Characterization of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and hydroxylated and methoxylated PBDEs in soils and plants from an e-waste area, China
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Abstract
In order to characterize polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), and hydroxylated and methoxylated PBDEs (OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs) in the soil–plant system, soil and plant samples were collected from an e-waste recycling area in China. Forty one PBDEs, twelve OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs were detected in the soil and plant samples. Concentrations of PBDEs in roots were significantly correlated to their concentrations in the soils, but the percentages of lower brominated congeners in the plants were higher than those in the soils. Significant positive linear relationships exist between concentrations of ∑OH-PBDEs and ∑MeO-PBDEs with higher levels of ∑MeO-PBDEs than those of ∑OH-PBDEs in the soils, plant roots and leaves. A majority of the OH-/MeO-PBDEs had the hydroxyl or methoxy group at the ortho-positions to the biphenyl bond for most of the plant species. However the occurrence of meta- and para- substituted OH-/MeO-PBDEs in soils and plants were also confirmed.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction
Electronical and electric waste (e-waste) includes end-of-life electronic products such as computers, printers, television sets, mobile phones, photocopy machines, etc. Due to the widely application of brominated flame retardants (BFRs) such as polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in these electronic products, uncontrolled dismantling, acid treatment, and open burning of e-waste result in the emission of PBDEs into the ambient environment (Leung et al., 2006; Wong et al., 2007). Therefore, the growth of e-waste recycling industry particularly in the developing countries has drawn much attention as the source of environmental contamination with PBDEs (Betts, 2009; Leung et al., 2006; Ma et al., 2009).

Soil is the main disposal and landfill receptor for e-wastes. High PBDE concentrations have been found in the soils from e-waste recycling areas such as in Guiyu, Guangdong Province (up to 4250 ng g⁻¹, Leung et al., 2007) and Taizhou, Zhejiang Province (up to 25,479 ng g⁻¹, Yang et al., 2008) in China. PBDEs in soils can be taken up by crops (Huang et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011a) and translocated through the food chain, which potentially threatens the ecological environment and human health (Sun et al., 2013a; Yu et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2009). Although investigations have been conducted on soil contamination with PBDEs in e-waste recycling areas (Leung et al., 2007; Liang et al., 2010; Luo et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2008), studies are limited on the behaviors of PBDEs in the soil–plant system at e-waste sites.

Moreover, PBDEs in the environment have been shown to break down into lower brominated congeners by soil microbial (Betts, 2008; He et al., 2006; Robrock et al., 2008), photochemical (Eriksson et al., 2004; Shih and Wang, 2009) and plant degradation (Huang et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011a). Lower brominated PBDEs which are not included in the commercial mixtures have been widely detected in the environmental matrices (Huang et al., 2011; Gerecke et al., 2005; La Guardia et al., 2007; Stapleton et al., 2004; Van den Steen et al., 2007). OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs have been found in marine organisms (algae, mussel and fish), human blood, plants and abiotic samples such as surface water, snow, rain, soils and marine sediments (Bradley et al., 2011; Malmvärn et al., 2005; Marsh et al., 2004; Sinkkonen et al., 2004; Sun et al., 2013a; Ueno et al., 2008; Verreault et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2012). Recent studies also detected OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs in organisms and plants after in vivo PBDE exposures (Marsh et al., 2006; Qiu et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2013b; Wan et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012).
However, their origin is far from clear. It is generally realized that ortho-substituted OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs are formed as naturally occurring compounds in marine ecosystems (Teuten et al., 2005; Wan et al., 2009), while meta- and para-substituted compounds originate from biotransformation of PBDEs (Wan et al., 2010). Debromination PBDEs or biotransformation of PBDEs if exists may bring additional adverse influences to bear on the environment and human health. Nevertheless, up to date, information on the occurrence and distribution characteristics of OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs in the soil–plant system is still very limited.

The aim of the present study was to investigate the occurrence and distribution characteristics of PBDEs, OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs in the soil–plant system. Soil and plant samples were collected from an e-waste recycling area in Guangdong Province, Southern China. The concentrations of 57 PBDEs and 12 OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs in the soils and plant roots and leaves were determined. The levels and compositions of PBDEs, OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs in the soil–plant system were characterized and discussed.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

Standards of BDE-209, PCB-30 and PCB-209 were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich (Sigma–Aldrich, Inc., St. Louis, MO, USA), and standards of 13C-PCB-141 and 13C-PCB-208 were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratory (Andover, MA, USA). A standard solution of PBDEs containing 16 native congeners (octa- through deca-BDEs) and standard of 13C-6-OH-BDE47 were purchased from Wellington Laboratories, Inc., Guelph, Ontario, Canada. A standard solution of PBDEs containing 39 native congeners (mono- through hepta-BDEs), OH-PBDE standards (2′-OH-BDE3, 3′-OH-BDE7, 4′-OH-BDE17, 3′-OH-BDE28, 3-OH-BDE47, 5-OH-BDE47, 6-OH-BDE47, 4′-OH-BDE49, 2′-OH-BDE68, 6-OH-BDE85, 5′-OH-BDE99 and 6′-OH-BDE99) and MeO-PBDE standards (2′-MeO-BDE3, 3′-MeO-BDE7, 4′-MeO-BDE17, 3′-MeO-BDE28, 3-MeO-BDE47, 5-MeO-BDE47, 6-MeO-BDE47, 4′-MeO-BDE49, 2′-MeO-BDE68, 6-MeO-BDE85, 5′-MeO-BDE99 and 6′-MeO-BDE99) were purchased from AccuStandard (AccuStandard, New Haven, USA). Anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na2SO4), silica gel and alumina (100–200 mesh) were washed with hexane and used after heating overnight at 150 °C. Florisil (60–100 mesh, Acros Organics) was activated at 450 °C for 12 h and deactivated by adding 1% (w/w) water. All solvents used, i.e., methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE), dichloromethane (DCM), hexane, methanol, acetonitrile and acetone, were of HPLC grade and purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). All the other chemicals and reagents used were of reagent grade. Ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ) used was obtained by using a Milli-Q system (Milli-Q Advantage A10, Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA).

2.2. Sample collection and treatment

Qingyuan country is located in Guangdong Province, 50 km north of Guangzhou. More than 1300 workshops, mainly distributed within two administrative towns (Longtang and Shijiao), where e-waste dismantling and recycling activities are conducted. Uncontrolled e-waste processes such as open burning and acid washing left contaminated sites scattered among agricultural fields, and electronic scraps were dumped near ponds. Meanwhile, agriculture activities are still going on in this area. The soil and plant samples were collected in 2011 in Longtang town (between 113°01. and 113°02 east longitude and between 23°32 and 23°35 north latitude), and the four sampling sites, site 1 (a residential area of Matou village), site 2 (an e-waste dismantling area near Matou village), site 3 (an e-waste dismantling area near Huangjitian village) and site 4 (an e-waste dismantling area near Jinsha village) are shown in Fig. 1. Samples 1–8 were collected from Site 1, samples 9–20 from Site 2, samples 21–28 from Site 3 and samples 30–36 from Site 4, respectively. The numbers of samples and plant species are given in Table S2, Supplementary material. Each soil or plant sample was a composite of four subsamples.

All the samples were wrapped with aluminum foil and put into polythene zip-bags. Plant roots and leaves were first rinsed carefully with tap water, and then washed thoroughly with deionized
2.3. Extraction and analysis

Extraction and cleanup of PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs were based on the methods reported by López et al. (2009) and Wang et al. (2011a), and detailed descriptions of sample extraction and analysis methods are provided in the Supplementary material. 13C-PCB-141, PCB-30 and PCB-209 were added as surrogate standards to the samples prior to extraction and 13C-PCB-208 was added to the final solutions as an internal standard. An Agilent 7890 GC–MS (5975 inert) (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA), HP–5MS column (30 m × 0.32 mm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness) and DB-5HT column (15 m × 0.25 mm i.d., 0.1 µm film thickness) (J & W Scientific, Folsom, CA) were used for the analyses of PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs.

The extraction and cleanup procedures for OH-PBDEs in plants have been reported in our previous study (Wang et al., 2011b), and the details are provided in the Supplementary material. OH-PBDE analysis was performed with a UPLC–MS/MS consisted of a Waters Acquity UPLC Sample Manager and a Waters Acquity UPLC Binary Solvent Manger connected to a Waters Xevo TQ MS triple quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an ESI source (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). A reversed–phase chromatography was performed by Waters ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 mm × 100 mm i.d., 1.7 µm particle size, Waters, Milford, MA, USA). The details of UPLC–MS/MS method are provided in the Supplementary material.

2.4. Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC)

Proper handling was employed from sample collection to chemical analysis to minimize the potential sample contamination, cross contamination, and PBDE degradation. All equipments were rinsed with acetone and hexane to avoid contamination. The method QC was ensured through analysis of solvent blanks and standards, procedural blanks, surrogate standards and spiked blanks. A procedural blank and a spiked blank were incorporated for every batch of 6 samples. No target analytes were detected in blanks. The limit of detection (LOD) for PBDEs, MeO-PBDEs and OH-PBDEs was estimated based on a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 3. The limit of detection (LOD) for PBDEs, MeO-PBDEs and OH-PBDE congeners was 13.9–13,251.2 ng g⁻¹ dry weight (dw) (mean, 889.3 ng g⁻¹ dw), and the concentration ranges and distribution profiles of PBDE homologues are shown in Figs. 2(A) and S1(A), respectively. The ∑PBDE levels were significantly higher (P < 0.05) in the e-waste dismantling areas (sites 2, 3 and 4) than those in the residential area of Matou village (site 1) near the e-waste dismantling area. BDE-209 concentrations in the soils ranged from 5.0 ng g⁻¹ to 11,940.2 ng g⁻¹ dw (mean, 783.5 ng g⁻¹ dw), much higher than those reported for some other e-waste sites in China (Leung et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2009). BDE-209 contributed 61.4–93.7% of the ∑PBDE contents in the soils of sites 1, 2 and 4, and 37.7–53.2% for site 3, indicating that the commercial deca-BDE mixture (BDE-209) was the dominant pollution source in this area. The predominance of BDE-209 in soils has also been found in various regions around the world (Eljarrat et al., 2008; Environmental Agency Japan, 1991; Hale et al., 2003; Leung et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2009).

PBDE products include three major commercial mixtures: Penta-, Octa- and Deca-BDEs. A total of 41 PBDE congeners (mono- through deca-BDEs) were identified in the soil samples (Table 1) with BDE-209 as the predominant congener. The ∑PBDE concentrations ranged from 13.9 to 13,251.2 ng g⁻¹ dry weight (dw) (mean, 889.3 ng g⁻¹ dw), and the concentration ranges and distribution profiles of PBDE homologues are shown in Figs. 2(A) and S1(A), respectively. The ∑PBDE levels were significantly higher (P < 0.05) in the e-waste dismantling areas (sites 2, 3 and 4) than those in the residential area of Matou village (site 1) near the e-waste dismantling area. BDE-209 concentrations in the soils ranged from 5.0 ng g⁻¹ to 11,940.2 ng g⁻¹ dw (mean, 783.5 ng g⁻¹ dw), much higher than those reported for some other e-waste sites in China (Leung et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2009). BDE-209 contributed 61.4–93.7% of the ∑PBDE contents in the soils of sites 1, 2 and 4, and 37.7–53.2% for site 3, indicating that the commercial deca-BDE mixture (BDE-209) was the dominant pollution source in this area. The predominance of BDE-209 in soils has also been found in various regions around the world (Eljarrat et al., 2008; Environmental Agency Japan, 1991; Hale et al., 2003; Leung et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2009).

PBDE products include three major commercial mixtures: Penta-, Octa- and Deca-BDEs. A total of 14 congeners (BDE-47, 49, 99, 100, 154, 153, 183, 196, 197, 203, 207, 208, 206 and 209) included in these commercial mixtures were detected in the soils, which contributed more than 80% (81.6–99.8%, with the exception of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Homologues</th>
<th>Soils</th>
<th>Roots</th>
<th>Leaves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mono-BDEs</td>
<td>BDEs-1,2,3 (0.04–3.2, mean. 1.3)</td>
<td>BDEs-1,2,3 (n.d.–18.2, mean. 3.2)</td>
<td>BDEs-1,2,3 (0.4–17.5, mean. 8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-BDEs</td>
<td>BDEs-10,7,8,12/13,15 (0.6–13.0, mean. 2.0)</td>
<td>BDEs-10,7,8,12/13,15 (0.7–22.5, mean. 5.6)</td>
<td>BDEs-10,7,8,12/13,15 (1.2–23.3, mean. 9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-BDEs</td>
<td>BDEs-32,17,25,23/28,35,37 (0.1–18.1, mean. 2.4)</td>
<td>BDEs-17,25,33/28,35,37 (n.d.–20.0, mean. 0.4)</td>
<td>BDEs-32,17,25,33/28,35,37 (n.d.–7.8, mean. 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetra-BDEs</td>
<td>BDEs-49,71,47,66,77 (0.7–127.9, mean. 18.1)</td>
<td>BDEs-49,71,47,66,77 (n.d.–20.4, mean. 3.5)</td>
<td>BDEs-49,71,47,66,77 (0.4–7.3, mean. 3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penta-BDEs</td>
<td>BDEs-100,119,99,85,126 (n.d.–92.9, mean. 13.2)</td>
<td>BDEs-100,99,85,77 (n.d.–13.5, mean. 2.0)</td>
<td>BDEs-100,119,99,85 (n.d.–5.2, mean. 0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexa-BDEs</td>
<td>BDEs-154,153 (n.d.–42.8, mean. 8.8)</td>
<td>BDEs-154,153 (n.d.–6.8, mean. 0.4)</td>
<td>BDEs-154 (n.d.–6.7, mean. 0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octa-BDEs</td>
<td>BDEs-202,201,204/197,198/199/200/203,196,205,194,195 (n.d.–219.6, mean. 15.0)</td>
<td>BDEs-202,201,204/197,198/199/200/203,196 (n.d.–13.4, mean. 0.8)</td>
<td>BDEs-202,201,204/197,198/199/200/203,196 (n.d.–13.4, mean. 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepta-BDEs</td>
<td>BDE-183 (n.d.–751.5, mean.49.6)</td>
<td>BDE-183 (n.d.–60.2, mean. 4.2)</td>
<td>BDE-183 (n.d.–60.2, mean. 4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonas-BDEs</td>
<td>BDEs-208,207,206 (n.d.–54.7, mean. 4.4)</td>
<td>BDEs-208,207,206 (n.d.–3.5, mean. 0.2)</td>
<td>BDEs-209 (n.d.–5.3, mean. 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deca-BDEs</td>
<td>BDE-209 (5.0–11940.2, mean.7813.5)</td>
<td>BDE-209 (n.d.–81.6–99.8%, with the exception of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data within parenthesis are the total concentrations (ng g⁻¹ dw) of PBDE homologues in soils, plant roots and leaves, respectively.

* n.d. – not detected.
66.3% in one soil sample) of the \( \Sigma \)PBDEs in the soils. Nevertheless, except the congeners in these commercial PBDE mixtures, a sum of another 27 PBDE congeners were detected, which might be the debromination products of the commercial PBDEs. Previous studies also demonstrated that higher brominated PBDEs (including BDE-209) in soils degraded into lower brominated PBDEs including some of the prohibited PBDEs (Betts, 2008; Huang et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011a). Therefore, we should pay attention not only to the commercial PBDEs but also their debromination products when evaluating the adverse influences of PBDEs on the environment and human health. Significant positive correlations were found between BDE-209 concentrations and the concentrations of tetra-, penta-, hexa-, hepta-, octa- and nona-BDEs in the soils \( (R = 0.47, 0.73, 0.81, 0.85, 0.89, 0.99, P < 0.001) \), respectively. However, no significant correlations existed between BDE-209 concentrations and the concentrations of mono-, di- and tri-BDEs in the soils \( (P > 0.05) \). Such difference possibly contributed to the evidence that tetra- to deca-BDEs were mostly from the commercial PBDE mixtures, whereas mono-, di- and tri-BDEs were the debrominated products of different PBDE congeners in the commercial mixtures.

3.2. The accumulation and distribution of PBDEs in the plants

Thirty-three and twenty-six PBDE congeners were identified in plant roots and leaves, respectively \( (\text{Table 1}) \). Their concentration ranges and congener distributions are given in Figs. 2 and S1(B, C), respectively. The concentrations of \( \Sigma \)PBDEs in plant roots and leaves were in the range of 3.3–94.3 and 4.7–45.1 ng g\(^{-1}\) dw with mean values of 20.3 and 23.5 ng g\(^{-1}\) dw, respectively, which was higher than the concentrations in plants \((0–300 \text{ ng g}\(^{-1}\) dw) collected from the areas surrounding a seafood processing factory in Longkou, China \( (\text{Sun et al., 2013a}) \), but much lower than the concentrations in plants \((70–5900 \text{ ng g}\(^{-1}\) dw) collected near a BFR manufactory in Laizhou Bay \( (\text{Jin et al., 2008}) \). This suggests that contamination of PBDEs in the soils at e-waste sites resulted in their increased accumulation in plants but at much lower levels than those caused by contamination from BFR manufactory.

A significant positive linear relationship existed between the concentrations of \( \Sigma \)PBDEs in plant roots and soils \( (R = 0.80, P < 0.0001) \). However, the distribution of the PBDE homologues in plants was different from that in the soils. For example, BDE-209 was the predominant congener in the soils, whereas it was only detected at low levels in some of the woody plant roots \((0.3–5.3 \text{ ng g}\(^{-1}\) dw)\). In addition, much higher proportion of lower brominated PBDEs (mono- through penta-BDEs) was found in plant roots \((22.0–100\%, \text{mean, } 90.2\%) \) and leaves \((80.5–100\%, \text{mean, } 97.9\%) \) than in the soils \((0.4–49.9\%, \text{mean, } 19.7\%) \). These differences may result from a combination of causes including contribution of foliar uptake of PBDEs to their plant accumulation, preferential root uptake and translocation of the lower brominated PBDEs, and metabolic debromination of higher brominated PBDEs to lower brominated congeners inside plants.

Root concentration factors (RCFs) of PBDEs, defined as the ratios of their concentrations in roots to concentrations in soils on a dry weight basis, were calculated and the values were in the range of 0.01–1.2 \( (\text{mean, } 0.3) \). The RCF value was higher for the congeners which have lower \( \log K_{ow} \) values \( (\text{Fig. 3}) \), and there is a negative
correlation between the values of RCFs and log\textsubscript{K\text{ow}} of PBDEs \((P < 0.05)\), confirming that lower brominated PBDEs were more readily available for plant uptake than the higher brominated PBDEs. The RCF values for different plant species were in a wide range particularly for the congeners with low log\textsubscript{K\text{ow}} (Fig. 3), and there is even no similarity between the RCF values for plants of the same species from different sampling sites, suggesting the differential uptake of PBDEs among plant species. There was no relationship between PBDE concentrations in the soils and plant leaves \((P > 0.1)\). Moreover, we can see from Fig. S1(C) that distribution of PBDE congeners in plant leaves was very similar to each other for different plant species and even between different sampling sites. These facts suggest the main contribution of foliar uptake of PBDEs from the air to their accumulation in leaves.

### 3.3. The composition and distribution of OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs in the soils and plants

Concentration ranges and distribution profiles of OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs in the soils and plant roots and leaves are shown in Figs. 2, 4 and 5 and S2, respectively. All of the twelve OH-PBDE congeners in the standards were detected in the soils and plant tissues. The range and mean concentrations of \(\sum\text{OH-PBDEs}\) in the soils, plant roots and leaves were 0.04–45.8 ng g\textsuperscript{-1} dw (6.0 ng g\textsuperscript{-1} dw), 0.01–4.4 ng g\textsuperscript{-1} dw (1.2 ng g\textsuperscript{-1} dw) and 0.06–1.3 ng g\textsuperscript{-1} dw (0.6 ng g\textsuperscript{-1} dw), respectively, in the following order: \(\sum\text{OH-PBDEs}\) \(\text{soils} > \sum\text{OH-PBDEs}\) \(\text{roots} > \sum\text{OH-PBDEs}\) \(\text{leaves}\) (Fig. 2). All of the twelve MeO-PBDE congeners in the standards were determined in the soil samples with 4\textsuperscript{0}-MeO-BDE17 and 5-MeO-BDE47 detected in just a few samples (Fig. 6). Eleven and nine MeO-PBDEs were identified in roots (except for 4\textsuperscript{0}-MeO-BDE17) and leaves (except for 4\textsuperscript{0}-MeO-BDE17, 5-MeO-BDE47 and 4\textsuperscript{0}-MeO-BDE49) (Fig. 6), respectively. The \(\sum\text{MeO-PBDEs}\) concentrations in the soils, roots and leaves were in the range of 1.7–52.2, 41.1–349.6, 3.5–
103.3 ng g⁻¹ dw with mean values of 11.9, 145.0 and 51.5 ng g⁻¹ dw, respectively, following the order of ∑MeO-PBDE₅roots > ∑MeO-PBDE₅leaves > ∑MeO-PBDE₅soils (Fig. 2). There have been very limited reports on the levels of OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs in soils and plants. In comparison, the concentrations of OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs found in the soils from this e-waste recycling area were much higher than the levels reported for the soils around seafood processing factory in Longkou, China (Sun et al., 2013a) and sediments from Liaodong Bay, China and Muskegon Lake, Michigan, USA (Zhang et al., 2012; Bradley et al., 2011). Accumulations of OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs in plants were also much higher than those found in plants collected in areas around a seafood processing factory which may provide the exposure source of MeO-PBDEs for plants (Sun et al., 2013a). These suggest that there possibly exists biotransformation of PBDEs to OH-PBDEs or MeO-PBDEs in the soil–plant system.

Fig. 2 clearly shows that the concentrations of ∑MeO-PBDEs were much higher than those of ∑OH-PBDEs. Mean concentration ratios of ∑MeO-PBDEs to ∑OH-PBDEs were 25, 181 and 243 for the soils, plant roots and leaves, respectively, P < 0.05). Furthermore, the concentrations of the individual OH-PBDEs were also significantly correlated to their corresponding MeO-PBDE congeners (4’-OH-BDE17 and 4’-MeO-BDE17, 3’-OH-BDE28 and 3’-MeO-BDE28, 3-OH-BDE47 and 3-MeO-BDE47, 5-OH-BDE47 and 5-MeO-BDE47, 6-OH-BDE47 and 6-MeO-BDE47, 2’-OH-BDE68 and 2’-MeO-BDE68, 6-OH-BDE85 and 6-MeO-BDE85, respectively) in the soils (P < 0.05). Significant correlations were also obtained in our previous hydroponic experiment between 3-OH-BDE28 and 3-MeO-BDE28, OH-BDE47 and MeO-BDE47 in maize (P < 0.05, Wang et al., 2012). It was therefore speculated that OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs may share to some extent a common source or similar bioaccumulation behavior in the soils and plants. Interconversion between OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs is another possible explanation and recent studies have evidenced the interconversion between 6-OH-BDE47 and 6-MeO-BDE47 in marine sediment (Zhang et al., 2012) and animal organisms by in vivo and in vitro exposures (Wan et al., 2009, 2010).

The ortho-substituted OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs have been found the dominant compounds in environmental matrices and biotic samples and confirmed as natural occurring compounds (Lacorte and Ikonomou, 2009; Malmvärn et al., 2008; Teuten et al., 2005; Wan et al., 2009), whereas meta- and para-substituted OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs have been reported to be biotransformation metabolites during PBDE exposure (Malmberg et al., 2005; Marsh et al., 2006; Qiu et al., 2007; Wan et al., 2010). However, ortho-substituted OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs have also been detected in PBDE exposure plants and animals (Qiu et al., 2007;
contributions of each kind of substituted congeners are provided in Table S3 in the Supplementary material. The proportions of ortho-substituted OH-PBDEs (61.7%, 56.8% and 54.7%) and MeO-PBDEs (55.5%, 75.8% and 86.7%) were relatively higher than those of meta- and para-substituted OH-PBDEs (38.3%, 43.2% and 45.3%) and MeO-PBDEs (44.5%, 24.2%, 13.3%) in the soils, plant roots and leaves, respectively, indicating the importance of the natural sources of OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs for their accumulation in the soils and plants. Fig. 5 shows that the composition of MeO-PBDE congeners in leaves was very similar to each other for the plants from different sampling sites and even for plants of different species at the same sampling sites. There was also a similarity in composition of MeO-PBDEs in plant roots within sampling sites, but differences exist between sampling sites. However, the congener compositions of OH-PBDEs in plants, particularly in leaves, were highly variable among plant species and sampling sites (Fig. 4). This may suggest that naturally occurring sources of MeO-PBDEs contributed mainly to their accumulation in plants, while both natural sources and metabolic biotransformation contributed to the occurrence of OH-PBDEs in plants. Furthermore, the meta- and para-substituted OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs were found as the dominant congeners with high concentrations and detection frequencies in some plant species such as Zea mays L. and Chrysanthemum indicum L. In comparison much larger proportions of meta- and para-substituted MeO-PBDEs in plants with higher detection frequencies were obtained in the present study compared with the results reported by Sun et al., and they did not found the meta- and para-substituted OH-PBDEs in the plant samples (Sun et al., 2013a). These may provide further evidences for the existence of biotransformation of PBDEs to OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs or interconversion of OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs in the soil–plant system in the e-waste recycling area. Nevertheless, we have to admit it is still hard to clearly identify the origin of OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs in the naturally environment which deserves further investigation.

4. Conclusions

PBDEs, OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs were analyzed in the soil and plant samples collected from an e-waste recycling area in Guangdong Province, Southern China in order to study their occurrence and distribution in the soil–plant system. A total of 41 PBDEs, 12 OH-PBDEs and 12 MeO-PBDEs were identified in the soil and plant samples. PBDEs in the soils are mainly composed of congeners which exist in the commercial mixtures with BDE-209 as the dominant congener. The ΣPBDE levels were significantly higher in the e-waste dismantling areas than those in the residential area near the e-waste dismantling area. These demonstrate soil contamination of PBDEs is associated with their pollution sources from e-waste. Although there was a significant positive linear relationship between the concentrations of ΣPBDE in plant roots and soils, the distribution of the PBDE homologues in plants was different from that of soils with much higher proportion of lower brominated PBDEs in plants than in the soils, and foliar uptake from the air mainly contributed to the accumulation of PBDEs in leaves. It is interesting to note that the ortho-, meta- and para-substituted OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs were all detected in the soil and plant samples with 6-OH-BDE47, 6-OH-BDE85, 2′-OH-BDE3, 6′-OH-BDE99, 3′-OH-BDE7, 6-MeO-BDE85 and 2′-MeO-BDE3 as the major congeners (Figs. 4 and 5 and S2). The proportional
present study provide important information about characterization of PBDEs, OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs in the soil–plant system. Transformation behaviors of PBDEs, OH-PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs in the soil–plant system need further investigation.
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