Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/watres

Establishment of quantitative PCR methods for the quantification of geosmin-producing potential and *Anabaena* sp. in freshwater systems

Ming Su^a, Virginie Gaget^{b,1}, Steven Giglio^{b,2}, Michael Burch^b, Wei An^a, Min Yang^{a,*}

^a State Key Laboratory of Environmental Aquatic Chemistry, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 18 Shuangqing Rd., Haidian, Beijing 100085, China

^b South Australia Water Corporation, Australian Water Quality Centre, 250 Victoria Square, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 July 2012

Received in revised form

14 March 2013

Accepted 20 March 2013

Available online 6 April 2013

Keywords:

Anabaena sp.

Quantitative PCR

Geosmin

rpoC1 gene

Geosmin synthase gene

ABSTRACT

Geosmin has often been associated with off-flavor problems in drinking water with *Anabaena* sp. as the major producer. Rapid on-site detection of geosmin-producers as well as geosmin is important for a timely management response to potential off-flavor events. In this study, quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) methods were developed to detect the levels of *Anabaena* sp. and geosmin, respectively, by designing two PCR primer sets to quantify the *rpoC1* gene (ARG) and *geosmin synthase* one (GSG) in *Anabaena* sp. in freshwater systems. The ARG density determined by qPCR assay is highly related to microscopic cell count ($r^2 = 0.726$, $p < 0.001$), and the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) of the qPCR method were 0.02 pg and 0.2 pg of DNA, respectively. At the same time, the relationship between geosmin concentrations measured by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) and GSG copies was also established ($r^2 = 0.742$, $p < 0.001$) with similar LOD and LOQ values. Using the two qPCR protocols, we succeeded in measuring different levels of ARG and GSG copies in different freshwater systems with high incidence environmental substrata and diverse ecological conditions, showing that the methods developed could be applied for environmental monitoring. Moreover, comparing to the microscopic count and GC–MS analytical methods, the qPCR methods can reduce the time-to-results from several days to a few hours and require considerably less traditional algal identification and taxonomic expertise.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Cyanobacterial blooms occur frequently in lakes and reservoirs over the world and have exerted a serious impact on aquatic ecosystems, human health, and a large range of human

activities (Battocchi et al., 2010). Such blooms are often accompanied with the occurrence of taste and odor problems caused by cyanobacterial metabolites such as geosmin (trans-1,10-dimethyl-trans-9-decalol) and MIB (2-methylisoborneol or 1,2,7,7-tetramethyl-exo-bicyclo-[2,2,1]-heptan-2-ol) (Saadoun

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 10 6284 9175; fax: +86 10 62923541.

E-mail addresses: ming.su@live.com (M. Su), Virginie.Gaget@sawater.com.au (V. Gaget), Steven.Giglio@healthscope.com.au (S. Giglio), mike.burch@sawater.com.au (M. Burch), anwei@rcees.ac.cn (W. An), yangmin@rcees.ac.cn (M. Yang).

¹ Current address: Ecology, Evolution and Landscape Sciences, School of Earth and Environment Sciences, Adelaide University, Adelaide, Australia.

² Current address: Healthscope Pathology SA, 1 Goodwood Rd., Wayville, SA 5034, Australia.

0043-1354/\$ – see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.03.043>

et al., 2001). *Anabaena*, a well-known bloom-forming cyanobacterial genus, has been reported to be responsible for 46% of geosmin-related taste and odor events (Kuosa, 1991; Krienitz et al., 2002; Sabour et al., 2005; Sotero-Santos et al., 2008). In 2007, a severe *Anabaena* bloom occurred in Yanghe Reservoir in north China, resulting in a serious water supply crisis due to the production of high concentration of geosmin (Li et al., 2010). Cyanobacteria grow exponentially forming blooms and forming large visible biomass within a short period of time. Moreover, cyanobacterial metabolites like geosmin are volatile and susceptible to biodegradation in water (Ho et al., 2007). Therefore a quick or even on-site detection method would be desirable during a taste and odor event, especially in the case of an extensive sampling (Battocchi et al., 2010). Microscopic count, which has long been the major approach used for the monitoring of algae in lakes and reservoirs (Hotzel and Croome, 1994), is very time-consuming (Rodenacker et al., 2001). In addition, the limitation of morphological identification criteria has sometimes rendered it difficult to assign cyanobacteria to a certain genus or species (Humbert et al., 2010), and the reliability of data depends largely on the skill and taxonomic expertise of the operators (Christensen et al., 2009). On the other hand, on-site detection of the odor compounds is generally not practicable as the equipment (usually gas chromatography–mass spectrophotometry (GC–MS)) used for this purpose are large machines and not transportable in the field (Deng et al., 2011).

Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) has been proven to be reliable, robust, sensitive and fast (Rinta-Kanto et al., 2005; Rasmussen et al., 2008b), which may be suitable for the on-site survey of cyanobacterial blooms. In comparison with the 16S rRNA genes, which has been used extensively for the design of primers for cyanobacterial detection (Matsunaga et al., 2001; Rocap et al., 2002; Steindler et al., 2005), the gamma-unit of the DNA-dependent RNA polymerase (*rpoC₁*) gene could be a more discriminatory marker to assign cyanobacterial cultures/isolates at the genus or even the species levels (Bergsland and Haselkorn, 1991; Palenik and Haselkorn, 1992; Fergusson and Saint, 2000; Innok et al., 2005). This gene has been employed for rapid on-site monitoring of *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii* by using qPCR in reservoirs (Marbun et al., 2012). With regard to geosmin, the *geosmin synthase* gene has been discovered recently, which is responsible for biosynthesis of geosmin in cyanobacteria. It has provided the fundamental knowledge to investigate into the relationship between geosmin production potential and *geosmin synthase* gene (GSG) expression (Cane and Watt, 2003; Gust et al., 2003; Cane et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2006, 2007; Giglio et al., 2008). Moreover, the growth conditions affecting the expression of GSG in *Anabaena circinalis* have been examined (Giglio et al., 2011). These two genes would be good candidates for the development of specific PCR assays for the detection of *Anabaena* sp. and also other potential geosmin producers in the environment.

In this study, two PCR primer sets were designed to amplify a fragment of the *Anabaena rpoC₁* gene (ARG) and GSG homologs, respectively. The specificities of the *rpoC₁*-based primer set (ARG primers) and *geosmin synthase* gene based primer set (GSG primers) were verified by testing 47 and 11 cyanobacterial cultures, respectively. Both PCR assays were validated

with a culture-based experiment using a geosmin-producing strain (*A. circinalis* AWQC-ANA318) over a period of 72 days, as well as 63 field samples (7 sites $\times$ 3 repeats $\times$ 3 levels) spiked with different levels of *Anabaena* (*Anabaena spiroides* FADC-0001). This study provides a valuable on-site technique for the early monitoring of geosmin-producing *Anabaena*.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cyanobacterial cultures

Thirty *Anabaena* strains, fifteen *Microcystis* strains and two *Cylindrospermopsis* strains obtained from Australian Water Quality Centre (AWQC), Freshwater Algae Culture Collection of the Institute of Hydrobiology (FACHB) and Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences (CRAES) were used to test the specificity of ARG primers (Table 1, the AWQC-strains were performed in AWQC in 2009, while the FACHB/FADC-strains were performed in Beijing in 2012); on the other hand, eleven *Anabaena* strains were used to test the specificity of GSG primers (Table 2). *A. circinalis* strain AWQC-ANA318 was used to simulate a cyanobacterial bloom in a laboratory culture system. The strain was isolated at the AWQC in 1995 from a sample sourced from Pejar Dam in Goulburn, NSW, Australia, during a taste and odor episode (Giglio et al., 2011); In addition, *A. spiroides* strain FADC-0001 was used to validate the qPCR methods on field samples with *A. spiroides* supplemented. This strain was isolated at Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences (CRAES) from Yanghe Reservoir in north China, during a cyanobacterial bloom in 2007. The reason for choosing these two strains was that they belong to the two major bloom-forming species in South Australia (SA) (Llewellyn et al., 2001) and China, respectively (Pan et al., 2009; Li et al., 2010).

The AWQC-ANA318 strain was grown under continuous illumination (2500 lux) for 72 days at 25 °C without agitation in two crystal plastic containers 10 L, Nalgene) in ASM-1 medium (Provasoli et al., 1957), and the initial cell density of the two cultures were at 1277 and 6436 cells mL⁻¹, respectively. The cultures were sampled every 2–8 days for cell enumeration under light microscopy, geosmin analysis by GC–MS and DNA extraction for qPCR assays (the sampling was performed every 2 or 3 days in the lag phase and log phase, and the frequency decreased in the other phases; the longest interval was 8 days). On the other hand, the FADC-0001 strain was initially grown in BG-11 medium under continuous illumination for one week (Rippka et al., 1979), and subsequently added to the field samples collected from 5 freshwater ponds and 2 rivers in Beijing for qPCR applicability validation.

2.2. Field sites, sampling and cell enumeration

In order to assess the impact of biomass and particles in water on the quantification of ARG and GSG by qPCR, 5 freshwater aquaculture ponds (Weiming Lake (WML), Forest Park (FP), Yuyuantan (YYT), Houhai (HH) and Lotus Ponds (LP)) and 2 rivers (Wenyu River (WYR) and Qing River (QR)) spreading over 5 districts in Beijing, China were chosen (Fig. A.1). The pH, conductivity and salinity were determined on-site using a YSI

Table 1 – Specificity of ARG primers (AN03/06).

Strain	Genus/species	Sig. ^a	Source
FACHB-170	<i>Anabaena cylindrica</i>	++ ^b	FACHB
FACHB-190	<i>Anabaena azollae</i>	– ^c	FACHB
FACHB-245	<i>Anabaena flos-aquae</i>	++	FACHB
FACHB-251	<i>Anabaena sphaerica</i>	+	FACHB
FACHB-319	<i>Anabaena variabilis</i>	–	FACHB
FACHB-362	<i>Anabaena catenula</i>	+*	FACHB
FACHB-380	<i>Anabaena inaequalis</i>	+*	FACHB
FACHB-1096	<i>Cylindrospermopsis</i> sp.	–	FACHB
FACHB-1194	<i>Anabaena eucompacta</i>	+*	FACHB
FACHB-1199	<i>Anabaena eucompacta</i>	–	FACHB
FACHB-1219	<i>Anabaena</i> sp.	+*	FACHB
FACHB-1239	<i>Anabaena</i> sp.	++	FACHB
FACHB-1250	<i>Anabaena</i> sp.	++	FACHB
FACHB-1255	<i>Anabaena flos-aquae</i>	++	FACHB
FACHB-1263	<i>Anabaena flos-aquae</i>	++	FACHB
FADC-0001	<i>Anabaena spiroides</i>	+	CRAES
FADC-0002	<i>Microcystis</i> sp.	–	CRAES
AWQC-ANA318	<i>Anabaena circinalis</i>	+	AWQC
AWQC-ANA001	<i>Anabaena cylindrica</i>	+	AWQC
AWQC-ANA019	<i>Anabaena circinalis</i>	+	AWQC
AWQC-ANA025	<i>Anabaena oscillarioides</i>	+	AWQC
AWQC-ANA044	<i>Anabaena spiroides</i>	+	AWQC
AWQC-ANA048	<i>Anabaena affinis</i>	+	AWQC
AWQC-ANA049	<i>Anabaena circinalis</i>	+	AWQC
AWQC-ANA051	<i>Anabaena flos-aquae</i>	+	AWQC
AWQC-ANA056	<i>Anabaena spiroides</i>	+	AWQC
AWQC-ANA059	<i>Anabaena circinalis</i>	+	AWQC
AWQC-ANA217	<i>Anabaena aphanizomendoides</i>	+	AWQC
AWQC-ANA249	<i>Anabaena inaequalis</i>	+	AWQC
AWQC-ANA283	<i>Anabaena bergii</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-ANA357	<i>Anabaena azollae</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-ANA374	<i>Anabaena planktonica</i>	+	AWQC
AWQC-MIC005	<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-MIC013	<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-MIC017	<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-MIC029	<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-MIC034	<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-MIC040	<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-MIC049	<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-MIC311	<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-MIC320	<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-MIC051	<i>Microcystis flos-aquae</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-MIC053	<i>Microcystis flos-aquae</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-MIC054	<i>Microcystis flos-aquae</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-MIC055E	<i>Microcystis flos-aquae</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-MIC058	<i>Microcystis flos-aquae</i>	–	AWQC
AWQC-CYL001	<i>Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii</i>	–	AWQC

a The gel results of amplifications using conventional PCR with ARG primers AN03/06.

b +, positive result; +*, the gel showed weak signal; ++, gel showed strong signal.

c The ARG primers didn't amplify the DNA.

probe (YSI6600, USA). In total, 63 water samples (7 sites × 3 levels × 3 repeats) were taken from the surface water (0.5 m) at each site. All field samples were supplemented with different concentrations (range from 10⁶–10⁸ cells L⁻¹) of the FADC-0001 strain before analysis. Together with 32 culture samples collected from the laboratory culture system, all samples were used for cell enumeration, geosmin determination and DNA extraction.

Table 2 – Specificity of GSG primers (173AF/AR).

Strain	Genus/species	Sig. ^a	Geosmin ^b (ng L ⁻¹)
AWQC-ANA044	<i>Anabaena spiroides</i>	+	1.97
AWQC-ANA102	<i>Anabaena flos-aquae</i>	+	4.05
AWQC-ANA196	<i>Anabaena circinalis</i>	+	472.16
AWQC-ANA328	<i>Anabaena circinalis</i>	+	204.36
FACHB-1199	<i>Anabaena eucompacta</i>	–	<LOD ^c
FACHB-1219	<i>Anabaena</i> sp.	–	<LOD
FACHB-1239	<i>Anabaena</i> sp.	+	8270
FACHB-1250	<i>Anabaena</i> sp.	–	<LOD
FACHB-1255	<i>Anabaena</i> sp.	–	<LOD
FACHB-1263	<i>Anabaena flos-aquae</i>	–	<LOD
FADC-0001	<i>Anabaena spiroides</i>	+	305.1
Positive control	<i>Nostoc</i> sp.	+	+ ^d
Negative control	Milli-Q water	–	0.09 ^e

a Conventional PCR used GSG primers 173AF/AR; the melting temperature (T_m) corresponding to the target amplicon is 83 °C for *Anabaena* species and 86 °C for *Nostoc* species.

b The concentration of geosmin produced by the strains was measured by GC–MS.

c The geosmin concentration determined by GC–MS method is under the limit of detection (LOD).

d The positive control has been previously controlled for the production of geosmin and was found positive with a different melting temperature of 86 °C.

e There was residual geosmin in the water serving for analysis (0.09 ng L⁻¹), thus for the strains for which the level of geosmin detected were around 1 ng L⁻¹ is not possible to confirm true positive from negative.

Subsamples for cell enumeration were preserved with Lugol's iodine to a final concentration of 5% (Sherr and Sherr, 1993) and then kept in dark until cell counting. The algal cell density was determined by the Utermöhl technique using a Sedgewick-Rafter counting chamber under a Nikon Eclipse 50i microscope with phase contrast and bright field illumination (Hasle, 1978). A magnification of 160× and 400× was used to identify and enumerate the cells, respectively. For each sample, triplicates of 1 mL each culture were collected and counted separately.

2.3. Geosmin analysis

Filtration through poly-carbonate filters was used to separate the dissolved from the intracellular geosmin fraction of all samples. Subsamples for dissolved geosmin determination were passed through a poly-carbonate membrane (3 µm pore size, 47 mm diameter, Millipore, Bedford, Mass) applying a vacuum (quantify under 40 kPa); while unfiltered sample represent the total geosmin. Both filtered and unfiltered fractions were stored in light-blocking bottles with airtight stopper supplemented with HgCl₂ to a final concentration of 10 mg L⁻¹ to prevent biodegradation (Li et al., 2010), and then analyzed within 24 h using the solid phase micro-extraction (SPME) method coupled with gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) (Agilent 6890/5975, Agilent Tech., USA) (Liang et al., 2005; Deng et al., 2011). Intracellular geosmin concentrations were calculated by subtracting dissolved geosmin from the total geosmin values.

2.4. DNA extraction

Subsamples for genomic DNA extraction from both culture and field samples were filtered through a 5 µm hydrophilic Durapore filter (Millipore, Bedford, Mass) until the filtration pads were saturated. The filters were then placed into a sterile tube (Axygen, USA) with 180 µL of lysis buffer (DNeasy Kit 69504, Qiagen, Australia), and the cells were then broken using an ultrasonic peen with 6 cycles of 15 s on and 10 s off at 20% power (DIGITAL Sonifier S-250D, Branson, Dabury, USA). Additionally, to optimize cell lysis, 10 µL of lysozyme solution (90 mg mL⁻¹, Invitrogen, USA) and 10 µL proteinase K (DNeasy Kit 69504, Qiagen, Australia) were added to the cell preparation, and placed for incubation at 56 °C for 3 h. Finally, DNA extraction was completed using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, USA) following the protocol provided by the manufacturer. The purity of the extracted DNA varied from 1.7 to 1.9 was assessed by calculating the ratio of the absorbance measured at 260 nm (A260) to the absorbance measured at 280 nm (A280), using NanoDrop1000 spectrophotometer version 3.2.1 software (Biolab).

2.5. Primers design and specificity evaluation

For the general detection of *Anabaena* populations and their geosmin production potential, two qPCR primer sets were designed which respectively amplify specific fragments of the *rpoC1* gene coding for the gamma subunit of the RNA polymerase of *Anabaena* sp. and *geosmin synthase* gene responsible for the biosynthesis of geosmin in cyanobacteria. The sequences are as follows: ARG forward primer AN03 (5'-TGTGGCTCATGTTTGGTATCTC-3') and reverse primer AN06 (5'-CCAATACCCACTTCCACACC-3'); GSG forward primer 173AF (5'-TGTGAGTACCCAAGAGG-3') and 173AR (5'-CTGCCAATCCTGAAGTCCTTT-3') (Giglio et al., 2011).

As shown in Table 1, thirty *Anabaena* strains, fifteen *Microcystis* strains and two *Cylindrospermopsis* strains were used to test the specificity of the ARG primer set with conventional PCR coupled with gel electrophoresis (agarose 1% v/v). At the same time, eleven *Anabaena* strains were used to verify the specificity of the GSG primer set; in addition, a melting curve analysis, which was developed in 1990s and has been used to distinguish different PCR products based on GC/AT ratio, length and sequence recently (Ririe et al., 1997; Rasmussen et al., 2007), was run at the end of qPCR runs to verify the melting temperature (T_m) of the amplicons for the four AWQC strains (AWQC-ANA044/102/196/328). The T_m corresponding to the target amplicon is 83 °C for *Anabaena* species and 86 °C for the positive control of *Nostoc* sp. So only the samples showed a unique peak at the right temperature (83 °C) were considered as positive (Table 2). The 25 µL PCR mixture included 1× PCR buffer (Takara, Dalian, China), 0.2 µL dNTPs (Takara, Dalian, China), 1.25 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Takara, Dalian, China), 0.2 µM of each primer (AN03/06 for ARG qPCR assay, 173AF/AR for GSG qPCR assay) and 2 µL of template DNA. The amplification conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation step of 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s, and a final extension step of 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were then used to run gel electrophoresis.

2.6. Standards for qPCR analysis and qPCR protocol

The DNA extracted from two strains AWQC-ANA318 and FADC-0001 were used as standards for both qPCR assays. The standard curves were determined by correlation between target genes (copy number in one reaction) and threshold cycle (C_T) value in a ten-fold serial dilution of *Anabaena* DNA. The laboratory culture and field samples were subsequently qualified based on their C_T values. Equation (1) is the calculation of *Anabaena* genome copy number (N) in one qPCR reaction.

$$N(\text{copy}) = \frac{N_A(\text{copy mol}^{-1})c_{\text{DNA}}(\text{ng } \mu\text{L}^{-1})v_{\text{DNA}}(\mu\text{L})}{L(\text{bp})M_{\text{DNA}}(\text{g mol}^{-1} \text{bp}^{-1})} \times 10^{-9}(\text{g ng}^{-1}) \quad (1)$$

In this equation, N_A represents Avogadro constant, c_{DNA} represents the DNA template concentration in ng µL⁻¹, v_{DNA} represents the DNA template volume in one qPCR reaction, L represents the *Anabaena* genome length in base pair (bp) and M_{DNA} represents the molecular mass of 1 bp dsDNA. In this study, the calculations are based on a genome size of 4.5 Mb bp for *Anabaena* sp. (Moustafa et al., 2009), and single copy ARG and GSG present in one *Anabaena* genome (Xie et al., 1989; Bergsland and Haselkorn, 1991).

The qPCR reactions were performed on four replicates using a Rotor-Gene Q instrument (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands). Each qPCR reaction was performed in 25 µL which consisted of 1.5 mM MgCl₂ (Invitrogen, USA), 1× PCR buffer (Invitrogen, USA), 0.2 mM dNTPs (Invitrogen, USA), 0.2 µM of each primer (AN03/06 for ARG qPCR, 173AF/AR for GSG qPCR), 1 U of Platinum Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, USA), 2 µL of template DNA, and 2.5 µM SYTO9 (Invitrogen, USA). The amplification conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation step of 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 45 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 52 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s, and a final extension step of DNA melting analysis from 75 °C to 95 °C, with data being acquired every degree with a 10 s hold at each step. All data were acquired on the “FAM3” channel, with excitation at 470 nm and emission at 510 nm.

2.7. Statistics

The log–log regression, t-test, ANOVA and the figures in this study were performed using the R 2.13.1 system for statistical analysis (R Development Core Team, 2011).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Applicability of the designed primers

In order to evaluate the specificity of the AN03/06 primers, genomic DNA from 30 *Anabaena* strains and 17 other strains was firstly amplified by conventional PCR. Gel electrophoresis was performed for all the amplification products to verify the length of the amplicons. Five *Anabaena* strains (FACHB-190, FACHB-319, FACHB-1199, AWQC-ANA283, AWQC-ANA357) belonging to *Anabaena azollae*, *Anabaena variabilis*, *Anabaena eucompacta* and *Anabaena bergii* failed to give positive amplifications, while all the other *Anabaena* strains showed positive

results with a single band at the right size around 200 bp (only the gel electrophoresis results of FACHB-collections and FADC-collections are shown in Fig. A.2), although the bands of four strains (FACHB-362 *Anabaena catenula*, FACHB-380 *Anabaena inaequalis*, FACHB-1194 *A. eucompacta* and FACHB-1219 *A. sp.*) were relatively weak on gel. On the other hand, no amplification product was observed for *Microcystis* strains and *Cylindrospermopsis* strains (Table 1). The results imply that the ARG primers are capable of amplifying most *Anabaena* species. It should be noted that, the *rpoC1* gene of three frequently reported bloom-forming species of *A. circinalis* (strain AWQC-ANA318), *A. spiroides* (strain FADC-0001) and *Anabaena flos-aquae* could be well amplified by the ARG primers.

As shown in Table 2, six of 11 *Anabaena* strains possessing geosmin producing potentials ($1.97\text{--}8270\text{ ng L}^{-1}$) exhibited positive PCR results on gel electrophoresis, while the other 5 strains showing no geosmin producing potential (less than 1.0 ng L^{-1}) exhibited negative results (only the results of FACHB-collections and FADC-0001 are shown in Fig. A.3). In addition, the melting curve analysis results exhibited distinct different in the melting temperatures (T_m) between the amplicon of *Nostoc sp.* (86°C) and those of the four AWQC *Anabaena* strains (83°C), showing that the melting analysis may also be used for differentiating the *Anabaena* species between each other. Overall, the GSG primers are capable of amplifying the *geosmin* synthesis genes of the *Anabaena* species by coupling with melting curve analysis, and that could be used as a potential tool for geosmin detection in natural water bodies.

In 2003 a sesquiterpene protein domain in *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2) was linked to the presence of the taste and odor compound geosmin (Cane and Watt, 2003; Gust et al., 2003). Since then the gene responsible for the biosynthesis of geosmin (*geo* gene) has been extensively characterized (Cane

et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2006, 2007). In 2007 two *geoA*-like genes were detected in a strain of *Phormidium* (cyanobacteria, Oscillatoriales) (Ludwig et al., 2007) but their function in cyanobacteria were not elucidated until full characterization of the *geoA* gene was performed in *Nostoc punctiforme* (Giglio et al., 2008). Since then the expression of these genes has been studied in a single strain of *Anabaena* (Giglio et al., 2011), which was demonstrated that the expression of the *geosmin* gene appears to be constitutive in nature. While in recent years some studies established quantitative PCR assays to detect and measure the production of geosmin by *Streptomyces* in the environment (Auffret et al., 2011; Lylloff et al., 2012); to the best of our knowledge, no peer reviewed study has displayed a quantitative PCR assay to detect the production of geosmin specifically by cyanobacteria. The present study is the first qPCR assays for the detection and quantification of the cyanobacterial *geosmin* synthase in waters. Moreover, the ARG qPCR assay allows the detection of several *Anabaena* species, major bloom-forming genus and confirmed geosmin producers. Combined, these two assays allow the monitoring of the population of *Anabaena* at the same time as the production of geosmin. The comparison of the two results therefore assists in determining if *Anabaena* is responsible for the release of geosmin in the environment.

3.2. Validation of the qPCR assays

The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) of both ARG and GSG qPCR assays were obtained by testing the 10 fold serial dilutions of AWQC-ANA318 genomic DNA range from 0.02 pg (approx. 4 genome copy) to 2.0×10^4 pg (approx. 4×10^6 genome copy) DNA in one qPCR reaction. As shown in Table 3, the standard errors of C_T values were increasing along

Table 3 – The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) of ARG and GSG qPCR assays.

	DNA quantity target ^a (pg)	C_T target ^b	DNA quantity target qPCR ^{c,b} (gene copy number)	CV (%)	% Positive samples	Lower than LOD? ^d	Lower than LOQ? ^e
ARG assay ^f	2.00E-02	34.7 ± 0.63	4.34E+00 ± 1.82	42%	94%	No	Yes
	2.00E-01	31.4 ± 0.32	3.95E+01 ± 0.99	25%	100%	No	No
	2.00E+00	28.0 ± 0.18	3.80E+02 ± 0.51	13%	100%	No	No
	2.00E+01	24.4 ± 0.1	4.65E+03 ± 0.31	7%	100%	No	No
	2.00E+02	21.6 ± 0.15	3.28E+04 ± 0.36	11%	100%	No	No
	2.00E+03	18.0 ± 0.14	3.93E+05 ± 0.38	10%	100%	No	No
	2.00E+04	15.0 ± 0.09	3.15E+06 ± 0.19	6%	100%	No	No
GSG assay ^g	2.00E-02	37.7 ± 0.37	4.35E+00 ± 1.20	28%	96%	No	Yes
	2.00E-01	34.6 ± 0.22	3.79E+01 ± 0.66	17%	100%	No	No
	2.00E+00	31.2 ± 0.16	4.10E+02 ± 0.45	11%	100%	No	No
	2.00E+01	27.9 ± 0.05	4.08E+03 ± 0.14	3%	100%	No	No
	2.00E+02	24.7 ± 0.08	3.83E+04 ± 0.22	6%	100%	No	No
	2.00E+03	21.2 ± 0.10	4.51E+05 ± 0.30	7%	100%	No	No
	2.00E+04	18.3 ± 0.05	3.51E+06 ± 0.12	4%	100%	No	No

a Measured by spectrophotometry.

b Data are mean standard deviations.

c Determined by corresponding qPCR assay.

d A sample is under the limit of detection (LOD) when less than 90% of positive controls are showing significant fluorescence.

e A sample is under the limit of quantification (LOQ) when a coefficient of variation (CV) higher than 25% is observed.

f The *Anabaena rpoC1* gene qPCR assay with the ARG primers AN03 and AN06.

g The *geosmin* synthase gene qPCR assay with the GSG primers 173AF and 173AR.

with the fold number dilutions of DNA, which results in an increasing error of qPCR results. Over 90% of the DNA samples showed fluorescence signals for both assays at a DNA concentration over 0.02 pg level; On the other hand, 25% or lower coefficient of variation (CV) of C_T values were obtained at 0.2 pg DNA level for both assays. Thus, the LOD and LOQ of both methods were 0.02 pg DNA and 0.2 pg DNA, respectively. The LOQ of 0.2 pg is around 40 copy number of target gene in one reaction for both qPCR assays, suggesting that the methods are capable of determining low concentration of target genes in natural samples.

3.3. Standard curves and linearity for the quantification of *Anabaena* sp. and geosmin using qPCR

According to LOQ of both qPCR assays, the standard curves were constructed with 10 fold serial dilution of extracted genomic DNA from the AWQC-ANA318 strain and FADC-0001 strain (data not shown), respectively (Fig. 1). The range of DNA concentration in one reaction is from 0.2 pg (40 copies) to 20 ng (4.0×10^6 copies) for ARG qPCR, and from 2 pg (400 copies) to 20 ng (4.0×10^6 copies) for GSG qPCR assay. The following linear relationships between cycle threshold (C_T) and the log of the gene copies were obtained: $C_T = -3.34 \log \rho_p + 36.65$ ($r^2 = 0.999$) with an efficiency of 99% for ARG qPCR assay and $C_T = -3.27 \log \gamma_p + 39.71$ ($r^2 = 0.999$) with an efficiency of 102% for GSG qPCR assay, respectively. These results proved that the two qPCR assays developed in this study are reliable for the quantification of *Anabaena* population and geosmin producing potential.

3.4. Quantification of ARG and comparison with microscopic count

Using DNA extracted from 32 AWQC-ANA318 culture samples from the laboratory simulated bloom, amplification results for

Fig. 1 – Standard curves for ARG (top) and GSG (bottom) by qPCR with 10 fold serial dilutions of AWQC-ANA318 cultures. ρ_p is the ARG copy numbers in one qPCR reaction, and C_T is the cycle threshold of qPCR amplifications. Error bars stand for the standard deviations (SD) from four independent amplifications (r , correlation coefficient; S , regression slope; B , intercept; e , amplification efficiencies).

the ARG qPCR assay were compared to cell density determined by microscopic count.

3.4.1. In vitro study

Two independent AWQC-ANA318 cultures named AE1 and AE2 were used to simulate the *Anabaena* blooms in laboratory. The initial cell densities of the two cultures were $1.28 (\pm 0.09) \times 10^6$ and $6.44 (\pm 0.04) \times 10^6$ cells L^{-1} (microscopic count), respectively (Fig. 2, solid line); the cultures were then kept in log-phase for three weeks, forming a bloom-like population. Though the concentrations of the two independent cultures were initially different, the cell density showed no significant difference after 4 weeks ($p = 0.13$), when the stationary-phase started. From week 5 to week 8, both cultures showed a slight decrease of cell density; however, a second growth phase occurred for both cultures, most likely due to the release of the nutrients from dead cells.

During the simulated *Anabaena* bloom, the cell density of the AWQC-ANA318 cultures varied from approximately 1×10^6 – 1×10^9 cells L^{-1} (Fig. 2); on the other hand, the ARG concentrations, obtained by ARG qPCR assay, varied from 1×10^6 – 1×10^{11} copies L^{-1} . A positive log–log correlation was found between the data sets determined by the two different methods ($r^2 = 0.726$, $p < 0.001$), as shown in Equation (2).

$$\log(\rho_m) = 0.6346 \log(\rho_p) + 1.919 \quad (2)$$

In this equation ρ_p and ρ_m represent respectively the *Anabaena* $rpoC_1$ gene density obtained from the qPCR method and the cell density determined by microscopic count. At the same time, the comparison was plotted in Fig. 3 (filled circle), where the thick solid line is the log–log regression line, and the two thin long dashed lines are the 99% confidence interval (CI).

Fig. 2 – The cell density (ρ_m , solid lines) and geosmin concentration (intracellular geosmin, γ_g , dashed lines; extracellular geosmin, γ'_g , dot-dashed lines) of two independent AWQC-ANA318 culture samples (AE1 ● and AE2 ○) during the cultivation. The values were obtained by microscopic count and GC–MS method. Error bars represent the standard deviations from 3 independent results for cell counts and two parallel samples for geosmin data.

Fig. 3 – Comparison of the *Anabaena* cell density and ARG copy numbers. The data are 32 samples of AWQC-ANA318 strain from laboratory system (●) and 63 field samples spiked with FADC-0001 strain from field freshwater system (○). ρ_p (x-axis) is the ARG copy numbers determined by ARG qPCR assay, and ρ_m (y-axis) is the *Anabaena* cell density obtained by microscopic count. Error bars stand for the standard deviation from 3 independent microscopic count data and 6 independent ARG copy numbers. The log–log regression lines are based on the comparison between ρ_m and ρ_p of culture samples (thick solid line) and field samples (thick dot-dashed line), and the curves are the 99% confident interval (CI) of the two regression lines (culture, thin dashed lines; field, thin dot-dashed lines).

According to the equation, approximately 5–100 ARG copies were present in one AWQC-ANA318 cell.

3.4.2. Validation on field samples

In order to evaluate the inhibition factor linked to the quality of field water samples, 63 field samples were tested using both

methods; the samples were collected from 5 freshwater ponds and 2 rivers which showed greatly dissimilar physiochemical properties and ecological bio-community compositions. As shown in Table 4, QR showed the lowest pH level (6.71) and highest conductivity ($1.192 \text{ ms } \mu\text{s}^{-1}$) and salinity (0.59 ng L^{-1}), and contained diverse algal species with *Fragilaria* as the dominant one (Morales, 2005); HH exhibited the highest pH and low salinity and conductivity, and was dominated by *Melosira*, a filamentous diatom with thick cell walls; FP and LP exhibited high algal density and were dominated by *Lyngbya*, which could temporarily monopolize aquatic ecosystems when they form dense floating mats in water (Beer et al., 1986); WML was dominated by *Pediastrum*, a genus of green algae commonly present in freshwater microhabitats (Haas, 1996). *Chlamydomonas*, a genus of green algae consisting of unicellular flagellates (Harris et al., 1989), was dominant in YYT; and *Euglena*, a widely studied member of the phylum *Euglenozoa* (Cramer and Myers, 1952), was dominant in WYR. With regard to bio-community characteristics, only 4 species were observed in QR with a diversity index of 1.46; however, FP, LP, YYT and WML showed a much higher Richness index (Colwell, 2009) around 20, while the diversity index (Shannon et al., 1949) varied from 1.35 to 10.62, implying a greatly dissimilar traits between sites.

The field samples were initially spiked with different concentrations of FADC-0001 cells, then the cell density was determined by microscopic count, and the ARG density was obtained by ARG qPCR assay. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to evaluate the effects of the background biomass. $\iota_1 (= \log(\rho_p/\rho_m))$ is logarithmic ARG copy density normalized by cell density, the mean ι_1 of each site are in the range of 1.17 (FP) to 1.54 (QR), and the ANOVA result showed no significant difference between the sites ($F = 1.003$, $p = 0.43$, Fig. A.4). The evaluation of the effect from the cell density on amplification was performed using the ANOVA; the samples were grouped by 3 levels (L_1 : bottom 1/3, $< 8.0 \times 10^7 \text{ cells L}^{-1}$, L_2 : middle 1/3, $< 3.3 \times 10^8 \text{ cells L}^{-1}$ and L_3 : top 1/3, $< 3.0 \times 10^9 \text{ cells L}^{-1}$) of FADC-0001 cell density, showing no significant difference between L_1 and L_2 ($F = 1.614$, $p = 0.212$),

Table 4 – The physicochemical properties and ecological bio-community compositions of field sampling sites.

Site	Depth (m)	Salinity (ng L^{-1})	pH	Conductivity ($\text{ms } \mu\text{s}^{-1}$)	Algal density (cells L^{-1})	Dominant genus	Other present genera	R ^a	S–W index ^b
WML	< 5	0.29	7.14	0.598	8,925,000	<i>Pediastrum</i>	<i>Chlorococcales</i> , <i>Synedra</i> , <i>Scenedesmus</i> , <i>Diatoma</i> , etc.	18	6.44
FP	< 3	0.29	7.14	0.598	461,100,000	<i>Lyngbya</i>	<i>Synedra</i> , <i>Scenedesmus</i> , <i>Merismopedia</i> , <i>Selenastrum</i> , etc.	22	1.99
YYT	< 4	0.2	7.09	0.414	9,390,000	<i>Chlamydomonas</i>	<i>Cyclotella</i> , <i>Scenedesmus</i> , <i>Melosira</i> , <i>Diatoma</i> , etc.	19	10.62
HH	< 2	0.2	7.82	0.42	2,600,000	<i>Melosira</i>	<i>Diatoma</i> , <i>Cyclotella</i> , <i>Pediastrum</i> , <i>Scenedesmus</i> , etc.	16	8.41
LP	< 5	0.39	7.25	0.797	301,700,000	<i>Lyngbya</i>	<i>Aphanocapsa</i> , <i>Merismopedia</i> , <i>Scenedesmus</i> , <i>Pediastrum</i> , etc.	20	1.35
WYR	–	0.41	7.53	0.834	4,701,000	<i>Euglena</i>	<i>Frustulia</i> , <i>Aphanizomenon</i> , <i>Synedra</i> , <i>Cyclotella</i> , etc.	8	1.63
QR	–	0.59	6.71	1.192	4,750,000	<i>Fragilaria</i>	<i>Cyclotella</i> , <i>Scenedesmus</i> , <i>Melosira</i>	4	1.46

a The Richness index, the number of genus/species per sample (Colwell, 2009).

b The Shannon–Weaver diversity index (Shannon et al., 1949).

but significant difference between L_1/L_2 and L_3 ($F = 13.7$, $p < 0.01$). The results indicate that the impact of the inhibition due to the background biomass was not the most important issue here, while *Anabaena* cell density should be taken into consideration to get a better estimation.

The compared data are shown in Fig. 3 (hollow circle), where the thick dot-dashed line is the log–log regression model, and the thin dot-dashed curves are the 99% CI. The qPCR results were in agreement with microscopic count ($\log \rho_m = 0.7301 \log \rho_p + 1.181$, $r^2 = 0.906$, $p < 0.001$); furthermore, the regression line of field samples was consistent with that of culture sample, indicating that the ARG qPCR assay is a good potential tool to track down the *Anabaena* population in natural water bodies.

Previous studies have established qPCR methods for the quantification of algal cells in water with a correlation coefficient between 0.6 and 0.9 (Behets et al., 2007; Koskeniemi et al., 2007). In comparison, this study has used a larger sample set, and it provides a relatively high correlation coefficient, which could be attributed to the highly discriminatory *rpoC1* gene providing sufficient sequence variation to assign more specifically cyanobacteria. Thus, the ARG qPCR assay represents a useful tool to track down *Anabaena* especially during a bloom episode.

3.5. Quantification of GSG and comparison with the GC–MS results

3.5.1. Laboratory study

The geosmin concentrations of two independent AWQC-ANA318 cultures are shown in Fig. 2 (intracellular geosmin: dashed line, extracellular geosmin: dotted line); the intracellular geosmin increased along with the bloom stage and cell density, while the extracellular geosmin concentration increased during the first 7 weeks, and then decreased to a very low level after 3 weeks. The intracellular geosmin concentrations of these cultures were in the range from 1×10^2 to 1×10^5 ng L⁻¹, thus the GSG qPCR assay was valid for the quantification of geosmin production. However, most of geosmin (82.9%–100.0%, data shown in Table A.1) was present within the cells, which was consistent with previous reports (Wu and Jüttner, 1988; Zhang et al., 2009; Li et al., 2010; Giglio et al., 2011), thus the intracellular geosmin is more representative of the population growth and health.

On the other hand, the GSG density in culture samples was determined by GSG qPCR assay, which was then compared with the geosmin concentration measured by GC–MS. We found that the GSG density exhibited stronger correlation with the intracellular geosmin concentration ($r^2 = 0.742$, $p < 0.01$, Equation (3)) than extracellular geosmin ($r^2 = 0.253$, $p < 0.01$, Fig. A.6), possibly due to the rapid biodegradation of extracellular geosmin (Li et al., 2010).

$$\log(\gamma_g) = 0.664 \log(\gamma_p) - 2.100 \quad (3)$$

In this equation γ_g represents the intracellular geosmin concentration obtained by GC–MS method in ng L⁻¹ and γ_p represents the GSG copy number obtained by qPCR method in copies L⁻¹. Fig. 4 shows the comparison between GSG copy number (γ_p , x-axis) and intracellular geosmin

Fig. 4 – Quantification of GSG copy numbers (γ_p , x-axis) by GSG qPCR assay and the relation with intracellular geosmin concentration (γ_g , y-axis) determined by GC–MS method. The determined data are 32 culture samples of AWQC-ANA318 strain from laboratory system (●) and 63 field samples spiked with FADC-0001 strain from the field freshwater system (○). Error bars stand for the standard deviations from 3 independent geosmin concentration data and 6 independent GSG copy numbers. The log–log regression is used to explain the relations between GSG copy numbers and intracellular geosmin concentration for culture samples (thick solid line) and field samples (thick dot-dashed line), the curves are the 99% confident interval (CI) of two regression lines (culture, thin dashed lines; field, thin dot-dashed lines).

concentration (γ_g , y-axis) of the culture samples. According to the log–log model, the intracellular geosmin production potential was in the range of 1–40 fg geosmin per copy GSG. Li et al. (2010) reported that the average geosmin production potential for *A. spiroides* cells during a bloom in 2007 was approximately 0.1 pg cell⁻¹.

3.5.2. Validation on field study

The 63 field samples spiked with FADC-0001 cells were used to evaluate the applicability of GSG qPCR assay on environmental samples, by comparing the obtained results with geosmin concentration determined by GC–MS. Firstly, ANOVA was performed to analyze the impact of the background biomass. $\iota_2 (= \log(\gamma_g/\gamma_p))$ is the logarithmic geosmin production potential of single GSG copy, the mean ι_2 of all sites are in the range of 4.96 (QR) to 5.7 (WML, Fig. A.5), and the ANOVA showed no significant difference between the sites ($F = 2.27$, $p = 0.053$).

Fig. 4 illustrates the comparison between the GSG copy numbers determined by qPCR and geosmin concentration; the results were significantly correlated ($\log \gamma_g = 0.763 \log \gamma_p - 2.98$, $r^2 = 0.694$, $p < 0.001$, thick dot-dashed line). Compared to culture samples (filled circle), the field samples (hollow circle) showed a wider distribution pattern. The highest intracellular geosmin was about 1×10^6 ng L⁻¹, which is beyond the range of the culture samples and such

concentration usually rarely occurs in natural waters; at this level, the GSG density showed a much higher variance than the geosmin concentration measured by GC–MS, which could be due to the inhibition caused by high concentration of DNA. As a follow-up research of the expression of GSG in *A. circinalis* (Giglio et al., 2011), this study provides justification for the use of the GSG qPCR method as a useful predictive tool to evaluate the geosmin production in fresh water.

3.6. Methods applicability analysis

Although the two qPCR assays exhibited the capabilities to estimate the *Anabaena* population level and geosmin concentration, respectively, relatively high variances were observed in qPCR assays in comparison with the traditional microscopic counting and GC–MS analysis methods, which could compromise the applicability of the qPCR assay methods. Therefore identifying the potential sources of variances is very important in this study. On one hand, the field samples were consistent with the culture samples, implying the inhibition by background biomass is not the major cause; on the other hand, there was very high consistency between the ARG and GSG densities in both the culture (Fig. 5 $r^2 = 0.9455$, $p < 0.001$) and field samples (Fig. A.7), implying that the main variances are caused by DNA extraction other than the amplification process (since the two qPCR assays shared the sample DNA in this study). In addition, apart from the fact that inhibition is usually more important with high concentration of DNA in qPCR assays, the increased variability observed on the samples with high DNA concentration could be explained by the fact that the commercial DNA extraction kit used for this study was not well adapted for the extraction of samples with high density cells (the reason could be the variance of DNA concentration is strongly affected by operation steps of DNA extraction, while commercial DNA extraction kit usually has 10–20 steps to get a high extraction efficiency, but also raises the variances greatly). Therefore, a

Fig. 5 – The qPCR variance analysis. The comparison of ARG (right) and GSG (left) copy numbers determined by ARG qPCR assay and GSG qPCR assay, respectively; the data are of the 32 culture samples from the laboratory system.

simple (less steps) method for DNA extraction with relative lower efficiency is a potential solution. A microwave-based method has been shown to be promising for the extraction of cyanobacterial DNA for qPCR amplifications (Orsini and Romano-Spica, 2001; Rasmussen et al., 2008a); moreover, the two qPCR assays developed in the present study are capable of determining low concentration of DNA as they both have low LODs. Thus, the micro-based method would very likely be applicable in conjunction with the two qPCR assays.

Recent studies have shown that the qPCR assay could be used for the quantification of the toxic *Microcystis* sp. (Rintakanto et al., 2005), and cylindrospermopsin-producing cyanobacteria (Behets et al., 2007). In comparison with the approaches proposed in the previous studies, the method developed in this study allows the simultaneous detection of the *Anabaena* sp. and the geosmin-producing potential using the same qPCR protocol. This merit is very important since quantifying both the odor-causing algae and their odor production potential will be necessary during an odor episode caused by algae. In addition, the ARG and GSG primers are specific to the *rpoC1* gene and *geosmin synthase* gene, which are corresponding to amplify several important *Anabaena* species including *A. circinalis*, *A. spiroides* and *A. flos-aquae* etc., and *Anabaena* geosmin-producing potentials, respectively; besides, the LODs and LOQs were very low for both assays, making it possible to track down all *Anabaena* blooming stages even under the presence of abundant other algal species. Thus, the two assays represent a useful tool to evaluate the *Anabaena* population and its contribution to the release of geosmin in natural water.

4. Conclusion

The opportunity for rapid monitoring of potential geosmin-producing *Anabaena* sp. could greatly improve the capacity for management of freshwater resources used for both drinking water supplies and other uses such as industry and aquaculture. The present work provided two qPCR assays able to identify *Anabaena* sp., a major taste and odor producing cyanobacteria as well as the *geosmin synthase* gene in freshwater system for the first time in a peer reviewed study. Both assays were proved to be reliable and showed a good correlation with cell density and geosmin concentration using microscope and GC–MS techniques, respectively. These two assays represent reliable new tools for the monitoring of geosmin-producing *Anabaena* populations.

Acknowledgment

We greatly thank CRAES for providing two strains FADC-0001 and FADC-0002 in this study. We would like to express our gratitude to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (50938007) and Sinotopia project (6002GJHZ1203), and the AWQC for their support during this study. We would like also to thank the Australian Department of Innovation, Industry, Science and Research for the funding of this work (funding agreement CH080202).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.03.043>.

REFERENCES

- Auffret, M., Pilote, A., Proulx, É., Proulx, D., Vandenberg, G., Villemur, R., 2011. Establishment of a real-time PCR method for quantification of geosmin-producing *Streptomyces* spp. in recirculating aquaculture systems. *Water Research* 45 (20), 6753–6762. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S004313541100618X>.
- Battocchi, C., Totti, C., Vila, M., Maso, M., Capellacci, S., Accoroni, S., Rene, A., Scardi, M., Penna, A., July 2010. Monitoring toxic microalgae *Ostreopsis* (dinoflagellate) species in coastal waters of the Mediterranean sea using molecular PCR-based assay combined with light microscopy. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 60 (7), 1074–1084.
- Beer, S., Spencer, W., Bowes, G., July 1986. Photosynthesis and growth of the filamentous blue-green-alga *Lyngbya-birgei* in relation to its environment. *Journal of Aquatic Plant Management* 24, 61–65.
- Behets, J., Declerck, P., Delaedt, Y., Verelst, L., Ollevier, F., 2007. A duplex real-time PCR assay for the quantitative detection of *Naegleria fowleri* in water samples. *Water Research* 41 (1), 118–126. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0043135406005598>.
- Bergsland, K.J., Haselkorn, R., 1991. Evolutionary relationships among eubacteria, cyanobacteria, and chloroplasts: evidence from the *rpoc1* gene of *Anabaena* sp. strain pcc 7120. *Journal of Bacteriology* 173 (11), 3446–3455. URL: <http://jb.asm.org/content/173/11/3446.abstract>.
- Cane, D.E., He, X., Kobayashi, S., ōmura, S., Ideda, H., 2006. Geosmin biosynthesis in *Streptomyces avermitilis*. Molecular cloning, expression, and mechanistic study of the germacradienol/geosmin synthase. *The Journal of Antibiotics* 59 (8), 471–479.
- Cane, D.E., Watt, R.M., 2003. Expression and mechanistic analysis of a germacradienol synthase from *Streptomyces coelicolor* implicated in geosmin biosynthesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 100 (4), 1547–1551. URL: <http://www.pnas.org/content/100/4/1547.abstract>.
- Christensen, E.R., Kusk, K.O., Nyholm, N., 2009. Dose-response regressions for algal growth and similar continuous endpoints: calculation of effective concentrations. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 28 (4), 826–835. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1897/08-068R.1>.
- Colwell, R.K., 2009. Biodiversity: concepts, patterns, and measurement. *The Princeton Guide to Ecology*, 257–263.
- Cramer, M., Myers, J., 1952. Growth and photosynthetic characteristics of *euglena gracilis*. *Archiv für Mikrobiologie* 17, 384–402. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00410835>.
- Deng, X., Liang, G., Chen, J., Qi, M., Xie, P., 2011. Simultaneous determination of eight common odors in natural water body using automatic purge and trap coupled to gas chromatography with mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1218 (24), 3791–3798. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021967311005516>.
- Fergusson, K.M., Saint, C.P., 2000. Molecular phylogeny of *Anabaena circinalis* and its identification in environmental samples by PCR. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 66 (9), 4145–4148. URL: <http://aem.asm.org/content/66/9/4145.abstract>.
- Giglio, S., Jiang, J., Saint, C.P.S., Cane, D.E., Monis, P.T., 2008. Isolation and characterization of the gene associated with geosmin production in cyanobacteria. *Environmental Science and Technology* 42 (21), 8027–8032. URL: <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es801465w>.
- Giglio, S., Saint, C.P., Monis, P.T., 2011. Expression of the geosmin synthase gene in the cyanobacterium *Anabaena circinalis* awqc318. *Journal of Phycology* 47 (6), 1338–1343. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2011.01061.x>.
- Gust, B., Challis, G.L., Fowler, K., Kieser, T., Chater, K.F., 2003. Pcr-targeted *Streptomyces* gene replacement identifies a protein domain needed for biosynthesis of the sesquiterpene soil odor geosmin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 100 (4), 1541–1546. URL: <http://www.pnas.org/content/100/4/1541.abstract>.
- Haas, J.N., 1996. *Neorhabdocoela* oocytes – palaeoecological indicators found in pollen preparations from holocene freshwater lake sediments. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 91 (1–4), 371–382. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034666795000747>.
- Harris, E.H., Stern, D.B., Witman, G.B., 1989. *The Chlamydomonas Sourcebook*. Cambridge Univ Press.
- Hasle, G.R., 1978. In: Sournia, A. (Ed.), *Phytoplankton Manual*. Unesco, Paris.
- Ho, L., Hoefel, D., Bock, F., Saint, C.P., Newcombe, G., 2007. Biodegradation rates of 2-methylisoborneol (mib) and geosmin through sand filters and in bioreactors. *Chemosphere* 66 (11), 2210–2218. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0045653506011428>.
- Hotzel, G., Croome, R., 1994. Long-term phytoplankton monitoring of the Darling River at Burtundy, New-South-Wales – incidence and significance of cyanobacterial blooms. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 45 (5), 747–759.
- Humbert, J., Quiblier, C., Gugger, M., 2010. Molecular approaches for monitoring potentially toxic marine and freshwater phytoplankton species. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* 397, 1723–1732. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00216-010-3642-7>.
- Innok, S., Matsumura, M., Boonkerd, N., Teaumroong, N., 2005. Detection of microcystin in lake sediment using molecular genetic techniques. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 21, 1559–1568. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11274-005-7893-y>.
- Jiang, J., He, X., Cane, D.E., 2006. Geosmin biosynthesis. *Streptomyces coelicolor* germacradienol/germacrene D synthase converts farnesyl diphosphate to geosmin. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 128 (25), 8128–8129. URL: <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ja062669x>.
- Jiang, J., He, X., Cane, D.E., 2007. Biosynthesis of the earthy odorant geosmin by a bifunctional *Streptomyces coelicolor* enzyme. *Nature Chemical Biology* 3 (11), 711–715.
- Koskeniemi, K., Lyra, C., Rajaniemi-Wacklin, P., Jokela, J., Sivonen, K., 2007. Quantitative real-time PCR detection of toxic *Nodularia* cyanobacteria in the Baltic Sea. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 73 (7), 2173–2179. URL: <http://aem.asm.org/content/73/7/2173.abstract>.
- Krienitz, L., Ballot, A., Wiegand, C., Kotut, K., Codd, G., Pflugmacher, S., Dec 2002. Cyanotoxin-producing bloom of *Anabaena flos-aquae*, *Anabaena discoidea* and *Microcystis aeruginosa* (Cyanobacteria) in Nyanza Gulf of Lake Victoria, Kenya. *Journal of Applied Botany-Angewandte Botanik* 76 (5–6), 179–183.
- Kuosa, H., 1991. A bloom of the blue-green alga *Anabaena lemmermannii*-var-*minor* Nostocophyceae in the Gennarbyviken fresh-water reservoir southern Finland. *Memoranda Societatis pro Fauna et Flora Fennica* 67, 147–149.
- Li, Z., Yu, J., Yang, M., Zhang, J., Burch, M.D., Han, W., 2010. Cyanobacterial population and harmful metabolites dynamics

- during a bloom in Yanghe Reservoir, North China. *Harmful Algae* 9 (5), 481–488. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1568988310000260>.
- Liang, C., Wang, D., Yang, M., Sun, W., Zhang, S., 2005. Removal of earthly-must odorants in drinking water by powdered activated carbon. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health* 40 (4), 767–778.
- Llewellyn, L.E., Negri, A.P., Doyle, J., Baker, P.D., Beltran, E.C., Neilan, B.A., 2001. Radioreceptor assays for sensitive detection and quantitation of saxitoxin and its analogues from strains of the freshwater cyanobacterium, *Anabaena circinalis*. *Environmental Science and Technology* 35 (7), 1445–1451. URL: <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es001575z>.
- Ludwig, F., Medger, A., Börnick, H., Opitz, M., Lang, K., Göttfert, M., Röske, I., 2007. Identification and expression analyses of putative sesquiterpene synthase genes in *Phormidium* sp. and prevalence of *geoA*-like genes in a drinking water reservoir. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 73 (21), 6988–6993. URL: <http://aem.asm.org/content/73/21/6988.abstract>.
- Lylloff, J.E., Mogensen, M.H., Burford, M.A., Schluter, L., Jorgensen, N.O.G., 2012. Detection of aquatic streptomycetes by quantitative PCR for prediction of taste-and-odour episodes in water reservoirs. *Journal of Water Supply Research and Technology-Aqua* 61 (5), 272–282.
- Marbun, Y.R., Yen, H.-K., Lin, T.-F., Lin, H.-L., Michinaka, A., 2012. Rapid on-site monitoring of cylindrospermopsin-producers in reservoirs using quantitative PCR. *Sustainable Environment Research* 22 (3), 143–151.
- Matsunaga, T., Takeyama, H., Nakayama, H., 2001. 16s rRNA-targeted identification of cyanobacterial genera using oligonucleotide-probes immobilized on bacterial magnetic particles. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 13, 389–394. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A%3A1017990518648>.
- Morales, E.A., 2005. Observations of the morphology of some known and new fragilarioid diatoms (Bacillariophyceae) from rivers in the USA. *Phycological Research* 53 (2), 113–133. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-183.2005.00378.x>.
- Moustafa, A., Beszteri, B., Maier, U.G., Bowler, C., Valentin, K., Bhattacharya, D., 2009. Genomic footprints of a cryptic plastid Endosymbiosis in diatoms. *Science* 324 (5935), 1724–1726. URL: <http://www.sciencemag.org/content/324/5935/1724.abstract>.
- Orsini, M., Romano-Spica, V., 2001. A microwave-based method for nucleic acid isolation from environmental samples. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 33 (1), 17–20. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765X.2001.00938.x>.
- Palenik, B., Haselkorn, R., Jan 16 1992. Multiple evolutionary origins of prochlorophytes, the chlorophyll B-containing prokaryotes. *Nature* 355 (6357), 265–267.
- Pan, X., Chang, F., Liu, Y., Li, D., Xu, A., Shen, Y., Huang, Z., 2009. Mouse toxicity of *Anabaena flos-aquae* from lake Dianchi, China. *Environmental Toxicology* 24 (1), 10–18. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tox.20385>.
- Provasoli, L., McLaughlin, J., Droop, M., 1957. The development of artificial media for marine algae. *Archiv für Mikrobiologie* 25, 392–428. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00446694>.
- R Development Core Team, 2011. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, ISBN 3-900051-07-0. URL: <http://www.R-project.org/>.
- Rasmussen, J., Barbez, P., Burgoyne, L., Saint, C., 2008a. Rapid preparation of cyanobacterial DNA for real-time PCR analysis. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 46 (1), 14–19. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2007.02252.x>.
- Rasmussen, J., Giglio, S., Monis, P., Campbell, R., Saint, C., 2008b. Development and field testing of a real-time PCR assay for cylindrospermopsin-producing cyanobacteria. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 104 (5), 1503–1515. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03676.x>.
- Rasmussen, J., Saint, C., Monis, P., 2007. Use of Dna melting simulation software for in silico diagnostic assay design: targeting regions with complex melting curves and confirmation by real-time PCR using intercalating dyes. *BMC Bioinformatics* 8 (1), 107. URL: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2105/8/107>.
- Rinta-Kanto, J.M., Ouellette, A.J.A., Boyer, G.L., Twiss, M.R., Bridgeman, T.B., Wilhelm, S.W., 2005. Quantification of toxic *Microcystis* spp. during the 2003 and 2004 blooms in western lake Erie using quantitative real-time PCR. *Environmental Science and Technology* 39 (11), 4198–4205. URL: <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es048249u>.
- Rippka, R., Deruelles, J., Waterbury, J.B., Herdman, M., Stanier, R.Y., 1979. Generic assignments, strain histories and properties of pure cultures of cyanobacteria. *Journal of General Microbiology* 111 (1), 1–61. URL: <http://mic.sgmjournals.org/content/111/1/1.abstract>.
- Ririe, K.M., Rasmussen, R.P., Wittwer, C.T., 1997. Product differentiation by analysis of DNA melting curves during the polymerase chain reaction. *Analytical Biochemistry* 245 (2), 154–160. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0003269796999169>.
- Rocap, G., Distel, D.L., Waterbury, J.B., Chisholm, S.W., 2002. Resolution of *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* ecotypes by using 16s-23s ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer sequences. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 68 (3), 1180–1191. URL: <http://aem.asm.org/content/68/3/1180.abstract>.
- Rodenacker, K., Gais, P., Jutting, U., Hense, B.A., 2001. (Semi-) automatic recognition of microorganisms in water. In: IEEE, 345 E 4TH ST, NEW YORK, NY 10017 USA, International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP 2001), Thessaloniki, Greece, OCT 07–10, 2001.
- Saadoun, I., Schrader, K.K., Blevins, W.T., 2001. Identification of geosmin as a volatile metabolite of *Anabaena* sp. *Journal of Bacteriology* 141 (1), 51–55.
- Sabour, B., Loudiki, M., Oudra, B., Vasconcelos, V., Oubraim, S., Fawzi, B., 2005. Dynamics and toxicity of *Anabaena aphanizomenoides* (cyanobacteria) waterblooms in the shallow brackish Oued Mellah lake (Morocco). *Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management* 8 (1), 95–104.
- Shannon, C.E., Weaver, W., Blahut, R.E., Hajek, B., 1949. *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*, vol. 117. University of Illinois press, Urbana.
- Sherr, E., Sherr, B., 1993. Preservation and storage of samples for enumeration of heterotrophic protists. In: *Handbook of Methods in Aquatic Microbial Ecology*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, pp. 207–212.
- Sotero-Santos, R.B., Carvalho, E.G., Dellamano-Oliveira, M.J., Rocha, O., 2008. Occurrence and toxicity of an *Anabaena* bloom in a tropical reservoir (southeast Brazil). *Harmful Algae* 7 (5), 590–598. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S156898830700193X>.
- Steindler, L., Huchon, D., Avni, A., Ilan, M., 2005. 16s rRNA phylogeny of sponge-associated cyanobacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 71 (7), 4127–4131. URL: <http://aem.asm.org/content/71/7/4127.abstract>.
- Wu, J.-T., Jüttner, F., 1988. Differential partitioning of geosmin and 2-methylisoborneol between cellular constituents in *Oscillatoria tenuis*. *Archives of Microbiology* 150, 580–583. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00408253>.
- Xie, W.Q., Jäger, K., Potts, M., 1989. Cyanobacterial rna polymerase genes *rpoc1* and *rpoc2* correspond to *rpoc* of *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology* 171 (4), 1967–1973. URL: <http://jb.asm.org/content/171/4/1967.abstract>.
- Zhang, T., Li, L., Song, L., Chen, W., 2009. Effects of temperature and light on the growth and geosmin production of *Lyngbya kuetzingii* (Cyanophyta). *Journal of Applied Phycology* 21, 279–285. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10811-008-9363-z>.