; 0.1 ml/min, 25 °C) with UV detector (254 nm) to determine the cadaverine concentration.

2.7. Molecular docking

The 3D crystal structure of LDC was obtained from the Protein Data Bank for constructing the receptor model (Kanjee et al., 2011). Sixteen PFAAs, as well as a known LDC inhibitor ppGpp, were docked to LDC using Lamarckian genetic algorithm provided by AutoDock 4.2 software (Morris et al., 1998, 2009). Grid boxes were centered at the interface between neighboring monomers (A and C) in the decamer of LDC and built with 60 × 60 × 60 point cube coverage. A spacing of 0.375 Å between the grid points was used. All other docking parameters were set to defaults, including a population size of 150, maximum number of 2.5 million evaluations, maximum of 2700 generations, gene mutation rate of 0.02, crossover rate of 0.8 and 10 GA runs. For each compound, ten independent docking runs were carried out, and the one with the lowest binding energy was selected for analysis.

2.8. Statistical analysis

All the experiments were repeated for three times, and the data were expressed by mean ± S.D. n = 3. In the cell experiment, we analyzed p values of the experimental data by a two-tailed paired T-test using the Microsoft Excel software. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. LDC activity by fluorescence sensing assay and validation

We tested the possibility of using reporter pair CB7/Dapoxyl to determine the inhibitory effect of PFAAs on LDC activity. Based on the Dapoxyl fluorescence titration curve (Fig. S2 in the Supplementary data), the concentration of the CB7/Dapoxyl reporter pair in the following fluorescence displacement experiments was chosen to be 30 μM/2.5 μM. After the addition of cadaverine, the fluorescence intensity decreased significantly. However, no substantial change in the spectra was observed when lysine was added (Fig. S3 in the Supplementary data). The concentrations of LDC and substrate lysine were then optimized and the optimal concentrations of LDC and lysine were determined to be 20 μg ml⁻¹ and
50 μM (Fig. S4 in the Supplementary data). In order to validate the method, the LDC activity in the presence of ppGpp, a natural inhibitor of LDC produced in vivo was investigated. As LDC and its inhibitor ppGpp were added into the mixed solution of 50 μM l-lysine, 2.5 μM Dapoxyl and 30 μM CB7, Dapoxyl peak fluorescence intensity at 380 nm gradually increased until it reached a plateau (Fig. 1a). The K_{50} value (the concentration of ppGpp required to reduce LDC activity by 50%) was found to be 3.940 μM (Fig. 1b). Using the K_{50} value, the inhibition constant (K_i) was calculated to be 3.810 μM for ppGpp. The result is close to that (K_i = 0.68 μM) reported in the literature (Kanjee et al., 2011), and thus verifies the effectiveness of this method.

### 3.2. Inhibitory effect of PFAAs on LDC activity

After the product-competitive fluorescence displacement method was validated, the inhibitory effect of 16 PFAAs on LDC activity was investigated. Inhibitory effect on the LDC activity was observed in a dose-dependent manner. According to the dose–effect relationship (Fig. S5 in the Supplementary data), the corresponding inhibition constants K_i were estimated. The results are listed in Table 1. The inhibition constants fall in the range of 2.960 to 290.8 μM for PFCAs and 41.22 to 67.44 μM for PFSAs. Clearly, the inhibitory effect increased significantly with carbon number for medium (7–10 carbons) and long chain (11–18 carbons) PFCAs, whereas short chain PFCAs (less than 7 carbons) did not show any effect. The relationship between the inhibition constant and carbon chain length of PFCAs was showed in Fig. 2. With the length of carbon chain increasing, the inhibitory effect of PFCAs was gradually enhanced, which indicated that the carbon chain length took a leading role in their inhibitory effects. Similar trend was also observed for the three PFSAs (PFBS, PFHxS and PFOS). Among all the PFAAs, the PFOcDA, which has 18 carbon chain, displayed the strongest effect (K_i = 2.960 μM), even stronger than ppGpp (K_i = 3.810 μM). In addition, PFSAs displayed significantly higher inhibitory effect than PFCAs with the same molecular length. For example, the inhibitory effect of PFOS is almost four times stronger than that of PFNA. The structural dependence of PFAA inhibitory effect is discussed in more detail later.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PFAAs</th>
<th>Molecular formulae</th>
<th>K_i (μM)</th>
<th>LogK_{inw}^a</th>
<th>Length^b (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFBA</td>
<td>C_4H_9F_3O_2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFPeA</td>
<td>C_8H_15F_3O_2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>6.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFHxA</td>
<td>C_16H_31F_2O_2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>7.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFHpA</td>
<td>C_18H_35F_2O_2</td>
<td>290.8</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>8.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFOA</td>
<td>C_19H_37F_2O_2</td>
<td>179.2</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>9.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFNA</td>
<td>C_19H_37F_2O_2</td>
<td>154.3</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>11.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFDoA</td>
<td>C_19H_37F_2O_2</td>
<td>10.89</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>14.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfPrDA</td>
<td>C_21H_43F_3O_2</td>
<td>10.14</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>15.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFIrDA</td>
<td>C_21H_43F_3O_2</td>
<td>9.920</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>17.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFHxDA</td>
<td>C_21H_43F_3O_2</td>
<td>7.280</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>19.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFOcDA</td>
<td>C_22H_47F_3O_2</td>
<td>2.960</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>20.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFBS</td>
<td>C_24H_49F_3O_3S</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>7.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFHxS</td>
<td>C_26H_51F_3O_3S</td>
<td>67.44</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>10.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFOS</td>
<td>C_26H_51F_3O_3S</td>
<td>41.22</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>11.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: not detected.

^a LogK_{inw} values were provided by ChemBioDraw.

^b Molecular length was cited from Zhang et al. (2013).

### 3.3. LDC conformational change upon binding of PFAAs

The binding interaction of PFAAs with LDC was characterized further by CD spectroscopy. The CD spectrum of LDC itself exhibited a minimum at 225 nm and a maximum at 196 nm (Fig. 3), consistent with the presence of α-helical and β-structure components. Using the Yang’s method (Yang et al., 1986), the secondary structure of LDC was analyzed, and was found to be composed of 44% α-helices, 26% β-structures, and 30% random coils, which are consistent with the crystal structure of LDC (41% α-helices, 21% β-structures, and 38% random coils). With addition of PFOA, PFOo, PFOS, and PFOcDA, CD spectral change was different depending on the type of the chemical. For PFOA, no appreciable change of CD spectra was detected. For PFoA, the signal had a slight decrease at 220 nm. For PFOS, the CD intensity of LDC increased progressively and the intensity enhanced by about 21.4% when the concentration of PFOS reached 50 μM. Secondary structural change was 3.3% increase in α-helices, 2.2% increase in β-structures and 5.5% decrease in random coils, involving approximately 9, 3 and 14 amino acid
residues, respectively. The most dramatic change was observed for PFOcDA, in which the intensity increased by about 114.2%. Secondary structural change was found to be 8.5% increase in α-helices, 5.4% increase in β-structures and 13.9% decrease in random coils when the concentration reached 50 μM, with about 25, 8 and 37 residues relocated, respectively. The degree of CD spectral change is in the order of PFOcDA > PFOS > PFOA > PFBA, which is consistent with their inhibition potency in the fluorescence enzyme assay.

Fig. 3. CD spectra of 150 μg mL⁻¹ LDC with addition of (a) PFBA, (b) PFDA, (c) PFOS, and (d) PFOcDA. Arrow indicates increasing PFBA, PFDA and PFOS concentrations (0, 50, 100, 200 μM) and PFOcDA concentrations (0, 10, 25, 50 μM).

Fig. 4. LDC activity (black bar) and cadaverine level (red bar) in HepG2 cells after 24 h exposure to (a, d) PFOcDA, (b, e) PFOS, and (c, f) PFOA. HepG2 cells treated with ppGpp (50 μM, 24 h) were used as positive control. LDC activity and cadaverine level of control group (without PFAA treatment) were 3.32 (μM μg protein) and 10.6 (ng μg protein). Values are expressed as the fold of change (× Basal) against the control (without PFAA treatment; set as 1), and in mean ± S.D. of three individual measurements. Significance was set as *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01.
3.4. LDC activity in cells after PFAA exposure

HepG2 cell was selected to further verify the effect of PFAAs on LDC activity in cells. It was necessary for us to first examine the effect of PFAAs on cell viability so as to obtain the dose–effect curve. MTT assay was used to detect the cell viability of HepG2 cells after 24 h incubation with a series of concentrations of PFOcDA (0–50 μM), PFOS, PFOA and PFBA (0–500 μM). Results found that the cell viability decreased in a concentration-dependent manner when exposed to PFOS, with significant viability loss at 160 μM. However, the toxicity of PFOA and PFBA was observed at the highest concentration (500 μM) only. The cell viability did not change significantly (~6%) after PFOcDA exposure (Fig. S6 in the Supplementary data). At non-cytotoxic concentrations, four representative PFAAs, including PFBA, PFOA, PFOS and PFOcDA, were chosen and exposed to the HepG2 cells for 24 h. When appropriate concentration of lysine was incubated with moderate cell lysate containing 25 μg protein at 37 °C for 1 h, cadaverine was produced and subsequently taken as a measurement of LDC enzymatic activity. The LDC enzymatic activity with or without PFAA exposure were determined. As depicted in Fig. 4a–c, three chemicals inhibited the LDC activity in a

![Graphs for the docked complexes between LDC and (a) ppGpp, (b) PFBA, (c) PFOA, (d) PFOS, (e) PFTeDA and (f) PFOcDA. The structure with the lowest binding energy for each ligand is shown. PFAAs are colored by atom type (carbon in gray, oxygen in red, hydrogen in white and fluorine in yellow).](image-url)
concentration-dependent manner. After normalization to the control medium (VC), LDC activity decreased from 3.32 (μM/μg protein) to 1.94 (μM/μg protein) upon PFODcDA exposure (25 μM) and was lower by about 41.6%. Similar to PFODcDA, LDC activity also had a decrease after PFOS and PFOA exposure, despite of less potency than PFODcDA. However for PFBA, the LDC activity did not change significantly (Fig. S7a in the Supplementary data). The degree of inhibitory effect is in the order of PFODcDA > PFOS > PFOA > PFBA, which is consistent with the results obtained from the fluorescence sensing assay.

3.5. Cadaverine level of cells under PFAA exposure

Thereafter, we compared cadaverine concentration in the cells with or without PFAA exposure. In comparison to cells treated with control medium, PFODcDA, PFOS and PFOA treated cells had a reduced amount of cadaverine, as shown in Fig. 4d–f. Cadaverine concentration (3.35 ng/μg protein) decreased by 31.7% under the exposure to 25 μM PFODcDA, and exhibited a similar tendency in cells exposed to PFOS and PFOA. In view of these results, we concluded that the inhibitory effect of PFAAs on the LDC activity and subsequent biological effects were evident in living cells.

3.6. Molecular simulation of PFAAs binding with LDC

In order to better understand the inhibitory effect of PFAAs, the binding interaction of PFAAs with LDC was simulated by molecular docking. The X-ray crystal structure of LDC reveals that the protein is an oligomer of five dimers that associate to form a dimer, and the dimer interface forms a narrow cleft that leads to the active site (Kanjee et al., 2011). ppGpp docking was first docked to LDC using AutoDock software. The results show that ppGpp resides at the interface of the cleft, and the binding pocket is made up of three contiguous parts: a hydrophobic pocket that interacts with the guanosine base of ppGpp, and two other hydrophobic portions that interact with 3'- and 5'-phosphate respectively. Three hydrogen bonds are formed between the phosphate group and the side chain of Arg206 and the backbone amide of Gly418 (Fig. 5a). According to the crystallographic structure of LDC/ppGpp (Kanjee et al., 2011), the ppGpp molecule is located at the dimer interface and its interaction with LDC involves guanosine base, 3'- and 5'-phosphate of ppGpp. Besides, the 3'-phosphate forms hydrogen bonds to both the side chain of Arg206 and the backbone amide of Gly418 of LDC. In comparison, our modeling results are in good agreement with those reported in the literature.

Using the same docking parameters, 16 PFAAs were docked with LDC. All the PFAAs were found to bind to LDC at the same cleft as ppGpp (Figs. 5b–f and S8 in the Supplementary data). The charged head group of a PFAA interacts with residues Arg565, Asn568, Arg585 and Arg206 by hydrogen bonds, and the hydrophobic tail makes contact with the non-polar amino acid residues in the cleft. Obviously, the contact area of long chain PFAAs with the non-polar portion of LDC is larger than that of medium chain (7–10 carbons) PFAAs. However for short chain PFAAs, the binding mode was similar among them but significantly different from the long chain PFAAs. The carbon chain was so short that it could not fit into the binding cleft. This may explain why their binding affinity with the enzyme increases with carbon number and PFODcDA possesses the strongest binding affinity. Moreover, according to the docking results, the binding energy between PFAAs and LDC was calculated. The order of binding energy is long chain (3.98 to −3.51 kcal/M) < medium chain (−2.53 to −2.46 kcal/M) < short chain (−1.62 to −1.41 kcal/M) PFAAs, with PFODcDA substantially lower than all other PFAAs. As a matter of fact, there exists a good correlation between the inhibition constant and LogKow of PFAAs (correlation coefficient R² = 0.87) (Fig. S9 in the Supplementary data), illustrating the important role of hydrophobic forces in PFAA/LDC interaction. However, since possibly electrostatic interaction is also involved, the nature of the PFAA head group should make a difference. Sulfonate carries more negative charges, and is also capable of forming stronger electrostatic interactions with LDC than carbonate. This may explain why some PFSAs interact stronger with LDC than PFCAs even if their molecular length, LogKow and hydrogen bonding are similar.

4. Conclusions

Inhibitory effect of 16 PFAAs on LDC activity was investigated by a fluorescence enzyme assay, CD spectroscopy, cell assay and molecular docking analysis. The inhibition constants of PFAAs were obtained for the first time with PFODcDA possessing the strongest inhibitory effect. The inhibitory effect was found to be highly dependent on the hydrophobicity and functional group of PFAAs. The structural dependence was rationalized by structure–activity relationship analysis that took into account the dominant hydrophobic forces and hydrogen bonding. Moreover, the results at cellular level further confirmed that the LDC activity was inhibited remarkably by PFAAs, leading to decreased cadaverine level in living cells. The combined results suggest that LDC might be a potential biological target for PFAA toxic effects.
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