Reactive extractive electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry for sensitive detection of tetrabromobisphenol A derivatives
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HIGHLIGHTS
• A high ionization efficiency method for TBBPA derivatives detection was developed.
• Trace levels (0.05 μg L⁻¹) of TBBPA derivatives can be detected directly.
• A single detection needs only 1 min.
• Provide more possibilities for low polar molecules detection by MS.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT
Sensitive detection of tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBPA) and its derivatives, a group of emerging toxic contaminants, is highly necessitated in environmental investigation. Herein a novel analytical strategy based on reactive extractive electrospray ionization (EESI) tandem mass spectrometry for detection of tetrabromobisphenol A bis(2-hydroxyethyl ether) (TBBPA-BHEE), tetrabromobisphenol A bis(glycidyl ether) (TBBPA-BGE), tetrabromobisphenol A bis(allylether) (TBBPA-BAE), and tetrabromobisphenol S bis(allylether)/(TBBPS-BAE) in industrial waste water samples was developed. Active silver cations (Ag⁺), generated by electrospraying a silver nitrate methanol solution (10 mg L⁻¹), collides the neutral TBBPA derivatives molecules in the EESI source to form [M + Ag⁺]⁺ complexes of the analytes under the ambient conditions. Upon collision-induced dissociation (CID), characteristic fragments of the [M + Ag⁺]⁺ complexes were identified for confident and sensitive detection of the four TBBPA derivatives. Under the optimized experimental conditions, the instrumental limits of detection (LODs) of TBBPA-BHEE, TBBPA-BGE, TBBPA-BAE and TBBPS-BAE were 0.37, 0.050, 0.76, and 4.6 μg L⁻¹, respectively. The linear ranges extended to 1000 μg L⁻¹ (R² ≥ 0.9919), and the relative standard deviations (RSDs), inter-day variation and intra-day variation were less than 7.8% (n = 9), 10.0% (n = 5), and 14.8% (n = 1 per day for 5 days) for all derivatives. TBBPA derivative manufacturing industrial waste water, river water and tap water samples were fast analyzed with the proposed method. The contents of TBBPA derivatives were various in the collected samples, with the highest 19.9 ± 0.3 μg L⁻¹ of TBBPA-BAE in the waste water samples.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction
Tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBPA) and its derivatives are the most widely used brominated flame retardants (BFRs) [1,2], which are normally added with considerable amounts into a variety of plastic products, circuit boards, building materials and textiles to improve
their fire resistance [3,4]. According to European Brominated Flame Retardant Industry Panel (EBFRIP), the global demand of TBBPA and its derivatives reached 170,000 t in 2004 [1,5], and their production amount is more than 120,000 t/year [6]/150,000 t/year [7]. Pointed by World Health Organization (WHO), as much as 25% of this production is used to produce TBBPA derivatives [8], like tetrabromobisphenol A bis(allyl ether) (TBBPA-BAE), tetrabromobisphenol A bis(2-hydroxyethyl ether) (TBBP BHEE), tetrabromobisphenol A bis(glycidyl ether) (TBBPA-BGE) and tetrabromobisphenol S bis(allyl ether) (TBBPS-BAE), etc. [9]. These substances could easily enter the environment by waste water discharge of the TBBPA derivatives industry [10] and by using, disposal and recycling of the BFRs [11]. The debate on toxicity and bioaccumulation of TBBPA continues between scientists [1,2,10,12]. In contrast to TBBPA, a deep understanding of the environmental behavior and toxicity of the TBBPA derivatives is severely limited by the lack of efficient analytical methods [1,10]. Powerful analytical tools are imperative to rapidly detect these derivatives in real, complex environmental samples. The Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM Panel) urges to collect the information of TBBPA derivatives on their production rates, use, chemical characteristics, occurrence in food and their long-term toxicity [10]. Consequently, the TBBPA derivatives become a hot focusing point of environmental researchers [9].

In a critical review, Covaci et al. pointed out that no data for detection of the derivatives was published before 2008 [1,13]. As a matter of fact, gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) is not practical for determination of these derivatives due to the instable thermal properties of these compounds [14]. Alternatively, electrospray ionization (ESI) and atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) are insufficient for quantitative detection of these derivatives in actual samples [1,14], because of their low proton affinities. Although TBBPA-BAE was successfully identified as an emerging neurotoxicant in environmental samples using high performance liquid chromatography–atmospheric pressure chemical ionization–mass spectrometry (HPLC–APCI–MS) [15], it was found that APCI was not sensitive enough to produce the molecular ions during the ionization. Active studies have been carried out by some groups to improve the feasibility of atmospheric pressure photoionization–mass spectrometry (APPI–MS) [14], APCI–MS [15] and HPLC-UV [16] for detection of these derivatives. The APPI–MS was more sensitive than other methods, with instrument detection limit of 12–112 pg for three TBBPA derivatives [14]. However, the need of a suitable dopant and the susceptibility of the mobile phase to ionization limited its applications. Therefore, additional analytical methods are still highly required to detect these derivatives.

Mass spectrometry are often preferred for environmental research [17–20], due to its premier sensitivity and unparalleled chemical specificity. Powered by complex ionization techniques, analysis of actual samples with complex matrices is facile by mass spectrometry [21–25]. As reported in 2006, extractive electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESSI–MS) was able to detect TNT in waste water, and trace atrazine in undiluted urine [26], showing promising applications in environmental studies. To date, ESSI–MS has been applied to direct analysis of various samples, including exhaled human breath [27,28], skin [29], food [29–31], natural water [32], toothpaste [33], native proteins [34], etc. In this study, low ppb levels of TBBPA derivatives in waste water were detected for the first time with ESSI–MS/MS.

2. Experimental

2.1. Instrumentation

All the experiments were carried out using a linear trap quadru-ple mass spectrometer (LTQ-XL, Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) installed with a homemade ESSI source, which was previously described in detail [26,32–35]. The schematic illustration of ESSI–MS is shown in Fig. 1. It was assembled by two sprayers, one sprayer was charged with high positive voltage (+4000 V) for silver nitrate methanol solution electrospray, the other one was used for sample nebulization. A silver nitrate methanol solution was injected by a syringe pump (Harvard) at a flow rate of 4 μL min⁻¹ into the electrosprayer to generate active silver cations (Ag⁺), which were accelerated by the electric field and vacuum system of the LTQ instrument to react with TBBPA derivatives, resulting in [M⁺+Ag⁺] complexes for mass analysis. The samples were also injected into the ESSI system at flow rate of 4 μL min⁻¹ by another syringe (250 μL, Hamilton) and pump (equipped on the LTQ–MS). Nitrogen gas (1.2 MPa) was used for liquid nebulization. The temperature of the heated capillary of the LTQ–MS was maintained at 250 °C. The default values of voltages for the tube lenses, conversion dynode, detectors were directly used, no further optimization was performed. The syringes and sprayers needed to be rinsed thoroughly by de-ionized water and methanol, between samples to prevent contamination. In collision-induced dissociation (CID) experiment, the ions of interest were isolated with an m/z width of 1 unit, Helium was used as the collision gas, and CID energy was 10–32% with a duration time of 30 ms.

2.2. Reagent and material

All chemicals were used at least analytical grade. De-ionized water (18.2 MΩ cm, obtained by Milli-Q water purification system, Millipore, USA) and methanol (HPLC grade, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, US) were used for standard reagents and sample dilutions. HPLC grade and pesticide residue grade dichloromethane (DCM) were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Hampton, NH) for sample extracting. Na₂SO₄ was prebaked at 660 °C for 6 h before used for dehydration in sample preparation. Silver nitrate (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd, analytical-reagent grade, China) was prepared in de-ionized water and diluted in methanol for Ag⁺ electrospray. TBBPA derivatives including TBBPA-BAE (CAS 25327–89–3, 99%), TBBPA-BHEE (CAS 4162–45–2, 98%) and TBBPA-BGE (CAS 3072–84–2, purity unknown) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and purified with silica gel column chromatography before use. Tetrabromobisphenol S (TBBPS) was purchased from Beijing APIS Biotechnology Ltd. (Beijing, China). TBBPS-BAE was synthesized from TBBPS with a yield of 85%. Briefly, TBBPS (5.7 g) and sodium hydroxide solution (0.8 g in 20 mL water) were dissolved in the solution of isopropanol/water (10:9) (20 mL), then the mixture was reacted at 70 °C, and while allyl bromide (2.2 mL) was added.
dropwise to the solution in 5 h. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 3 h at 78 °C. When the reagent was not detected anymore with thin layer chromatography (TLC), the reaction mixture was kept at room temperature until crystallization. The crystals were washed with water and recrystallized with ethyl acetate.

Tap water sample was obtained directly in the lab. The BFRs industrial waste water and river water samples were collected in Shandong Province (China) and stored at 4 °C before detection. After filtration through 0.45 membranes, 40 mL of sample solution was extracted by liquid–liquid extraction with 3 × 20 mL of DCM. The extracts were dehydrated by Na2SO4, concentrated by rotary evaporator and nitrogen evaporator, and finally transferred into 1 mL methanol [15]. Before detection by EESI–MS, appropriate dilution by methanol was performed when necessary. The standard addition analysis of the water sample was performed by adding 0, 40, 80 and 160 µg L⁻¹ of TBBPA derivatives in the industrial waste water and by adding 0, 2, 4 and 6 µg L⁻¹ of TBBPA derivatives (0, 10, 20 and 30 µg L⁻¹ for TBBPS-BAE) in the tap water and river water. Note that as the high matrix tolerance of EESI [26], gel permeation chromatography (GPC) clean up and SPE separation, which was normally required for HPLC and HPLC–APCI/APPI–MS [14,15], was not needed in the sample pretreatment.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. EESI–MS spectrum of TBBPA derivatives

Assumingly, the neutral aerosols of TBBPA derivatives collide with the charged silver nitrate micro-droplets, promoting the reaction of Ag⁺ and TBBPA derivatives to form positively charged [M+Ag⁺] complexes in the gas phase, which could be well characterized by tandem mass spectrometry. Following the procedure described in the experimental section, the full scan reactive EESI–MS spectra of Ag⁺ and TBBPA-BHEE, TBBPA-BGE, TBBPA-BAE and TBBPS-BAE were recorded as shown in Fig. 2A–D (MS spectra between m/z 500–1000, see Fig. S1), respectively, showing dominant characteristic isotope peaks of the corresponding silver bound TBBPA derivatives. For example, the TBBPA-BHEE-silver and -bromide isotope ions ([M+Ag⁺] dominated in the mass range of m/z 735–m/z 745 (Fig. 2A), with the centered signal (m/z 739 and 741) up to 1.70 × 10⁴ cps. Since both bromine and silver have two stable and almost equal abundance isotopes, i.e., ⁷⁹Br (50.7%) and ⁸¹Br (49.3%), ¹⁰⁷Ag (51.8%) and ¹⁰⁹Ag (48.2%), the isotopic distribution pattern of [M+Ag⁺] should contain 6 isotope peaks and the proportion of abundance must be close to 1:5:10:10:5:1. As shown Fig. 2A, the peak number and the intensity ratio of the peaks at m/z 735, 737, 739, 741, 743 and 745 match the theoretic values, providing a strong evidence that the detections of TBBPA-BHEE derivatives were accomplished by forming [M+Ag⁺] complexes by reactive EESI–MS.

Similarly, TBBPA-BGE, TBBPA-BAE and TBBPS-BAE were also detected by silver reactive EESI–MS, resulting in dominant isotopic peaks of [M+Ag⁺] complexes in Fig. 2B and C, in which the isotopic distribution pattern was well corresponding to the theoretic composition of the silver cationized TBBPA derivative. Note that the major isotope signal of TBBPA-BGE (2.60 × 10⁴ cps) and TBBPA-BAE (1.77 × 10⁴ cps) were higher than that of TBBPS-BAE (2.37 × 10³ cps) at same concentration, probably because the O=S=O group, which is apt to withdraw electrons, in the TBBPS-BAE molecule reduced the possibility to form the [M+Ag⁺] complexes under the experimental conditions. Therefore, a higher concentration (2 mg L⁻¹) was used to achieve a better signal-to-noise isotope signal in Fig. 2D recorded for TBBPS-BAE analytes, indicating that tandem MS experiments where the chemical noise can be dramatically reduced would benefit the sensitive detection of the TBBPS-BAE.

3.2. MS/MS spectrum of TBBPA derivatives

Although MS/MS data of these TBBPA derivatives is of great significance for analytical methodology development and further physical chemistry studies on the TBBPA derivatives, a literature survey showed that no data of these TBBPA derivatives was available. Therefore, collision-induced dissociation (CID) experiments

Fig. 2. Full scan EESI–MS spectra of aqueous TBBPA derivatives samples recorded by using AgNO₃ methanol (10 mg L⁻¹) as the ESI solvent. (A) TBBPA-BHEE (1 mg L⁻¹); (B) TBBPA-BGE (1 mg L⁻¹); (C) TBBPA-BAE (1 mg L⁻¹); (D) TBBPS-BAE (2 mg L⁻¹).
were performed to the precursor ions: m/z 739, 763, 731 and 753 for TBBPA-BHEE, TBBPA-BGE, TBBPA-BAE and TBBPS-BAE. Upon CID with 20% collision energy, the precursor ions (m/z 739) generated major ionic fragments of m/z 695, 694, 659, 657, 629 and 577 (Fig. 3A), by the loss of CH₂=CH–OH, CH₂–CH₂–OH, H⁺ 79Br, H⁺ 81Br, [H⁺ 79Br, C₂H₆], and [H⁺ 79Br, H⁺ 81Br], correspondingly. Small signals were also detected at m/z 614, which were obtained by the cleavage of [CH₂–CH₂–OH, HBr] and [CH₂–CH–OH, HBr] from the precursor ions (m/z 739). Refer to the CID results of TBBPA [36,37], the loss of isotopic species such as H⁺ 79Br, H⁺ 81Br, [H⁺ 79Br, C₂H₆], and [H⁺ 79Br, H⁺ 81Br] confirmed the successful detection of the precursor ions by reactive EESI–MS.

By applying 12% collision energy, the [TBBPA-BGE + Ag⁺] ions of m/z 763 lost CH₂O species to yield a dominated fragment of m/z 733 in the MS/MS spectrum (Fig. 3B), in which as the small peaks at m/z 706 and 703, was produced from the precursor ions (m/z 763) by the loss of [CH₂O, C₂H₄] and 2CH₂O. Direct elimination of H⁺ 79Br and H⁺ 81Br from TBBPA-BAE silver cationized molecules (m/z 731) was observed during the CID process with 12% collision energy, producing major fragments of m/z 651 and 649 (Fig. 3C). Other dissociation pathways in which neutral loss of [CH₂=CHCH₂, H⁺ 79Br], [H⁺ 79Br, H⁺ 81Br], [2HBr, AgH⁺], [3HBr, AgH⁺], C₃H₃OBr₂Ag⁺, [3HBr, AgH⁺, •Br] and C₃H₄OBr₂Ag were also evidenced by the small peaks at m/z 610, 569, 461, 381, 333, 302 and 251, respectively. However, the low intensities of these peaks indicate that the corresponding fragmentation pathways were not favored under the conditions. With relative high collision energy (30%), the silver-TBBPS-BAE complex (m/z 753) fragmented intensively (Fig. 3D), allowing the production of a major peak at m/z 648 by the loss of [SO₂, •CH₂=CH–CH₂] and a series of small peaks at m/z 735, 721, 673, 591, 501, 499, 422, 381, 341, 299 and 261 by the cleavage of H₂O, O₂, H⁺ 79Br, H⁺ 81Br, [H⁺ 79Br, 107Ag⁺H⁺SO₂⁺], [H⁺ 81Br, 107Ag⁺H⁺SO₂⁺], [107Ag⁺ 81Br, SO₂⁺], [H⁺ 79Br, 107Ag⁺ 81Br, SO₂⁺], [2H⁺ 79Br, H⁺ 81Br, C₂H₆O⁺], [H⁺ 79Br, H⁺ 81Br, 107Ag⁺ 81Br, SO₂⁺], [2H⁺ 79Br, H⁺ 81Br, C₂H₆O⁺], [H⁺ 79Br, H⁺ 81Br, 107Ag⁺ 81Br, SO₂⁺], [C₂H₄] and [2H⁺ 79Br, H⁺ 81Br, 107Ag⁺ 81Br, SO₂⁺, C₂H₄], respectively.

For better characterization of the [M + Ag⁺] complexes, MS³, MS⁴ and MS⁵ spectrum of major fragment ions observed in the MS² spectrum were also illustrated in the supporting information (Figs. S2–S5 and Tables S1–S4). The MS³, MS⁴ and MS⁵ data were in all good agreement with the molecular structure of the precursor ions, and experimentally provided systematic data evidences for unambiguous detection of the targeted TBBPA derivatives using EESI–MS.

3.3. The interaction between Ag⁺ and TBBPA derivatives

In addition of using nitric silver as the reactive reagent, Na⁺, K⁺, Cs⁺, H⁺ and NH₄⁺ was also tested to improve the ionization efficiencies of TBBPA derivatives, however, the complexes between these derivatives and adduct ions wasn’t found in the MS spectrum. In order to investigate the complexation between Ag⁺ and these derivatives, the probable structures and interaction energies (ΔE₀) of complexes of TBBPA derivatives and Ag⁺ ([M + Ag⁺]) were calculated by Gaussian 03 system (details see ESI; Table S5). As shown in Fig. S6, the Ag⁺ combined with ether oxygen and bromine of the TBBPA derivative was the most possible configuration for [M + Ag⁺] complexes. The interaction energies of TBBPA derivatives combined with Ag⁺ were obtained between 51.24–61.90 kcal mol⁻¹, it further confirmed the TBBPA derivatives could combine with Ag⁺ ions.

3.4. Analytical performance

Under the optimized experimental conditions, (i.e. high voltage, 4000 V; temperature of the heated capillary, 250 °C; nitrogen gas, 1.2 MPa; flow rate of sample/Ag⁺ solution, 4 μL min⁻¹; angle α, 15°; angle β, 60°; distance (a), 0.5 mm; distance (b), 5 mm, as illustrated in Fig. 1) tandem mass spectrometry experiments were performed to generate the characteristic fragments such as m/z at 694, 733, 651 and 648 for quantitative detection of TBBPA-BHEE, TBBPA-BGE, TBBPA-BAE and TBBPS-BAE, respectively. Because the precursor ions were a member of the isotopic envelope of [M + Ag⁺] clusters, so these transitions were specific for quantification of the TBBPA derivatives. The calibration curve is illustrated in Fig. S7, and the results are summarized in Table 1. The linearity ranges
extended to 100 µg L⁻¹ (R² ≥ 0.9919) for all TBBPA derivatives. By blank and standard solution direct injection, the instrumental limit of detection (LOD, S/N = 3, n = 11) was determined to be 0.37, 0.050, 0.76, and 4.6 µg L⁻¹ for TBBPA-BHEE, TBBPA-BGE, TBBPA-BAE, and TBPS-BAE, respectively. In comparison with the recent published data obtained by MS for detection of TBBPA derivatives, the LOD of this method for TBBPA-BAE detection was close to APPI-MS [14] (12 pg, 0.24 µg L⁻¹ by our conversion) and much lower than that obtained by APCI-MS [15] (2 µg L⁻¹ (LOD value was converted into same unit)). More interestingly, the sensitivity of this method is even better than that of previously reported techniques, which provided LOD of 0.95 µg L⁻¹ for TBBPA-BHEE and 0.8 µg L⁻¹ for TBBPA-BAE using mesoporous cellular foams coating of solid-phase microextraction (SPME) [16]. The abundance ratios between the concomitant ions and the characteristic fragments (i.e., A_{m/z 659}/A_{m/z 694}, A_{m/z 703}/A_{m/z 733}, A_{m/z 660}/A_{m/z 651} and A_{m/z 673}/A_{m/z 648}) were 85.1–128.1%, 21.5–45.0%, 22.8–39.9% and 23.4–53.5% for TBBPA-BHEE, TBBPA-BGE, TBBPA-BAE and TBPS-BAE detections in their linear ranges. These ratios are reasonable and are relevant for these TBBPA derivatives identification. For nine successive determinations of these four TBBPA derivatives standard solutions, the relative standard deviations (RSDs) were less than 7.8% for all TBBPA derivatives. The inter- and intra-day variations were less than 10.0% and 14.8% for five tests of 100 µg L⁻¹ TBBPA derivatives in 1 day or for one test over 5 days.

### 3.5. Real samples analysis

Standard additions analysis was employed for quantitative analysis of the tap water, river water and industrial waste water samples. Typical MS and MS/MS spectra were obtained when adding standard TBBPA derivatives in these samples. Both the isotopic peaks of [M+Ag⁺] complexes and characteristic fragments mass ions were received in these real samples detection. In order to minimize the matrix effects in the real samples, the standard addition analysis method was employed and the characteristic fragment ions were used to make the calibration curve for the TBBPA derivatives. The results were summarized in [Table 2](#table2), which showed the coefficients of determination were (R²) ≥ 0.99 for all samples, and the most common TBBPA derivative, TBBPA-BAE was detected in all of the four waste water samples up to 19.9 ± 0.3 µg L⁻¹ although TBBPA-BHEE and TBBPA-BGE were also found up to 3.2 ± 1.3 and 4.9 ± 2.6 µg L⁻¹, respectively, in three plants. As the newcomer of the TBBPA derivatives group, TBPS-BAE was also found up to 8.2 ± 2.7 µg L⁻¹ in waste water samples of two plants. The concentrations of derivatives in river waters were lower than in the waste waters. The maximum concentrations of 0.30 ± 0.01 µg L⁻¹ of TBBPA-BHEE, 0.07 ± 0.03 µg L⁻¹ of TBBPA-BGE, and 0.28 ± 0.05 µg L⁻¹ of TBBPA-BAE were found in three different river waters. The concentration of TBBPA-BGE in the tap water from our lab was very low (0.03 ± 0.05 µg L⁻¹) and could be attributed to leaching of TBBPA-BGE from the plastic pipe in the water supply system. The error range was estimated by 3 times repeated detection and following the method described by Bruce et al. [38]. These data show that the EESI-MS is a useful tool for sensitive detection of the TBBPA derivatives, allowing advanced studies of TBBPA derivatives in environmental sciences and other related fields.

### 4. Conclusion

Silver cations (Ag⁺) generated by electrospraying a AgNO₃ methanol solution (10 mg L⁻¹) were employed for reactive ionization of TBBPA-BHEE, TBBPA-BGE, TBBPA-BAE and TBPS-BAE in the EESI process, resulting in [M+Ag⁺] complexes for post mass
analysis by tandem mass spectrometry. The MS and MS/MS results of these derivatives were reported and discussed with details in this study. Under optimized conditions, the concentrations of TBBPA-BHEE, TBBPA-BGE, TBBPA-BAE and TBBPS-BAE were successfully determined in industrial waste water, river water and tap water samples. This work offers a practical solution for sensitive detection of low polar molecules such as TBBPA derivatives by EESI–MS², and particularly promotes the environmental investigation in industry to completely understand the TBBPA derivative distribution and their environmental behaviors.
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